

ANGELS HASTENING

The Karbalā' Dreams



Christopher Paul Clohessy

Angels Hastening



Islamic History and Thought

22

Series Editorial Board

Peter Adamson	Jack Tannous
Beatrice Gründler	Isabel Toral-Niehoff
Ahmad Khan	Manolis Ulbricht

Advisory Editorial Board

Binyamin Abrahamov	Konrad Hirschler
Asad Q. Ahmed	James Howard-Johnston
Mehmetcan Akpınar	Maher Jarrar
Abdulahadi Alajmi	Marcus Milwright
Mohammad-Ali Amir-Moezzi	Harry Munt
Arezou Azad	Gabriel Said Reynolds
Godefroid de Callataÿ	Walid A. Saleh
Maria Conterno	Jens Scheiner
Farhad Daftary	Delfina Serrano
Wael Hallaq	Georges Tamer

Islamic History and Thought provides a platform for scholarly research on any geographic area within the expansive Islamic world, stretching from the Mediterranean to China, and dated to any period from the eve of Islam until the early modern era. This series contains original monographs, translations (Arabic, Persian, Syriac, Greek, and Latin) and edited volumes.

Angels Hastening

The Karbalā' Dreams

Christopher Paul Clohessy

GORGIAS
Gp PRESS

2021

Gorgias Press LLC, 954 River Road, Piscataway, NJ, 08854, USA

www.gorgiaspress.com

Copyright © 2021 by Gorgias Press LLC

All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise without the prior written permission of Gorgias Press LLC.

2021



ISBN 978-1-4632-4209-1

ISSN 2643-6906

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication
Data**

A Cataloging-in-Publication Record is available
from the Library of Congress.

Printed in the United States of America

Dedicated to the memory of

Arthur Derek Clobessy
19.12.1925 – 21.02.1990
and
Joy Margaret Clobessy
02.12.1928 – 20.05.2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	v
Quotations, Translations and Transliteration.....	vii
System of Transliteration.....	viii
Short Vowels.....	viii
Long Vowels.....	viii
List of Abbreviations.....	ix
Preface	xiii
Introduction.....	1
The Shade of God. Dreams in the Prophet's Household.....	37
The Odour of Paradise. In the House of Umm Salama.....	93
Voices in the Night. A Medley of Dreams and Visions	149
Afterword.....	207
Appendix One: The Writing on the Wall	223
Appendix Two: Table of Sunnī and Shīʿī Arabic Sources.....	235
Bibliography.....	249
Index of proper names.....	255

QUOTATIONS, TRANSLATIONS AND TRANSLITERATION

Unless otherwise stated, all quotations from the Qur'ān are taken from the English interpretation of Muhammad Marmaduke Pickthall.¹ At times, I have compared his translation with that of other English interpreters of the Qur'ān, and these will be named in the text when they occur.

Unless otherwise stated, all translations of the Arabic texts are my own. All Arabic texts have been reproduced exactly from their sources, even when mistakes are extant in the said texts. The system of transliteration, given below, has been followed throughout the text, except in instances in which other authors have been quoted, in which case the said author's system of transliteration has been respected.

Except when quoting from other works, all dates are given according to the Islamic calendar (*hiğra*), followed by a backslash and the Gregorian equivalent.

¹ PICKTHALL M.M., *The Meaning of the Glorious Qur'ān*, Dar al-Kitab Allubnani, Beirut and Dar al-Kitab al-Masri, Cairo (n.d.).

SYSTEM OF TRANSLITERATION

ء	-	’	ض	-	ḍ
ب	-	b	ط	-	ṭ
ت	-	t	ظ	-	ẓ
ث	-	ṯ	ع	-	‘
ج	-	ǧ	غ	-	ǧ
ح	-	ḥ	ف	-	f
خ	-	ḫ	ق	-	q
د	-	d	ك	-	k
ذ	-	ḏ	ل	-	l
ر	-	r	م	-	m
ز	-	z	ن	-	n
س	-	s	ه	-	h
ش	-	sh	و	-	w
ص	-	ṣ	ي	-	y

Short Vowels

أ	-	a, u	إ	-	i
---	---	------	---	---	---

Long Vowels

آ	-	ā	ي	-	ī
و	-	ū			

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	<i>Anno Domini</i>
b.	bin (son)
bb.	<i>bāb</i> (chapter)
BC	before Christ
bk.	book
bt.	<i>bint</i> (daughter)
c.	<i>circa</i>
CE	common era
cf.	confer
ch.	chapter
Col.	The Letter to the Colossians
d.	died
Dan.	The Book of Daniel
Deut.	The Book of Deuteronomy
Eccles.	The Book of Ecclesiastes
ed.	editor
edn.	edition

edns.	editions
eds.	editors
eg.	for example
et al.	and others
etc.	etcetera
Ez.	The Book of Ezekiel
ff.	following
GAL	<i>Geschichte der arabischen Literatur</i>
GAS	<i>Geschichte der arabischen Schrifttums</i>
Gen.	The Book of Genesis
Heb.	The Letter to the Hebrews
Ibid.	<i>ibidem</i>
Is.	The Book of Isaiah
Jdg.	The Book of Judges
Jn.	The Gospel of St. John
I Kgs.	The First Book of Kings
lit.	literally
Lk.	The Gospel of St. Luke
Mt.	The Gospel of St. Matthew
n.	number
n.d.	no date
Num.	The Book of Numbers
nn.	numbers
n.n.	no number
n.p.	no publisher
nt.	footnote
pl.	plural
Q.	Qur'ān
Rev.	The Book of Revelation
Rm.	The Letter to the Romans
I Sam.	The First Book of Samuel
sic	thus

Sir.	The Book of Sirach
St.	Saint
sing.	singular
trans.	translator
v.	verse
vol.	volume
vols.	volumes
vv.	verses
Zech.	The Book of Zechariah

PREFACE

When, on an autumn Medina night in 61/680, the night that saw al-Ḥusayn killed, Umm Salama was torn from her sleep by an apparition of a long-dead Muḥammad, she slipped effortlessly into a progression of her co-religionists who, irrespective of status, gender or standing with God, were the recipients of dark and arresting visions. At the core of those Delphian dreams, peopled by angels or *ǧinn* or esteemed forbears and textured with Iraqi dust and martyrs' blood, was the Karbalā' event. Her dream would be recounted by an array of Muslim scholars, from al-Tirmiḏī, stellar pupil of al-Buḥārī, and Ibn 'Asākīr, untiring chronicler of Syrian history, to bibliophile theologian Ibn Ṭā'ūs and Egyptian polymath al-Suyūṭī. But this was not Umm Salama's only otherworldly encounter and she was not the only one to have al-Ḥusayn's fate disturb her nights.

This is their story.

INTRODUCTION

«By those who drag forth to destruction,
by the meteors rushing,
by the lone stars floating,
by the angels hastening»¹

In the spring of 64/685, Sulaymān b. ʿAbd al-Malik b. Marwān succeeded his father Marwān, cousin to Muʿāwiya, as caliph of the restive Umayyad dynasty. He launched into a reign of twenty energetic years, quelling strife, opening Islam to the non-Arabic world, reclaiming lost territory and expanding into new, promoting Arabic language and Islamic currency, restoring Islamic confidence, con-

¹ Q. 79:1–4, with Pickthall’s interpretation of the words *fa-l-sābiqāt sabiq^m*. According to Lane, the *sābiqāt* of Q. 79:4 refers to “the angels that precede the devils with the revelation [that they convey] to the prophets: or the angels that precede the jinn or genii, in listening to the revelation: or the angels that precede with the souls of believers to Paradise or with the souls of the unbelievers to Hell.” Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. IV, Librairie du Liban, Beirut 1968: 1300.

solidating power and firming up a functional bureaucracy. Periodically, he also had peculiar dreams. Sometime before his death in 85/705, one sleepless night drove him to seek advice from the doleful and abstemious al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī.² A handful of transmitters relay the content of Ibn Marwān's dream:

Some of them mentioned that Sulaymān b. 'Abd al-Malik b. Marwān saw the Prophet in a dream as though he was doing good to him and being kind to him. He summoned al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī and asked him about this, and he said: "Perhaps you have caused some good for his family!" Sulaymān replied: "I found the head of al-Ḥusayn in Yazīd b. Mu'āwiya's treasure house. I clothed it five times with silk brocade, prayed *ṣalāt* for it in a group of my companions and buried it." Al-Ḥasan answered: "For this reason, the Prophet is satisfied with you." So, he acted well towards al-Ḥasan and ordered he be rewarded.³

On the oneiric scale, Ibn Marwān's is a positive dream; he can look back with contentment on a deed he has done, an event in his vigorous and animated life that has won the satisfaction of the Messenger of God. This book, however, recalls a markedly different catalogue of dreams and an event so traumatic that even the angels appear to step back in dismay; an incident which gave rise to repercussions of cosmic proportions, the texts relating tales of the heavens darkened, the sun eclipsed, the skies raining blood and all crea-

² Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī (d. 109/728), regarded by some as archetypal in the emergence of that Islamic piety which would devolve into Ṣūfī mysticism, backed the Umayyads but had no hesitancy in reprimanding them; his ascetic lifestyle, eloquent preaching and commitment to social justice shaped the whole of his life into a bruising indictment against Umayyad luxury.

³ Ibn al-Ġawzī, *Bustān al-wa'izīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmi'in*, bb. 418: 263, al-Maḡlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 145, al-Iṣfahānī, *Awālim al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 5, n. 6: 453.

tion groaning.⁴ The murder on the field of Karbalā' of Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn, son of 'Alī and Fāṭima and grandson of Muḥammad, is an episode that slips beyond the sentries of time and space, giving it a timelessness which allows Shī'ī communities in subsequent generations to gauge themselves against the example of the chief of their martyrs, whose capacity for truth and courage urges them to live their own lives more deeply engaged with justice.

This work explores one fragment of that complex story, a part in which the angels play a singular role. One narrative tells of the angel Ġibrīl,⁵ ostensibly the principal entity among Islam's normally impassive angels, descending to the home of Muḥammad, "wings

⁴ "The Shī'a exaggerate the day of 'Āshūrā," grumbles Ibn Kaṭīr, "and contrive many *aḥādīṭ* and a monstrous falsehood, ranging from the incident of the sun being veiled on the day to the withdrawal of the stars" (Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 239, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 576). Cf. Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḥ*, vol. XIII, *Kitāb al-ḥitan*, bb. 1, n. 38386: 295 ("the sky remained like blood for days," says al-Ḥusayn's servant), al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Mu'ḡam al-ḥabīr*, vol. III, nn. 2836, 2837, 2838, 2839 2840: 113–114, al-Bayhaqī., *Dalā'il al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruviya fī iḥbāri-bi bi-qatli ibn imnati-bi 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 471–472, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, nn. 14–17: 101–102, Ibn 'Asākir *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāḥ*): 225–229, Ibn al-'Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2596, al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥā'ir al-'uqūba*, Part One, bb. 9: 248–249, al-Dahabī., *Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 312 (who lists some of the phenomena, without comment), al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥaṣā'is al-ḥabīr*, vol. II: 453–454. Among the Shī'a cf. for e.g. al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī fī al-ḥadīṭ*, maḡlis 11, n. 106–658: 330.

⁵ Throughout the Shī'ī and Sunnī books of *aḥādīṭ*, this angel's name is written in an assortment of ways; sometimes 'Ġibrīl', at other times 'Ġibra'il' and occasional 'Ġibrā'il'. Jeffery notes the variations in the writing the name (Ġabra'il, Ġibrīl, Ġabrīl, Ġabra'il, Ġabrā'il, Ġabrayīl, Ġabrā'il or even Ġabrīn). Cf. JEFFERY A., *The Foreign Vocabulary of the Qur'an*, Brill, Leiden 2007: 100.

outstretched, weeping, shouting aloud.”⁶ Evidently, the angels rarely betray emotions, although they are said to tremble with awe before God,⁷ exalting Him with praise,⁸ but harsh and severe with the wicked,⁹ distinct from all other creatures by their unequivocal obedience to God,¹⁰ which endows them with that enigmatic quality called *‘isma*.¹¹ And while Ibn al-Ġawzī takes note of angels weeping over al-Husayn,¹² and Ibn Shahrāshūb, al-Bahrānī and al-Maġlisī have left us accounts of Ġibrīl laughing,¹³ al-Suyūṭī, writing in his

⁶ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-z̧iyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 7: 131, al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 22: 235, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 1: 124, al-Ġazā’irī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 171.

⁷ Q. 13:13. Cf. Abū al-Shayḥ., *al-‘Azāma*, vol. III, n. 515: 993, al-Bayhaqī., *al-Ġāmi‘ al-muṣannaf fī shu‘ab al-imān*, vol. I, bb. 11, n. 914: 521, al-Baġdādī., *Tārīḥ Baġdād*, vol. XIV, n. 6704: 254, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dīmashq*, vol. XL, bb. 5608 (‘Ābī b. Arṭa’a): 58, 61, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabā’ik fī aḥbār al-malā’ik*, n. 26: 18, al-Muttaqī al-Hindī., *Kanz al-‘ummāl fī sunan al-aqwāl wa-l-aḥbār*, vol. XI, n. 29836: 366.

⁸ Q. 39:75, Q. 42:5.

⁹ Q. 6:66.

¹⁰ Cf. Q. 21:19, Q. 66:6.

¹¹ The term *‘isma* evokes the idea of self-possession, of separation from error, and hence of impeccability. Lane notes that chiefly, the term signifies ‘prevention’ or ‘hindrance’ (*man‘*) and is used to describe the manner in which God preserves His prophets; but theologically, it also connotes the faculty for avoiding disobedience, “an inability to disobey: or a disposition that prevents [disobedience], not such as constrains (to act).” Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. V, 1968: 2066–2067.

¹² Ibn al-Ġawzī., *Bustān al-wa‘izīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmi‘īn*, bb. 414: 262.

¹³ Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. II, bb. *‘Ind al-ḥāliq wa-‘ind al-mahlūqīn*: 245, al-Daylamī., *A‘lām al-dīn fī ṣifāt al-mu‘minīn*: 277, al-Bahrānī., *al-Burhān fī tafsīr al-qur‘ān*, vol. V, Part Five, *sūrat al-‘Alaq*, n. 11752: 697, *Hīyat al-abrār fī aḥwāl Muḥammad wa āli-hi al-aḥbār*, vol I, bb. 7, n. 2: 68, *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡīz fī mu‘ḡīzāt al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, bb. 1, n. 54: 106, al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXXIX, bb. 76, n. 10: 97, 102, vol. LXXXVIII, bb. 1, n. 52: 194, vol. LXXXIX, bb. 124, n. 23: 354.

al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik, cautions us that neither Ġibrīl¹⁴ nor Isrāʾīl¹⁵ nor Mīkāʾīl have laughed since the creation of the Fire, the suggestion being that perhaps, long before that unprecedented event, angels had indeed expressed emotion with less inhibition. Mīkāʾīl's abstinence from mirth especially is referenced in texts by authors as diverse as Ibn Ḥanbal and Ibn Abī Firās,¹⁶ while in his *Tafsīr*, al-Qummī notes that Mālik, the angel who guards Hell, is another angel for whom laughter is an alien emotion.¹⁷

Foremost among the angels in our narrative – if indeed he is an angel at all, and not some other genus and species – and the chief protagonist is the aforesaid Ġibrīl who, although only mentioned by name three times in the Qurʾān (Q. 2:97–98, Q. 66:4), is also referred to as «*the Faithful Spirit*» (Q. 26:193), «*the Spirit of holiness*» (Q. 2:87, Q. 16:102) and «*Our Spirit*» (Q. 19:17). In a number of other verses, Ġibrīl is implied but given neither name nor epithet (Q. 53:5–18, Q. 81:19–25). Conversely, the angel involved in the encounters in this story is not always Ġibrīl; because Ġibrīl comes to Muḥammad with what might be termed both public and private revelation, sometimes, as if carefully to differentiate be-

¹⁴ al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, n. 72: 26, al-Bayhaqī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-muṣannaḥ fī shuʿab al-īmān*, vol. I, bb. 11, n. 195: 521.

¹⁵ al-Bayhaqī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-muṣannaḥ fī shuʿab al-īmān*, vol. I, bb. 11, n. 913: 521, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, n. 100: 33, al-Muttaqī al-Hindī., *Kanz al-ʿummāl fī sunan al-aqwāl wa-l-afʿāl*, vol. III, n. 5895: 1455.

¹⁶ Cf. WENSINCK A.J., “Mikāl” in C. Bosworth et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, vol. VII, Brill, Leiden 1993: 25. Cf. also Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. III, *Musnad Anas b. Mālik*: 324, Ibn Abī Firās., *Tanbīh al-ḥawāṭir wa nuḣḥat al-nawāzīr*, vol. I: 66, 301, al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡmaʿ al-ḥawāʾid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʾid*, vol. X, *Kitāb ṣīfat al-nār*: 385, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, n. 82: 29, Abū al-Shayḥ., *al-ʿAḡama*, vol. III, n. 384: 814, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. LVI, bb. 24, n. 32: 260.

¹⁷ Cf. al-Qummī., *Tafsīr*, vol. II, *sūrat al-Isrāʾ*?: 5, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī., *Navādir al-aḥbār*: 144, 374, *Tafsīr al-ṣāfi*, vol. III, *sūrat al-Isrāʾ*?: 169, al-Baḥrānī., *al-Burbān fī tafsīr al-qurʾān*, vol. III, *sūrat al-Isrāʾ*?, n. 6196: 474, vol. V, *sūrat al-Takwīr*, n. 11431: 597, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. VIII, bb. 24, n. 3: 291, vol. XVIII, bb. 3, n. 24: 321. Cf. also Q. 39:71, 73, Q. 40:49, Q. 67:8.

tween the Karbalā' dreams and the divine revelation usually associated with Ġibrīl, the texts introduce as the interlocutor an unnamed angel who has never come to Muḥammad before, or identify the visitor as the 'angel of rain' (*malak al-qaṭr* or, in some texts, *malak al-maṭar*). In Islamic angelology, this is the unsmiling Mikā'il¹⁸ (but whom al-Rāwandī erroneously names as Ismā'il),¹⁹ mentioned together with Ġibrīl in Q. 2:98 and who, al-Qummī reminds us, is also called the 'angel of mercy',²⁰ having relinquished cheer in favour of piteous weeping over sinners and imploring God's forgiveness for them. In the Sunnī texts, both Ibn 'Asākir and al-Hayṭamī make this distinction between Muḥammad's visitor; among the Shī'ī, it is made, for example, by al-Ṭūsī, Ibn Shahrāshūb (who notes that in the reports of Sālim b. al-Ġa'd it was Mikā'il and in the *musnad* of Abū Ya'lā, the angel of the rain, traditionally, Mikā'il), al-Shāmī, al-Baḥrānī, al-Maḡlisī and al-Iṣfahānī.²¹ It is perhaps no small coincidence that it should be the

¹⁸ His names, al-Maḡlisī notes from al-Zamaḡsharī, could be read Mikāl, Mikā'il, Mikā'īl, Mīka'il, Mīka'īl, Mīka'īl, Mīka'īl or Mīka'īl. Cf. al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. LVI, bb. 23: 206, 221, Ṣadr al-Dīn al-Shīrāzī, *Riyāḍ al-sālikīn fī sharḥ saḥīfa sayyid al-sāggīdīn*, vol. II: 25, 27 (Brockelmann does not list this work, but another, possibly the same, entitled *Sharḥ saḥīfa al-kāmīla*), Muḥammad Riḍā al-Ṭūsī, *Kanẓ al-daqa'iq wa baḥr al-ġarā'ib fī tafsīr al-qur'ān*, vol. II, *sūrat al-Baqara*: 96.

¹⁹ Cf. al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarā'ig wa-l-ġarā'ib fī-l-mu'ǧǧāṭ*, vol. I, Part One, n. 107: 62, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XVIII, bb. 6, n. 40: 15.

²⁰ al-Qummī, *Tafsīr*, vol. I, *sūrat al-Baqara*: 54, al-Ṭūsī, *Miṣbāḥ al-mutabaḡǧīd*, vol. II: 808, Ibn Ṭā'ūs, *Kitāb al-iqbāl bi-l-a'māl al-ḥasana*, vol. II: 660, vol. III: 243 (it is of note that in the same text Ibn Ṭā'ūs also refers to 'Izrā'il as the 'angel of mercy'), al-Kaf'amī, *al-Balad al-amīn*, n. 16: 181, *Kitāb al-miṣbāḥ*: 531, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī, *Tafsīr al-sāfi*, vol. I, *sūrat al-Baqara*: 167, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. IX, bb. 1, n. 15: 186, vol. LXIV, bb. 1: 20, vol. XCV, bb. 26, n. 6: 400, *Zād al-ma'ād*: 29.

²¹ al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṭ*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 86–638: 314, Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 55, Cf. al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Faṣl fī dīkr maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 535, al-Baḥrānī, *Ḥilyat al-abrār fī aḥwāl Muḥammad wa āli-bi al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, bb. 7, n. 12: 124, al-Maḡlisī,

angel of rain who comes to Muḥammad to warn of Karbalā', the land of such torturous thirst, whose tragic history would cause the skies to rain down blood in grief over the killing of al-Ḥusayn.

There are other angels too, unnamed and not always identified by their angelic rank; sometimes, the angel concerned asks God's permission to visit Muḥammad, while in other texts, great battalions arrive together ("Was there an angel left in the heavens who did not descend to the Messenger of God, consoling him for his son al-Ḥusayn informing him of the reward of God granted him and carrying to him its dust on which he was felled, slaughtered, killed, thrown, forsaken?").²² Ibn Qūlawayh and a sprinkling of transmitters after him carry a *ḥadīth* which reads: "The angel who went to Muḥammad to inform him about the death of al-Ḥusayn was the angel of the seas."²³

Nonetheless, Ġibrīl and Mikā'il dominate our story, and it is not surprising to find these two heavenly beings mentioned together. In his *al-Ḥabā'ik*, al-Suyūṭī relates a *ḥadīth* which holds that

Bihār al-anwār, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 10: 229, vol. XLV, bb. 41, n. 22: 227, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAnwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 1: 506.

²² al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, bb. *Aḥbār rasūl Allāh ʿan al-Ḥusayn wa-aḥwālī-hi*, n. 12: 237, Ibn Ṭāʾūs, *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatḷā al-ṭufjif*: 13–14, Ibn Qūlawayh, *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 8: 131–132, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 246, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 27: 236, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 11: 309.

²³ Ibn Qūlawayh, *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 21, n. 3: 143, al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-maḡālīs wa zīnat al-maḡālīs*, vol. II, Part Two, *maḡlis* 5: 111, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 41, n. 5: 221, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAnwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 17, bb. 9, n. 7: 501, al-Ġazāʾirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 270. In other texts, Ibn Qūlawayh insists that "the angel who came to Muḥammad informing him of the death of al-Ḥusayn was Ġibraʾīl, the Faithful Spirit" (Ibn Qūlawayh, *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 1: 127–128, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 22: 235, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAnwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 1: 124), al-Ġazāʾirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 171).

Ġibrīl's name means 'servant (*ʿabd*) of God' and Mīkāʾil's name means 'servant (*ʿubayd*) of God'.²⁴ While Burge suggests that the use of the diminutive *ʿubayd* may be an attempt to elevate Ġibrīl above Mīkāʾil,²⁵ al-Maġlisī proposes that Mīkāʾil is one of the greatest of the angels and may even be the head of the angelic community;²⁶ a rare and late *ḥadīth* suggests that Mīkāʾil was the first to pronounce the words 'Far be it removed from my Lord the Most High' (*subḥāna rabbī al-aʿlā*),²⁷ although another *ḥadīth* nominates Muḥammad as the first speaker of these word.²⁸ Both al-Tirmidī and al-Suyūṭī narrate a tradition in which Muḥammad claims Ġibrīl and Mīkāʾil as his two heavenly ministers,²⁹ while the eleventh Imām al-ʿAskarī notes that Ġibrīl and Mīkāʾil are brothers; whoev-

²⁴ Cf. Abū al-Shayḥ, *al-ʿAẓama*, vol. III, n. 382: 812, Ibn Bābawayh., *Maʿānī al-aḥbār*, bb. *Maʿānī asmāʾ al-anbiyāʾ wa-l-rusul*: 48, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, nn. 81: 29, al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLVI, bb. 24, n. 6: 250, vol. LXXXVII, bb. 8, n. 4: 115, Ṣadr al-Dīn al-Shīrāzī., *Riyāḍ al-sālikīn fī sharḥ saḥīfa sayyid al-sāġġidīm*, vol. II: 25.

²⁵ BURGE S.R., *Angels in Islam*, Routledge, London 2012: 208, 224.

²⁶ Cf. al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. LVI, bb. 23: 221.

²⁷ al-Ḥuwayzī., *Tafsīr nūr al-ṭaqalayn*, vol. V, *sūrat al-ʿAla*, n. 4: 553, al-Ṭabarsī., *Mustadrak al-wasāʾil wa mustanbiḥ al-masāʾil*, vol. IV, bb. 45, n. 4925: 358.

²⁸ Muḥammad Riḍā al-Tūsī., *Kanz al-daqaʾiq wa baḥr al-ġarāʾib fī tafsīr al-qurʾān*, vol. XIV, *sūrat al-ʿAlā*: 231.

²⁹ Cf. al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqīb*), n. 3680: 360, where the narration is classified as 'weak' (*daʿīf*), al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, nn. 84–85: 29, and who points his readers to al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. II, bk. 27 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), nn. 3105–3106: 317, declared by al-Ḥākim to be *ṣaḥīḥ*, and Ibn ʿAsākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimasḥ*, vol. XXX, bb. 3398 (*ʿAbd Allāh b. Qubāfa Abū Bakr*): 119, vol. XLIV, bb. 5206 (*ʿAmr b. al-Ḥaṭṭāb*: 64), al-Muttaqī al-Hindī., *Kanz al-ummāl fī sunan al-aqwāl wa-l-afʿāl*, vol. XIII, nn. 36148, 36149: 25. For further encounters between Muḥammad, Ġibrīl and Mīkāʾil, cf. al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʿ al-ḥalq*), bb. 7, n. 3236: 287, Muslim., *Sunan*, vol. VI, bk. 43 (*Kitāb al-faḍāʾil*), bb. 10, n. 6004 – 46 [2306]: 160, al-Nasāʾī., *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, vol. II, bk. 11 (*Kitāb al-iftitāḥ*), bb. 37, n. 942: 60–61, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, n. 83: 29.

er loves them will be counted among the holy ones (*awliya*²) of God, while those who detest them are God's enemies and anyone who claims to love one and hate the other is a liar.³⁰ Ultimately, Umm Salama herself claims that Mīkāʿīl too was part of the revelation of Q. 33:33, so crucial to the Shīʿa: “this verse...came down in my house in the company of seven – Ġabraʿīl, Mīkāʿīl, the Messenger of God, ʿAlī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Husayn.”³¹

This same Umm Salama is another key player in our story; Hind bt. Abī Umayya, also known as Hind al-Maḥzūmiyya or Hind bt. Suhayl, and who bore the *kunya* Umm Salama, was one of

³⁰ Cf. Q. 2:98. al-ʿAskarī., *al-Tafsīr al-mansūb ilā al-Imām al-Ḥasan al-ʿAskarī, sūrat al-Baqara*: 407, al-Ṭabarsī., *Kitāb al-iḥtiḡāḡ ʿalā ahl al-liḡāḡ*, vol. I: 43, al-Ḥuwayzī., *Tafsīr nūr al-taqalayn*, vol. I, *sūrat al-Baqara*, n. 290: 104, Muḥammad Riḏā al-Ṭūsī., *Kanẓ al-daqaʿiq wa baḥr al-ḡarāʿib fī tafsīr al-qurʿān*, vol. II, *sūrat al-Baqara*: 92.

³¹ Ibn Furāt al-Kūfī., *Tafsīr Furāt b. Ibrāhīm, sūrat al-Aḥzāb*, n. 454: 334, 336, al-Qāḏī al-Nuʿmān., *Sharḥ al-ahbār fī faḏāʾil al-aʿimma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Eleven, n. 945: 13, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī-l-ahādīṯ wa-l-ahbār, maḡlis* 72, n. 4: 472, *Kitāb al-ḥisāl*, vol. II, bb. 7, n. 113: 403, al-Ḥaskānī., *Shawāhid al-tanzīl li-qawāʿid al-tafḏīl*, vol. II, *sūrat al-Aḥzāb*, n. 757: 124–125, n. 762: 131, Ibn ʿAlī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wāʿiẓīn wa-tabṣīrat al-muttaʿiẓīn*, vol. I: 157, al-Astarābādī., *Taʾwīl al-āyāt al-ḡābira fī faḏl al-ʿitra al-ṭābira, sūrat al-Aḥzāb*: 451 (Brockelmann incorrectly transcribes the work as *al-bābira* rather than *al-ḡābira*: cf. GAL S. II: 575), Muḥammad Riḏā al-Ṭūsī., *Kanẓ al-daqaʿiq wa baḥr al-ḡarāʿib fī tafsīr al-qurʿān*, vol. X, *sūrat al-Aḥzāb*: 375, al-Baḥrānī., *al-Burhān fī tafsīr al-qurʿān*, vol. IV, *sūrat al-Aḥzāb*, n. 8598: 450, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXV, bb. 7, n. 6: 214, vol. XXXV, bb. 5, n. 9: 209, nn. 21–22: 216, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAvālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-ahwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-ahbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 3: 125. Al-Ḥaskānī (d. 490/1096) is believed to have lived in a largely Sunnī area and used copious Sunnī sources, so that in *Taḏkirat al-ḥuffāẓ*, al-Ḍahabī claims him as a follower of Abū Ḥanīfa, giving him the *nisba* ʿal-Ḥanafī. Nonetheless, he manifests a substantial Shīʿī tendency, causing al-Ḍahabī to note: “I found a *maḡlis* of his that proves his *tashayyu*ʿ.” Since the word *tashayyu*ʿ could justifiably mean nothing more than ‘tendency’ or ‘partiality’, it is probably accurate to say that al-Ḥaskānī was a Ḥanafī Sunnī with a growing proclivity for Shīʿī Islam. Cf. al-Ḍahabī., *Taḏkirat al-ḥuffāẓ*, vol. III, n. 1032: 1200.

Muḥammad's wives and remains forever venerated both among the Sunnī and the Shiʿa. Her standing among the Shiʿa, a community that regards her as second only to Ḥadīġa among Muḥammad's wives,³² is not only on account of her transmission of some of their most crucial *aḥādīṡ*,³³ but also because upon marrying her (after her husband Abū Salama's death from wounds received at the Battle of Uḥud in 3/625), Muḥammad gave his daughter Fāṭima into her care.³⁴ Umm Salama would go on to play a considerable role in the preparations for the marriage between ʿAlī and Fāṭima, as recounted by al-Maġlisī,³⁵ and both al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn spent a significant amount of time playing in her house, which in turn would become the locus for some crucial encounters between Muḥammad and a variety of angels.³⁶ Two features that shape the narrative are precisely Umm Salama's house as a place of divine intervention and the 'tradition of the glass vial' (*ḥadīṡ al-qārūra*), which almost invariably involves her and, concurrently, places her death after the Karbalā' event. Although a number of transmitters (like Ibn al-Aṭīr and Ibn Ḥaġar al-ʿAsqalānī) omit any reference to a dream or a glass vial in relation to Umm Salama, enough authors carry the narrative to make it of interest.

Her date of death is contested; in al-Ḍahabī, there is a narration from Shahr b. Ḥawshab, who claims that he went to Umm

³² Cf. Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-ḥiṣāl*, vol. II, bb. 9, n. 13: 419.

³³ These are specifically *ḥadīṡ al-keisā'*, *ḥadīṡ al-taṭhīr*, *ḥadīṡ al-taqalayn* and *ḥadīṡ al-manẓila*, in which Muḥammad claims that ʿAlī has the same status in relation to him as Hārūn had in relation to Mūsā.

³⁴ "Umm Salama said: The Messenger of God married me and entrusted to me the instruction of his daughter, but by God, she was more assiduous than me and more knowledgeable in everything." Cf. al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 1, n. 16: 10, transmitting from al-Ṭabarī, *Dalāʾil al-imāma*, n. 21: 82. Her guardianship of Fāṭima would have been after the death of ʿAlī's mother, Fāṭima bt. Asad.

³⁵ Cf. al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 5, nn. 1–49: 92–145.

³⁶ According to al-Ḍahabī, she transmitted 378 *aḥādīṡ*, although this would be specifically through the Sunnī transmitters. Cf. al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar al-ʿlām al-nubalā'*, vol. II, n. 20 (*Umm Salama*): 210.

Salama in Medina to comfort her over the killing al-Ḥusayn.³⁷ Al-Ḍahabī himself notes that she lived until she had received the news of al-Ḥusayn's death, and over whom she grieved greatly.³⁸ While proposed dates vary – Ibn Sa‘d, al-Ṭabarī and Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr suggest a pre-Karbalā’ 59/678, while Ibn al-Aṭīr proposes 61/680 and al-‘Asqalānī ranges between 59/678 and 62/681³⁹ – it seems more likely that her death was after the 61/680 Karbalā’ event, since in a number of narrations she is reported as lamenting al-Ḥusayn's killing and hearing the *ḡinn* doing the same. The historian al-Ya‘qūbī (if not a Shī‘a, and this is a moot point, then one who evinces a strong Shī‘ī predisposition) and the Shāfi‘ī historian and jurist Ibn Abī al-Dam both report her as alive after the death of al-Ḥusayn, living in Medina and the first in that city to grieve over him.⁴⁰ If she was indeed a witness to all of al-Ḥusayn's life and mar-

³⁷ Ibid. Cf. also al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. IV, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), n. 6844: 101, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn*: 240, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 574–5, al-‘Asqalānī., *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Ḥā’*, n. 615: 355.

³⁸ al-Ḍahabī., *Sīyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 318.

³⁹ Ibn Sa‘d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. VIII: 67, al-Ṭabarī., *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. XXXIX, n. 2443: 175–176, Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr., *al-Istī‘āb fī ma‘rifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. IV, n. 4111: 1920–1921, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dīmasḥq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 240 (“I heard al-Wāqidi, who said: Umm Salama did not live to attain the killing of al-Ḥusayn, dying in the year 58”), Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Usd al-ḡāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VI, n. 7464: 351–353, Ibn al-Ġawzī., *Ṣīfat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II: 21, al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VII, n. 12054: 327–328 (al-‘Asqalānī gives her possible dates of death as 59/678 via al-Wāqidi, or 61/680, after the news of al-Ḥusayn's death, via Ibn Ḥibbān, or 62/681 via Abū Nu‘aym or simply ‘during the caliphate of Yazīd’). Cf. al-‘Asqalānī., *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. XII, n. 2905: 455–457, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VIII: 248.

⁴⁰ Cf. al-Ya‘qūbī., *Tārīḥ*, vol. II, bb. *Maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 245, Ibn Abī al-Dam., *al-Muḥṭaṣar fī tāriḥ al-Islām*: 93, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 124.

tyrdom, her assessment is unique, making her one of the chief protagonists in the story of the Karbalāʾ dreams.

In most of the dreams and encounters recounted in this work, Muḥammad is the recipient, visited by a heavenly guest; in a smaller genre, he himself appears to someone in a dream. Other members of the core group of the People of the House dream too; ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib, husband to Fāṭima and father to al-Ḥusayn, contributes one rare dream to this compendium, while Fāṭima dreams mostly before and during her pregnancy with al-Ḥusayn. The visions of al-Ḥusayn himself are almost always of his grandfather, except for one series in which he dreams of a viscous animal and thereby learns a secret clue to the identity of his killer.

The disparate medley of night visions and dreams also includes a noteworthy dream of Umm al-Faḍl, a nightmare so bleak that it sends her running to Muḥammad for an explanation; and Umm Ayman, to whom the dream of Umm al-Faḍl is sometimes attributed, a woman beloved of Muḥammad and important enough to be given a distinct place by Ibn ʿAsākir, and so included as part of this compilation. Two of the women who dreamed dreams go by the same name; Hind, mother of Muʿāwiya who has a deeply cosmological dream, not dissimilar to that of young Joseph recorded in the Hebrew Scriptures (Gen. 37:9) and of Yūsuf in the Qurʾān (Q. 12:4); and Hind, the wife of Yazīd b. Muʿāwiya who, like the wife of Pontius Pilate, is so disquieted by her dream that she tries to alert her husband. Sukayna, the daughter of al-Ḥusayn, is also found in this anthology, with a dream that chastises a Yazīd already smarting from the tongue-lashing he has received from Sukayna's aunt Zaynab.

Soldiers, guards and camp followers are dreamers too. Against al-Ḥusayn's small band of around seventy-two men was set all the might of the opposing army; at least five thousand, but possibly more, with al-Ṭabarī, Ibn Bābawayh and al-Mufid mentioning at least five thousand, and others claiming up to twenty or thirty thousand.⁴¹ In amidst this great force there were a number of men who had stark and sobering dreams; all of them would deny ever

⁴¹ Cf. CLOHESSY C., *Half of My Heart. The Narratives of Zaynab, Daughter of ʿAlī*, Gorgias Press, New Jersey 2018: 131.

having been part of the fighting force, and most of them turn out to be merchants, camp followers who formed part of Yazīd's force although thereby, their dreams would insist, increasing the number of al-Ḥusayn's enemies. Their dreams were harbingers of the terrible fate that awaited those who had supported the enemies of Muḥammad's grandson.

The *ǧinn*, who are either seen or heard at night lamenting, are also part of this story. The Arabic noun *ǧinn*, used collectively, refers specifically to spiritual beings, visible at will, who may bring either harm or help, as they meddle and pry into life on the human plain. From their place in the unseen world – for the very word *ǧinn* evokes a sense of concealment – they attempt to enfold themselves persistently and sometimes forcefully into every aspect of life. They appear thirty-three times in the Qur'ān, but only in the earlier chapters, traditionally described as Meccan (c. 610–622).

The lament of the *ǧinn* over al-Ḥusayn, combined with that of the human race – whether Umm Salama or the women of Medina or just some unknown voice on the night air – is part of a cosmic reaction to the Karbalā' event, witnessed not only in a physical reaction in the sun, moon and stars, in blood raining down and animals lamenting, but also in the symbolic grief of these wraithlike creatures, who here represent the spirit world.⁴² The two classes (*al-taqalān*) of creation, according to the Qur'ān, are the company (*ma'shar*) of *ǧinn* and the company of human beings (Q. 55:31, 33). Consequently, all of humankind and the whole of creation participates in the grief over al-Ḥusayn's death – as al-Maǧlisī notes, “the *ǧinn* of the level and the wild places mourn for him.”⁴³ The 4th/10th century Ḡā'far b. Qūlawayh, student of al-Kulaynī and teacher of al-Mufīd, dedicates substantial space in his *Kāmil al-ziyārāt* to the *ǧinn*, whose voices are heard on the night air in Medina and Karba-

⁴² In fact, the angels too are recorded as weeping; Ibn Qūlawayh, for example, records a number of *aḥādīṯ* from Imām Ḡā'far al-Šādiq, recording the four thousand angels who did not arrive at the field of Karbalā' in time to fight with al-Ḥusayn, and who now weep by his grave. Cf. Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 27, nn. 1–4: 171–172, nn. 6–9: 173–174, nn. 11, 13–15: 174–176.

⁴³ al-Maǧlisī, *Biḥār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 36: 192.

lā', in elegiac verse over the death of al-Ḥusayn. If these reports seem esoteric, Sunnī scholars as disparate as Ibn Ḥanbal, Ibn 'Asākir, Ibn Kaṭīr and al-Hayṭamī all carry them, either in fragments or in sizeable detail, in their respective texts.

Finally, a medley of other characters, including Ibn 'Abbās and a passing Byzantine diplomat, had dreams and visions that find a place in this work. The scholarly and pious 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās, revered as 'the ocean' (*al-baḥr*)⁴⁴ for the extent of his knowledge, and the 'scholar' of the community (*ḥabr al-umma*),⁴⁵ was himself no

⁴⁴ Ibn Sa'd., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn 'Abbās*): 366, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak 'alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma'rīfat al-ṣaḥāba*), n. 6361: 658–659, nn. 6362, 6364: 659, Abū Nu'aym., *Ḥilyat al-awliyā' wa-ṭabaqāt al-aṣfiyā'*, vol. I, bb. 45 (*'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*), n. 1117: 391, Ibn 'Abd al-Barr., *al-Istī'āb fī ma'rīfat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 936, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Uṣd al-ḡāba fī ma'rīfat al-ṣaḥāb*, vol. III, n. 3035 (*'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*): 186, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa fī-bi ta-waffā 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*: 78–84, al-'Asqalānī., *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. V, n. 474: 276.

⁴⁵ While many tend to read this as 'learned man', there is, as Lane notes, some dispute about the correct vowel, and there are a number of variant meanings. Both *ḥabr* and *ḥibr* could refer to a non-Muslim religious authority, suggests Wehr, or, as Lane observes, a learned man of the Jews, or of the Christians, Jews or Sabaeans, either the subject of a Muslim government, or one who has converted to Islam. Alternatively, both could mean simply a 'good' or 'righteous' man. Read as *ḥibr*, the word also means 'ink' (by which writing is beautified), but could also refer to a person of handsome or goodly appearance, or could mean 'gladness' or 'delight'. Some therefore have chosen to understand Ibn 'Abbās as 'the ink of the community' and others as the community's 'learned man' (or occasionally, 'doctor', which seems less precise); since he was neither non-Muslim nor a convert to Islam, other meanings remain an option. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. II, 1968: 498, WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 180, Ibn Sa'd., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn 'Abbās*): 370, al-Balāḏurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asbāf*, vol. IV, bb. *'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*: 39, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak 'alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma'rīfat al-ṣaḥāba*), nn. 6360, 6361, 6363: 658–659, Abū Nu'aym., *Ḥilyat al-awliyā' wa-ṭabaqāt al-aṣfiyā'*, vol. I, bb. 45 (*'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*), n. 1118: 391 (named so by Ḡibrīl himself), n. 1121:

stranger to angelic visits, having claimed to have seen Ġibrīl on two occasions.⁴⁶ However, it is not Ġibrīl who Ibn ‘Abbās will see in the dream recounted in this collection, but Muḥammad, dust-covered, weeping and holding in his hand the glass vial that will play a central part in this story. It is hardly surprising that about Ibn ‘Abbās, acknowledged in the texts as the interpreter (*turğūmān*) of the Qur’ān⁴⁷ and on the day of his death as the ‘lord’ of the com-

391, Ibn ‘Aṭīyya., *Muḥarrar al-wağīz fī tafsīr al-kitāb al-‘azīz*, vol. I: 15, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XXIX, bb. 3363 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 285, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Usd al-ġāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāb*, vol. III, n. 3035 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 186, 189, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 51 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 339, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa fī-hi tawaffā ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*: 78, al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 4779: 229–230: (here, the learned man ‘of the Arabs’ rather than ‘of the/this community’), 231, *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. V, n. 474: 276, 279 (named so by Ġibrīl himself).

⁴⁶ Ibn Ḥanbal., *Kitāb faḏā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḏā’il ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*, n. 1561: 846, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 42, n. 3822: 560, Ibn Sa’d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn ‘Abbās*): 370, al-Balāḏurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asḥraf*, vol. IV, bb. ‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*: 41, al-Bazzār., *Musnad*, vol. XI, *Musnad Ibn ‘Abbās*, n. 4921: 180–181, Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr., *al-Istī‘āb fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 933, Ibn al-Ġawzī., *Ṣifāt al-ṣafwa*, vol. I: 314, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Usd al-ġāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāb*, vol. III, n. 3035 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 185, al-Ṭabarī., *Ḍaḥā’ir al-‘uqḃā*, bb. 3: 378, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa fī-hi tawaffā ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*: 83, al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 4779: 229, *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. V, n. 474: 276.

⁴⁷ Ibn Ḥanbal., *Kitāb faḏā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḏā’il ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*, nn. 1556, 1558: 845, n. 1562: 847, Ibn Sa’d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn ‘Abbās*): 366, Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḥ*, vol. X, bb. 27, n. 32817: 515, al-Balāḏurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asḥraf*, vol. IV, bb. ‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*: 43, al-Ṭabarānī., *Muḡam al-kabīr*, vol. XI, n. 11108: 80, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), n. 6370: 661, Abū Nu‘aym., *Ḥiyat al-awliyā’ wa-ṭabaqāt al-aṣfiyā’*, vol. I, bb. 45 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*), n. 1120: 391, Ibn ‘Aṭīyya., *Muḥarrar al-wağīz fī tafsīr al-kitāb al-‘azīz*, vol. I: 15, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XXIX, bb. 3363 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 285, al-Ṭabarī., *Ḍaḥā’ir al-‘uqḃā*, bb. 3: 376, 381, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa*

munity,⁴⁸ and recognised as the most learned of his generation,⁴⁹ it was said: if a Persian, Byzantine or Turk were to hear him, he or she would at once convert to Islam.⁵⁰ There is a Byzantine in our story too, although he makes a rare and brief appearance, like the 1st/8th century Umayyad caliph with whom we began, and whose dream about Muḥammad was unravelled for him by the ascetic mystic al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī.

Lastly, a much-recounted vision in or around the precincts of a church and seen by some misbehaving soldiers brings our story to an end and pronounces a final judgment on the generation that saw to the killing of al-Ḥusayn.

Following the death of the man held to be the last and the consummation of God's prophets and equally a decidedly adept and gifted leader, the embryonic Muslim community would have been intensely aware of the resultant vacuum in religious and political guidance. Soon after Muḥammad's death, the notion that dreams could be a fount of spiritual guidance now that prophecy had ended began to take root, not unlike the certitude of the North African theologian Tertullian (d. c. 230), the pioneer among the Church Fathers when it came to writing about dreams, that these could be dependable in terms of offering a certain measure of di-

fī-hi tawaffā ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās: 78, al-ʿAsqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 4779: 233, al-Muttaqī al-Hindī, *Kanz al-ʿummāl*, vol. XI, n. 33582: 731.

⁴⁸ Ibn Saʿd., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn ʿAbbās*): 368, Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḥ*, vol. X, bb. 27, n. 32815: 515 (lord of knowledge), al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*), n. 6363: 659, al-Ṭabarī., *Ḍaḥāʾir al-ʿuqba*, bb. 3: 392, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 51 (*ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*): 357.

⁴⁹ Ibn Saʿd., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn ʿAbbās*): 366–370, al-Balāḍurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asbāḥ*, vol. IV, bb. *ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*: 43, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr., *al-Istīʿāb fī maʿrifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 935, al-ʿAsqalānī., *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. V, n. 474: 276.

⁵⁰ al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*), nn. 6369, 6371: 660–661, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr., *al-Istīʿāb fī maʿrifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 936.

vine information. Ultimately, there would be some foundation for this in the corpus of *aḥādīṭ*, once it was established – “when time draws near,” suggests Muḥammad, “the dreams of a believer will hardly ever fail to come true,” and will in fact be “all that is left of the prophethood.”⁵¹ Another much-transmitted text intimates that a true dream is one of many ways in which a prophetic message can be delivered (“the dream of a Muslim is a portion among the forty-six portions of Prophethood”).⁵²

Through the 2nd/8th and 3rd/9th centuries, with Muslim authorities under pressure to establish divine revelation as an inimitable category of divine encounter dissimilar to other types of visions and dreams,⁵³ a number of elements of the Islamic understanding of the nature of visions were determined. The *aḥādīṭ* abound with

⁵¹ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 5, n. 6990: 88, bb. 26, n. 7017: 23, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 4 (*Kitāb al-ṣalāt*), bb. 41, n. [1074] 207 – (479): 590, [1075] 208: 591, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ruʾyā*), n. [5905] 6 – (2263): 119, Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Kitāb al-ṣalāt*), bb. 147–148, n. 876: 517, vol. V, bk. 40 (*ʿAwwāl kitāb al-adab*), bb. 8, n. 5017: 364, n. 50198: 365, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ruʾyā*), bb. 1, n. 2270: 316, n. 2272: 318, bb. 10, n. 2291: 330, al-Nasāʾī., *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, vol. II, bk. 12 (*Kitāb al-taṭbīq*), bb. 8, n. 1046: 112, bb. 62, n. 1121: 151.

⁵² al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 2, n. 6983: 85, bb. 4, nn. 6987, 6988, 6989: 87, bb. 10, n. 6994: 93, bb. 26, n. 7017: 23, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ruʾyā*), n. [5905] 6 – (2263): 119 (reads ‘forty-five’ rather than ‘forty-six’), n. [5906]: 120, n. [5908]: 121, n. [5909] 7 – (2264): 121, n. [5912]: 121–122, n. [5913]: 122, n. [5916] 9 – (2265): 122–123 (reads ‘seventy’ rather than ‘forty-six’), Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 40 (*ʿAwwāl kitāb al-adab*), bb. 8, n. 5018: 364, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ruʾyā*), bb. 1, n. 2270: 316, n. 2271: 317, bb. 2, n. 2272: 318, bb. 6, n. 2278: 322, bb. 10, n. 2291: 330.

⁵³ SIRRIYEH E., *Dreams and Visions in the World of Islam*, I.B. Tauris, London 2015: 49. Karamustafa attributes to Abū Saʿd al-Ḥarḡūshī (d. c. 406/1015) a book of dream interpretation, which is one of the oldest extant dream manuals in Arabic. Cf. KARAMUSTAFA A., *Sufism. The Formative Period*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh 2007: 65, LAMOREAUX J.C., *The Early Muslim Tradition of Dream Interpretation*, State University of New York Press, Albany: 2002.

references to dreams, devoting entire books and chapters to classifying them according to their source, their interpretation, their benefits and their perils. At the heart of this is a straightforward dualism; that good dreams (*ru'yā*) have their origin in God, while bad dreams (*ḥulm*) originate from Shayṭān.⁵⁴ Consequently, notes Muḥammad,

when one of you sees a dream that he likes, then it is from God, so let him praise God for it, and speak concerning what he saw. And when he sees other than that, what he dislikes, then it is from Shayṭān, so let him seek refuge in God from its evil, and not mention it to anyone for, surely, it shall not harm him.⁵⁵

The Qurʾān utilizes three⁵⁶ discrete expressions for dreams: the word *ru'yā* (typically rendered as ‘vision’ or ‘dream’) occurs six

⁵⁴ Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḡ*, vol. X, bb. 5, n. 3115: 132, al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʿ al-ḥalq*), bb. 11, n. 3292: 310, vol. VII, bk. 76 (*Kitāb al-ṭibb*), bb. 39, n. 5747: 353, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 3, n. 6984: 86 and bb. 14, n. 7005: 97–98 and bb. 46, n. 7044: 116, bb. 4, n. 6986: 86 and bb. 10, n. 6995: 93, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ru'yā*): [5897] 1 (2261): 116, [5900] 2 (...): 117, [5902] 3 (...): 118, [5903] 4 (...): 118–119, [5905] 6 (2263), Abū Dāwud., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 40 (*Kitāb al-adab*), b. 88, n. 5021: 366, Ibn Māḡa., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 35 (*Abwāb taʿbīr al-ru'yā*), bb. 4, nn. 3906, 3907, 3909: 146, 148. The distinction between good and bad (*ḥulm*) dreams reflected in the *aḥādīṭ* corpus is not entirely in harmony with the Qurʾānic concept of *ḥulm*, where such dreams are understood as indecipherable or confused but not necessarily as satanic in origin.

⁵⁵ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 3, n. 6985: 86, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. vol. VI, bk. 45 (*Kitāb al-daʿawāt*), bb. 52, n. 3453: 180.

⁵⁶ Four, notes Kinberg, who includes the interpretation of *bushrā* (‘glad tidings’) as ‘dream’ in Q. 10: 64. Cf. KINBERG L., “Dreams and Sleep” in Jane Dammen McAuliffe et al (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of the Qurʾān*, vol. I, Brill, Leiden 2001: 546.

times,⁵⁷ the designation *manām* which occurs four times, twice in reference to sleep⁵⁸ and twice connoting ‘dream’,⁵⁹ and the term *ḥulm*, used twice in its plural form (*ablām*), and understood as «a confused medley of dreams»,⁶⁰ the phrase that the sceptics around Umm Salama would use against her. In Q. 12:44, in the framework of the dreams to be interpreted by Yūsuf, it is this axiom used to designate the king’s dreams which, note the editors of ‘The Study Qur’an’, belong to “the category of mixed-up and false dreams – which stem from the internal chatter of the soul (*nafī*) or from demonic inspiration and are not therefore susceptible to meaningful interpretation.”⁶¹ On one occasion, a nightmare sends Fāṭima hurrying to her father; she has seen her two sons being slaughtered and is so distraught that Muḥammad resorts to challenging the very world of dreams itself:

He said: Vision! It presented itself before him and he said: Did you show Fāṭima this tribulation? It replied: No! Then he said: Confused dreams, did you show Fāṭima this tribulation? They replied: Yes, Messenger of God! He said: And what did you

⁵⁷ Q. 12:5, 43, 100 (in the context of Yūsuf), Q. 17:60 (in the context of the night journey), Q. 37:105 (in the context of Ibrāhīm), Q. 48:27 (in the context of Muḥammad).

⁵⁸ Q. 30:23, Q. 39:42.

⁵⁹ Q. 8:43 (in the context of Muḥammad), Q. 37:102 (in the context of Ibrāhīm). Sirriyeh, like Kinberg, suggests that the word *bushrā*, which carries a sense of ‘good news’, is employed in reference to a dream in Q. 10:64. Although this is less obvious than the other references, it is thus defined by the corpus of *aḥādīth*: cf. al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ru’yā*), bb. 3, n. 2273: 319, n. 2275: 320, vol. V, bk. 44 (*Abwāb taḥsīr al-qur’ān*), bb. 10, n. (1) 3105: 415. Hence too, the good dreams that convey glad tidings are called *mubāshirāt*. Cf. SIRRIYEH E., *Dreams and Visions in the World of Islam*, 2015: 49.

⁶⁰ Q. 12:44 and Q. 21:5.

⁶¹ NASR S.E. et al., (eds.), *The Study Quran*, HarperOne, New York 2015, nt. 44: 603.

desire, doing this? They replied: To make her grieve! Then he said: Fāṭima, listen to me! There was nothing in this!⁶²

In spite of his declaration, the residue of her dream is a sense of foreboding, the same disquiet left by the dream of Umm al-Faḍl; more dramatically, the reader knows that both al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn will indeed be killed after all. After one of Fāṭima's dreams, in the sixth month of her pregnancy with al-Ḥusayn, and in which "there came to me in my sleep one who rubbed his wings against my back" and "there came to me in my sleep one who made me sit up and made incantations over me and prayed God's protection over me," Muḥammad himself relieves her anxiety by identifying her nocturnal visitors, with whom he is on intimate terms; they are his friend 'Izrā'īl, "guardian and opener of the wombs of women", his friend Mīkā'īl, "guardian of the wombs of the people of my house" and his brother Ġibra'īl "to whom God has given care of your child."⁶³

While the books of *aḥādīṭ* offer a threefold categorization of dreams ("The righteous dream which is good news from God, dreams in which Shayṭān frightens someone, and dreams about something that has happened to the man himself"),⁶⁴ two classes of

⁶² al-ʿAyyāshī, *Tafsīr*, vol. II, Part Three, *sūrat Yūsuf*, n. 31: 178–179, Muḥammad Riḍā al-Ṭūsī, *Kanz al-daqaʿiq wa baḥr al-ġarāʾiḥ fī tafsīr al-qurʾān*, vol. VI, *sūrat Yūsuf*: 319, al-Bahrānī, *al-Burbān fī tafsīr al-qurʾān*, vol. III, Part Three, *sūrat Yūsuf*, n. 5288: 177, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 4, n. 15: 91, vol. LVIII, bb. 44, n. 16: 166, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālīm al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. II, ch. 19, bb. 4, n. 1: 505, n. 3: 508, al-Ġazāʾirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 43, al-Ḥuwayzī, *Tafsīr nūr al-taqalayn*, vol. II, *sūrat Yūsuf*, n. 86: 429.

⁶³ al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarāʾiġ wa-l-ġarāʾiḥ fī al-muʿġizāt*, vol. II: 841, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 12, n. 39: 272–273, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālīm al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XI, ch. 24, bb. 4, n. 147: 899.

⁶⁴ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 26, n. 7017: 103–104, Ibn Māġa, *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 35 (*Abwāb taʿbīr al-ruʾyā*), bb. 3, n. 3906: 146, n. 3907: 146–147), Abū Dāwūd, *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 40 (*Kitāb al-adab*), b. 88, n. 5019: 365, al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32

dreams can be individualized in Islam's sacred sources, although many dream encounters contain elements of both. Firstly, there are dreams or visions which relay a message; this might be the announcement of good news (Muḥammad designates as *al-mubāshirāt* those good dreams that convey happy tidings),⁶⁵ the harbinger of bad news, warnings, predictions or commandments. Striking in the Christian Scriptures, for example, are the dream sequences in Matthew's Gospel, in which the dominant theme is divine announcement. Five dreams, three of them specifically of angels⁶⁶ bearing news, are recounted in the Infancy Narratives.⁶⁷ In the same text, the wife of Pontius Pilate, the Rome-appointed Prefect of Judaea, reports having «suffered much over Him today in a dream» (Mt. 27:19) and urges her husband to spare Jesus. The dream *motif* is crucial in the narratives of the conception and birth of both Jesus and of al-Ḥusayn; but while a number of Joseph's dreams bring warnings about the child's safety, none of them predict his death.

Secondly, there are the more cryptic or inscrutable dreams, whose symbolism needs disentangling and interpretation; Muḥammad, interested in the dreams of his companions, himself

(*Abwāb al-ru'yā*), bb. 1, n. 2270: 316, bb. 7, n. 2280: 323–324, bb. 10, n. 2291: 330.

⁶⁵ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta'bir*), bb. 5, n. 6990: 88, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 4 (*Kitāb al-ṣalāt*), bb. 41, n. [1074] 207 – (479): 590, n. [1075] 208: 590–591, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ru'yā*), n. [5905] 6 – (2263): 120, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi' al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ru'yā*), bb. 2, n. 2272: 318 (“*al-mubāshirāt* are the Muslim's dreams”), al-Nasā'ī., *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, vol. II, bk. 12 (*Kitāb al-taṭbīq*), bb. 62, n. 1121: 151.

⁶⁶ Brown believes that most often in Old Testament usage, ‘the angel of the Lord’ is not a personal, spiritual being acting as intermediate between God and humanity, but simply a way of describing God's visible presence among people. Cf. BROWN R., *The Birth of the Messiah*, Doubleday, New York 1993: 129.

⁶⁷ Mt. 1: 20 (an angel to Joseph); Mt. 2: 12 (a dream of the Magi); Mt. 2: 13 (an angel to Joseph); Mt. 2: 19 (an angel to Joseph); Mt. 2: 22 (to Joseph).

invites whoever has had a dream to let him interpret it,⁶⁸ and among numerous examples in the Sunna, one could name his interpreting a dream of people wearing shirts of different lengths as a reference to religion,⁶⁹ his deciphering a dream of the consumption of milk that leads to it exuding from the fingernails, as religious knowledge,⁷⁰ his unravelling the dream of a broken sword symbolizing the casualties on the day of Uḥud, a dream of the sword fixed again symbolizing the conquest of Mecca, a dream of cows, reminiscent of the dreams interpreted in the Joseph/Yūsuf narratives, symbolizing the faithful believers (who were martyred) on the day of Uḥud,⁷¹ or his explanation a dream of a flowing stream as a reference good deeds.⁷² The dream of Umm al-Faḍl, examined in this anthology, is an enigmatic vision that demands elucidation, while

⁶⁸ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. II, bk. 19 (*Kitāb al-tabaḡḡud*), bb. 1, n. 1121: 139, bk. 23 (*Kitāb al-ḡanaʿiẓ*), bb. 93, n. 1386: 267, vol. V, bk. 62 (*Kitāb faḍāʿil aṣḥāb al-nabī*), bb. 19, n. 3738: 63, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 35, n. 7028: 109, bb. 36, n. 7030: 111, bb. 48, n. 7047: 118, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ruʿyā*), bb. 3, n. [5931]: 129, bb. 4, n. [5937] 23 – (2275): 132, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍāʿil al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 31, n. [6369] 139 – (2478): 343, Abū Dāwud., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 39 (*Awḍāʿ kitāb al-sunna*), bb. 8, n. 4634: 176, bk. X (*Awḍāʿ kitāb al-adab*), bb. 8, n. 5017: 364.

⁶⁹ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 15, n. 23: 65, vol. V, bk. 62 (*Kitāb faḍāʿil aṣḥāb al-nabī*), bb. 6, n. 3691: 35, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 17, n. 7006: 99, bb. 18, n. 7009: 99–100, al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ruʿyā*), bb. 9, n. 2285: 326–327.

⁷⁰ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 3 (*Kitāb al-ʿilm*), bb. 22, n. 82: 104–105, vol. V, bk. 62 (*Kitāb faḍāʿil aṣḥāb al-nabī*), bb. 6, n. 3681: 30, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 15, n. 7006: 98, bb. 16, n. 7007: 99, bb. 34, n. 7027: 109, bb. 37, n. 7032: 111–112, al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ruʿyā*), bb. 9, n. 2284: 325–326, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqib*), n. 3687: 365.

⁷¹ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 61 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 25, n. 3622: 494, vol. V, bk. 63 (*Kitāb al-maḡāẓi*), bb. 27, n. 4081: 249, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 39, n. 7035: 112–113, bb. 4, n. 7041: 115, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ruʿyā*), bb. 4, n. [5934] 20 – (2272): 129–139.

⁷² al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. III, bk. 52 (*Kitāb al-shabādāt*), bb. 30, n. 2687: 499, vol. V, bk. 63 (*Kitāb manāqib al-anṣār*), bb. 46, n. 3929: 165–166, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 13, n. 7004: 97, bb. 27, n. 7018: 104–105.

the appearance of symbolic materials such as red dust in some visits by Ġibrīl combine a straightforward warning with allusive elements.

While Muslim scholars may attribute these dream divisions and categories to Muḥammad, they existed long before him; the 2nd century Artemidorus Daldianus, from contemporary western Turkey, was an avid chronicler of dreams and their interpretation, producing his famous handbook (*Oneirocritica*), which would eventually find its way into the hands of medieval Islamic scholars.⁷³ Artemidorus apports dreams into two essential types, the dream properly called *oneiros*, which forecasts future events, and the *enhyppnion*, which exposes the dreamer's state of mind or life. The *oneiros* can predict good or bad things, and may be literal (thus liberating the dreamer from the prerequisite of an interpreter), or allegorical (where assistance would be needed in making sense of the prediction). For his part, the Christian scholar Tertullian determines three possible origins for dreams: God, demons, and the mind or soul.⁷⁴

Notwithstanding their religious significance, dreams are sparser in the Hebrew and Christian Scriptures than they are in the sacred sources of Islam. That God communicates with humanity through dreams is plainly asserted in the Scriptures,⁷⁵ and divine revelation through dreams is a recurring theme in the Hebrew and Christian texts, in most cases the dream being said to have come from God.⁷⁶ There are certainly some biblical dreams recorded which are not specifically said to have come from Him,⁷⁷ although their divine origin is presumed. There are approximately a dozen dreams in the Hebrew Scriptures, but only on rare occasions is

⁷³ Cf. SIRRIYEH E., *Dreams and Visions in the World of Islam*, 2015: 9.

⁷⁴ NEIL B., "Studying Dream Interpretation from Early Christianity to the Rise of Islam" in *Journal of Religious History*, vol. 40, n. 1, March 2016: 63, BULKELEY K., *Dreaming in the World's Religions. A Comparative History*, New York University Press, New York 2008: 181.

⁷⁵ Cf. Num. 12:6, Job 33:14.

⁷⁶ Cf. Gen. 20:3, 28:12, 31:10, I Kings 3:5–15, Dan. 2:19, 7:1, Mt. 1:20, 2:12, 13, 19, 22, Acts 23:11, 27:23.

⁷⁷ Gen. 37:6, 30:5, 31:1, Jdg. 7:13, II Mach. 15:11.

God Himself said to appear in a dream (Gen. 20:3, 28:13, I Kgs. 3:5); more usually, He communicates through an angel.

Dreams and visions are embedded into the texts of the Hebrew Scriptures from the outset. Using the Hebrew *ḥālôm* (Gk. *hypnō* or *enupnion*) and its derivatives, the text of Genesis is dominated by the dreams of Jacob (Gen. 28:10ff) and Joseph (Gen. 37–42); but as if to underscore that divine communications in dreams are for the good and bad alike, it is also in a dream that Abimelech, the polytheistic Philistine king, is warned of his death (Gen. 3:20), and the trickster Laban sees God (Gen. 31:24). While the book of Numbers assures its readers that God speaks to people in dreams and visions (Num. 12:6), the Wisdom literature appears to dismiss them; with dreams, warns the author of Ecclesiastes, written up to a millennium before Muḥammad, come many cares, vanities and a multitude of words (Eccles. 5:3, 7). The Book of Sirach believes that «*dreams give wings to fools*» (Sir. 34:1), and «*as one who catches at a shadow and pursues the wind, so is anyone who believes in dreams*» (Sir. 34:2). The author concludes that «*divinations and omens and dreams are unreats*» (Sir. 34:5) and that «*dreams have deceived many*» (Sir. 34:7), while Jeremiah, warning against a danger that would later be taken up by Christian and then Islamic scholars, reminds his readers that «*the difference between dreams and God's word is like the difference between straw and wheat*» (Jer. 23:28). In spite of Sirach's dismissive words, in the Hebrew Scriptures Daniel and Joseph are acclaimed as adroit interpreters, with the enigmatic dreams interpreted by Joseph echoed in the Qur'ānic account of Yūsuf, chosen by God for the «*interpretation of events*»⁷⁸ and asked by a variety of persons to make sense of their dreams for them (Q. 12:35–42). As with Muḥammad in the Sunna, the Bible urges the circumspect treatment of dreams; regardless of the presence of skilled unravellers of visions like Daniel and Joseph, warnings against false dreams, visions and interpretations occur frequently in the text (Deut. 13:5, Jer. 23:25, 29:8, Zech.

⁷⁸ Q. 12:6, (*ta'wīl al-aḥādīṭ*). The editors of 'The Study Bible' note: "In traditional Islamic psychology this entails knowing the source of dreams" (NASR S.E. et al., (eds.), *The Study Quran*, 2015, nt. 6: 592). This source is either angelic or demonic. Cf. also al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta'bīr*), bb. 11: 88–89.

10:2). Nonetheless, God continues to appear to dreamers like Solomon (1 Kgs. 3:15) and Daniel (Dan. 1:17) or to test holy people like Job («*you scare me with dreams and terrify me with visions*», Job 7:14), and Saul can still lament over a God gone missing, a God who «*no longer answers me, either by prophets or by dreams*» (1 Sam. 28:15), the absence of dreams analogous to the loss of the divine proximity.

The great dreamer of the Christian Scriptures is Joseph, husband of Mary. The annunciation to Mary recorded by Luke and Matthew, and in which Joseph is intimately involved, as with its parallel Qur'ānic accounts (Q. 3:42–47, Q. 19:16–21), is not depicted as a dream; however, visits by Ġibrīl or other angels, although outside of such a context, cannot be classed as ordinary encounters, but must be considered as meetings with the divine. Five dreams are imputed to Joseph, with the same Greek word (*ónar*) used for each. In three of the dreams Joseph receives instructions (Mt 1:20, 2:13, 19) and in two he is warned about a future difficulty (Mt. 2:12, 22). Only in three of the texts is an angel mentioned, while in the other two the angelic presence is presumed. In Mt. 2:12, the recipient of the dream is understood to be Joseph, although the text fails to mention his name.

The Hebrew and Christian Scriptures use a variety of terms to distinguish between 'dreams' and 'visions' (Heb. *ḥāẓôn*, Gk. *órama*), a distinction made in the Book of Numbers,⁷⁹ and by reason of which some divine encounters might be classed as 'visions' or a 'waking dreams'. Daniel, for example, most often receives his visions in dream form while asleep (Dan. 2:19, 7:1), but he also has daytime visions (Dan. 10:4–5), while in the Book of Revelation, John receives his revelatory vision while «*in the Spirits*» (Rev. 1:10). This distinction can equally be made in the Islamic texts, underscored by a *ḥadīṭ* averring that what Muḥammad saw during the Night Journey (*isrāʾ*) were not dreams but things actually seen, like visions in broad daylight (*ruʾyā ʿayn*),⁸⁰ as opposed to those dreams

⁷⁹ «*The oracle of one who hears the words of God, who sees the vision of the Almighty, who falls down, but with eyes uncovered*» (Num. 24:4).

⁸⁰ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. V, bk. 63 (*Kitāb manāqib al-anʿār*), bb. 42, n. 3888: 137, vol. VI, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), bb. 9, n. 4716: 192, vol. VIII, bk.

described by the use of *manām*, with its connotation of sleep. The ‘night visions’ of Isaiah, Israel, Daniel and Paul might also fall into this category.⁸¹

Like dreams, such visions occur throughout the Hebrew and Christian texts. Both Abram and Jacob are addressed by God in visions (Gen 15:1, 46:2), in accordance with the assurance, already seen, in Num. 12:6, that God speaks to prophets in such a manner. Although at times, as in the childhood of Samuel, «visions were not widespread» (I Sam. 3:1), prophets like Isaiah, Ezekiel, Daniel and Zechariah were recurrent recipients (Is. 6:1, Ez. 1:1, 8:3). In Luke’s Gospel, Zechariah sees and converses with an angel in a vision in the sanctuary (Lk. 1:11); at the end of the same text, a group of women would have the same experience at the empty tomb (Lk. 24:23). The Book of Acts, also penned by Luke, abounds with visions; Ananias, Cornelius and Paul all see and converse with angelic visitors in visions (Acts 9:10, 10:3, 18:9). Like the slave-girl Hagar (Gen. 16:7), Peter has an encounter with an angel that is quite patently not a dream or a vision (Acts 12:9). A warning from Paul to those fixated with «the worship of angels, dwelling on visions» (Col. 2:18) suggests the same difficulties in the nascent Church as will be seen in emerging Islam, and the subsequent need for orthodox formulations.

Notwithstanding the examples of dreams, dreamers and interpreters in the Hebrew and Christian Scriptures, the early Church took an unreceptive view, endorsed by Church Fathers like Jerome (d. 419) and Augustine (d. 430),⁸² denying Baptism to interpreters of dreams and, especially after Constantine,⁸³ censuring all types of divination. There was a general acceptance that some dreams, espe-

82 (*Kitāb al-qadar*), bb. 10, n. 6613: 324–325, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta’bīr*), bb. 1, n. 6982: 83.

⁸¹ Gen. 46:2, Is. 29:7, Dan. 2:19, 7:2, 7, 13, Acts 16:9, 18:9.

⁸² BULKELEY K., *Dreaming in the World’s Religions. A Comparative History*, 2008: 178.

⁸³ With the conversion of the Roman Emperor Constantine (d. 337) to Christianity, and his subsequent legitimizing of the Church and its worship, Christianity began its transition into the dominant religion of the Roman Empire.

cially those of the saints or of professional religious people like monks and nuns, might come from God (the ‘Shepherd of Hermas’ being a rare example, since the recipient was no monk but a herder),⁸⁴ but as Neil underscores, dreams of the laity were thought to be beset with hazards, leading to the overarching fear that most of them came from the devil.⁸⁵ Although to some extent this view would come to be reflected in the *ahādīṭ*, the traditional Muslim image of Muḥammad, based on the Qur’ānic data which designates him as one who received from God both dreams and the ability to understand them, is that he is, with God’s permission, a master of interpretation (*ta’bīr*). In this way, the Prophet’s own life gives credence to a phenomenon already approved by the Qur’ān:

‘Amr replied: I heard ‘Ubayd b. ‘Umayr saying that the dreams of Prophets were Divine Revelations, and then he recited the verse: *«And when (his son) was old enough to walk with him, (Abraham) said: O my dear son, I have seen in a dream that I must sacrifice thee»*.⁸⁶

While the skill of unlocking the cryptic meanings of dreams is reserved to a select, divinely-appointed few, such as Joseph and Daniel in the Hebrew Scriptures and, in the Islamic tradition, Yūsuf and Muḥammad, it is patently clear, as in the Karbalā’ dream narratives, that the good and the wicked equally can receive divine communications in dreams. In the Hebrew Scriptures, both the Pharaoh and Nebuchadnezzar awake troubled by dreams (although awaking troubled is not in itself indicative of the wickedness of the dreamer’s life, since in one famous narrative Muḥammad wakes disturbed

⁸⁴ A mid-2nd century Christian text, hugely popular among the next two generations of Christians and comprising five didactic visions given to a shepherd called Hermas.

⁸⁵ NEIL B., “Studying Dream Interpretation from Early Christianity to the Rise of Islam” in *Journal of Religious History*, vol. 40, n. 1, March 2016: 55.

⁸⁶ Q. 37:102. Cf. al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 4 (*Kitāb al-wuḍū’*), bb. 5, n. 138: 136–137, bk. 10 (*Kitāb al-aḍān*), bb. 161, n. 859: 473.

by what he has dreamt). The Pharaoh⁸⁷ has two dreams in succession and awakes with a «troubled mind» (Gen. 41:8). Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, has dreams leading to anxiety and an extended period of insomnia. He summons his magicians and astrologers to interpret, demanding that they first tell him what his dreams were. They insist that only the gods could do such a thing and that there are no gods living among them, and Nebuchadnezzar orders that all wise men be executed. In fact, Daniel is hovering on the fringes of Nebuchadnezzar's world, one of the divinely-selected few, (Dan. 2:1–10) and insists that God can interpret the mysterious dreams that the Babylonian gods and wise men cannot, a polemical note that also arises in the context of some of the Karbalā' dream texts. The suggestion, notes Sirriyeh, is clear; intuitive, divinely-guided interpretations are superior to human deductive methods.⁸⁸

In many of the dreams of the Hebrew Scriptures, it is the message itself rather than actually seeing the messenger that is crucial, so that the dreamer recalls the words but not the one who brings them. Alternatively, in his dream at Bethel, Jacob certainly sees angels, and also the Lord, standing above them, who addresses him directly. The slave girl Hagar also sees the Lord, in the form of an angel, who speaks to her concerning her pregnancy, causing her to declare: «I have now seen the One who sees me» (Gen. 16:7–13). Angels become very prominent in early Christian dreams, sometimes as alluring entities come to bring guidance, at times as alarming harbingers of divine anger. In Islam, the messenger who delivered the dream was no longer some capricious god or goddess of the 'time of ignorance' (*ḡābiliyya*), but Muḥammad, the trustworthiness of his presence verified by the *ḥadīṭ* ("Whoever sees me in a dream has really seen me, since Shayṭān cannot make himself similar to me in a dream"),⁸⁹ or at times an angel, or sometimes other es-

⁸⁷ Held by scholars almost certainly to be Necho II, a king of the 26th Dynasty (610–595 BC).

⁸⁸ SIRRIYEH E., *Dreams and Visions in the World of Islam*, 2015: 13.

⁸⁹ Among the Sunnī, cf. Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḡ*, vol. X, bb. 2, nn. 31088–31091: 122–123, n. 31093: 123, al-Buḡḡārī., *Ṣaḡīḡ*, vol. I, bk. 3 (*Kitāb al-'ilm*), bb. 38, n. 110: 119, vol. VIII, bk. 78 (*Kitāb al-adab*), bb. 109,

teemed Muslim personality. The constancy with which the divine erupted into Muḥammad's own life – from 610 he became recipient of many dreams, asleep and awake, visual and auditory, with 'Ā'isha narrating that the very beginning of revelation to Muḥammad was in the form of true dreams⁹⁰ – made him, like the

n. 6197: 122, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta'bir*), bb. 10, nn. 6993, 6994, 6996, 6997: 92–93, Muslim., *Sunan*, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ru'yā*), bb. 1, nn. [5919] 10 – (2266), [5920] 11, [5923] 12 – (2268), [5924] 13: 123–125, Ibn Māḡa., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 35 (*Abwāb ta'bir al-ru'yā*), nn. 3900–3905: 144–145, Abū Dāwud., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 40 (*Kitāb al-adab*), bb. 88, n. 5023: 366, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi' al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 32 (*Abwāb al-ru'yā*), bb. 4, n. 2276: 320–321, al-Hākim al-Nisābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak 'alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. IV, bk. 47 (*Kitāb ta'bir al-ru'yā*), n. 8266: 547, Ibn al-'Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2644. Among the Shī'a, cf. al-Hilālī., *Kitāb Sulaym b. Qays*, vol. II, n. 4: 823, Ibn Bābawayh., *Man lā yaḥḍuru-hu al-faqīh*, vol. II, n. 3191: 585, *Kitāb al-amālī fī-l-aḥādīṯ wa-l-aḥbār*, maḡlis 15, n. 10: 64, *Uyūn aḥbār al-Riḍā*, vol. II, bb. 66, n. 11: 257, al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṯ*, maḡlis 11, n. 97–650: 324, Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā'iḡin wa-taḥṣīrat al-mutta'izīn*, vol. I, maḡlis fī ḍikr wafāti-hi: 234, al-Ṭabarsī., *I'lām al-warā bi-a'lām al-budā*, Part Three, bb. 7: 333, vol. II, Part Three, bb. 2: 71, al-Shu'ayrī., *Ġāmi' al-aḥbār*: 172, al-Irbilī., *Kaṣḥf al-ḡumma fī ma'rifat al-a'imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 57, 330, al-Daylamī., *Irsḥād al-qulūb*, vol. II, bb. *Fī faḍā'il wa manāqīb amīr al-mu'minin 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib wa ḡazawāti-hi*: 393, al-Bayyāḍī., *al-Širāt al-mustaḡim ilā mustaḥaqq al-taqdīm fī al-imāma*, vol. III, bb. 14: 155, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-maḡālīs wa ḡīnat al-maḡālīs*, vol. II, maḡlis 9: 485, al-Maḡlisī., *Rawḍat al-muttaḡīn fī sharḥ man lā yaḥḍuru-hu al-faqīh*, vol. V: 398, al-Māzandarānī., *Sharḥ uṣūl al-Kāfī*, vol. VII, *Abwāb al-tāriḥ*: 377, al-Qazwīnī., *al-Shāfi fī uṣūl al-Kāfī*, vol. II, bb. 15: 251, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī., *al-Wāfi*, vol. XIV, bb. 195 (3191/584/2, 17–14645): 1550, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma'āḡīz fī mu'ḡīzāt al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. II, Part Two, bb.1: 94, vol. VII, Part Seven, bb. 8, n. 154/2256: 183, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXX, bb. 19: 132, al-Iṣfahānī., *'Awālīm al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 27, bb. 2, n. 1: 722, vol. XXII, ch. 24, bb. 1, n. 5: 467, al-Ġazā'irī., *Riḡāḍ al-abrār fī manāqīb al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 304, vol. II: 415.

⁹⁰ Cf. for e.g. al-Buḡārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), bb. 3, n. 4956: 404, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta'bir*), bb. I, n. 6982: 83, Muslim.,

prophet Yūsuf before him, the archetypal dreamer and, guided by heaven, a skilled unraveller of his own dreams and those of others.

Artemidorus, whose *Oneirocritica* became one of the ways in which the pre-Islamic Near Eastern and Mediterranean region impacted upon Islamic ideas of dreams and dreaming,⁹¹ was also confident that the dreams of ordinary people may affect the lives of others, and notes the likelihood that a dream that has a public affect would probably occur to a number of citizens, something borne out by the variety of people, both good and bad, who dreamt of Karbalā'. As noted by Neil, in Judaism, Christianity and Islam, dreams were (and often still are) recognised as having not only personal meanings for the dreamer, but also social and cultural meanings for the dreamer's community.⁹² What is clear is that, in spite of certain hesitations, Islam displayed a more optimistic view about dreams than did the first few generations of Christians. All three monotheistic systems acknowledged that God could intervene in lives and situations through dreams, and even though all three groups maintained a wary apprehension about the possibility of Satanic intrusion, Judaism and Islam were more proactive than was Christianity in recognizing that visions and dreams could be a vital aid in the spiritual lives of the faithful.

It is not only dreams that hint at divine activity and intervention; the pages of the Qur'ān are infused, in a vivid and palpable manner, with angelic presence, supernatural creatures, rarely glimpsed, although occasionally manifesting themselves, only ever by divine command, in a form accessible to human capacity, and described by the text more precisely in terms of their function than of their nature. As Lizzini observes, even though belief in angels pertains to the very nature of faith in Islam, with angels only second to God in the list of credal statements in Q. 2:285,⁹³ the early

Ṣaḥīḥ, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 73, n. [403] 252 – (160): 252–253, n. [405] 254: 255.

⁹¹ SIRRIYEH E., *Dreams and Visions in the World of Islam*, 2015: 9.

⁹² NEIL B., "Studying Dream Interpretation from Early Christianity to the Rise of Islam", 2016: 45.

⁹³ «The messenger believeth in that which hath been revealed unto him from his Lord and (so do) believers. Each one believeth in Allah and His angels and His scrip-

theological discourse in Islam, concerned with more pressing issues, had little to say about angels.⁹⁴ After the 2nd/8th century rise of the ‘Abbāsid dynasty, in territories once possessed by the Hellenic-Christian Byzantines and the Persian-Zoroastrian Sāsānids, civilizations now grown tired, Islamic sages and explorers began to encounter the intellectual and philosophical legacies of Rome, Greece, Persia and India; in spite of the influence of these on Islamic theological definitions, subsequent Islamic theology did not linger too long around the theological question of angels, although this in no way diminishes their importance. It was an encounter that would result in a new Islamic civilization, as Muslims appropriated and adapted a variety of intellectual heritages. The outcome would be seen in Islam’s formal ventures in theology (*kalām*), the engagement of its religious expression with Greek philosophy (*falsafa*) and a mystical tradition that hungered after intimacy with God (*taṣawwuf*), three fields in which the concept of angels would be cultivated and refined, especially in terms of angelic names and functions.

Qur’ānic references to angels are rudimentary, with the angels mentioned specifically in relation to their function. One text offers a physiological description («Praise be to Allah, the Creator of the heavens and the earth, Who appointeth the angels messengers having wings two, three and four»),⁹⁵ while another speaks of an upward movement, as angels «ascend unto him».⁹⁶ For the rest, the Qur’ān deliberates chiefly on the several functions assigned to them, and primarily, as in Juda-

tures and His messengers – We make no distinction between any of His messengers – and they say: We hear, and we obey. (Grant us) Thy forgiveness, our Lord. Unto Thee is the journeying.

⁹⁴ LIZZINI O., “Islamic Angelology. Revelation, Tradition and Philosophical Elaboration. Some Brief Observations” in *Encounter* n. 227, August-September 1996, Pontificio Istituto di Studi Arabi e d’Islamistica, Rome: 2.

⁹⁵ Q. 35:1. In the Hebrew Scriptures too, we are offered a picture, through Isaiah, of «seraphim, each with six wings: with two wings they covered their faces, with two they covered their feet, and with two they were flying» above «the Lord, high and exalted, seated on a throne» (Is. 6:1–3).

⁹⁶ Q. 70:4.

ism and Christianity, the function of worship and praise; dwelling in the divine presence, they offer obeisance, unwearied in the honour they give (Q. 21:19–20, cf. Dan. 7:10, Rev. 5:11–12, where the number of angels encircling the throne is «*thousands upon thousands, and ten thousand times ten thousand*»), and also exercising a mediatory function in asking forgiveness for humans (Q. 42:5). There are other functions too; their nearness to God (*muqarrabūn*)⁹⁷ and bearing of the divine throne⁹⁸ does not preclude them from activity on the human plane. Angels, at God's command, distribute either blessing or punishment,⁹⁹ acting as people's guardians (*ḥafaza* or *ḥāfiẓūn*)¹⁰⁰ and as the scribes who take careful note of human actions, the good and the bad.¹⁰¹

This early, simple angelology, characterized in the texts by functionality and thus similar to that of primitive Christianity, would be augmented by the corpus of *ahādīṭ* and stories from popular piety, creating a more substantial definition of angels, who would come to serve as place markers, clearly marking the borders between the earthly and heavenly realms, leaving the divine realm only by permission (clearly expressed in the Ibn Ḥanbal narratives about the angel of the rain), and in that act of leaving, joining the two territories by their interventions among humans. While they are part of the celestial realm and thus distinct from the *ǧinn* and humans, whose sphere is terrestrial, angels are nonetheless present

⁹⁷ Q. 4:172; Pickthall renders the Arabic *muqarrabūn* as 'favoured', although the sense is of angels in or near to the presence of God (as in Arberry's rendition, 'near-stationed'). Despite a certain similarity in the terminology, they are not comparable to the cherubim of the Hebrew Scriptures, who have a variety of functions but whose primary role is never clearly stated.

⁹⁸ Q. 39:75, Q. 40:7, Q. 69:17 In the opening verses of the book of Ezekiel, the winged creatures bearing up the throne are eventually, some chapters later, identified as cherubim (Ez. 10:2); and the prayer of King Hezekiah in Is. 37:16 is made to God «*enthroned above the cherubims*».

⁹⁹ Q. 51:4, Q. 8:50, Q. 47:27.

¹⁰⁰ Q. 6:61, Q. 13:11, Q. 82:10, Q. 86:4.

¹⁰¹ Q. 43:80, Q. 50:17–18, Q. 82:11.

when humans perform certain activities, such as prayer and battle.¹⁰²

The Arabic for ‘angel’, *malak*, sometimes *malāk*, is held by Islamic scholars to be an Arabic word, although they diverge over whether it derives from *malaka* or *alaka* (or even possible *la’aka*, ‘to send’, as noted by Lane).¹⁰³ Jeffery for his part holds it to be borrowed from the Ethiopian, although assimilated into Arabic long before Muḥammad, so that the Qur’ān has no difficulty in assuming that angels are patently known to an Arabic audience.¹⁰⁴ The Arabic *malaka-yamlīku* carries a range of meanings, with the underlying sense of power, possession, domination or exercising control. As Jeffery notes, perhaps it is from this root that the angel in charge of Hell, Mālik, gets his name.¹⁰⁵ It is, in some of the texts we will see, the imperative issued by Muḥammad to Umm Salama, to ‘take control’ of the door while within, he is occupied with an angelic visitor. The second possibility, the Arabic *alaka-yaluku* (under which Lane chooses to define ‘angel’ noting that *malak* is both singular and plural), carries the sense of acting as a messenger between people, conveying or communicating a message or sending a message or messenger.¹⁰⁶ However, the more usual plural for ‘angel’ is a present participle in the feminine form, *malā’ika*.

While certainly, in that pre-Islamic period which Islam refers to as ‘the time of ignorance’ (*ḡābiliyya*) angels were imagined by some to be God’s ‘daughters’, the Qur’ān sharply rebukes such a concept (*«it is those who disbelieve in the Hereafter who name the angels with*

¹⁰² Q. 17:78, Q. 9:26, Q. 33:9

¹⁰³ LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. I, 1968: 82, vol. VIII: 3007. Godwin informs his readers that the original Hebrew *mal’akh* meant ‘God’s shadow side’ and only later came to mean ‘messenger’. Cf. GODWIN M., *Angels. An Endangered Species*, Boxtree Ltd., London 1990: 7.

¹⁰⁴ JEFFERY A., *The Foreign Vocabulary of the Qur’ān*, 2007: 269–270.

¹⁰⁵ Op. cit.: 257.

¹⁰⁶ LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. I, 1968: 81–82. Cf. also MACDONALD D.B., “Mala’ika” in C. Bosworth et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, vol. VI, 1991: 216, IBN MANZŪR., *Lisān al-‘Arab*, vol. I: 111.

the names of females)),¹⁰⁷ so that the feminine form of the plural should not be seen to have any special significance.

Quite patently, many of the texts upon which this study is based have been deemed unreliable or even entirely inauthentic by a succession of academics starting with Gustav Weil (d. 1889) and Aloys Sprenger (d. 1893), seemingly the first Western scholars to suggest that a considerable part of the corpus of *ahādīṭ* must be regarded as spurious. The Hungarian intellectual Ignaz Goldziher (d. 1921) pioneered the first critical study of veritability, concluding that a large proportion of the *ahādīṭ* came from a period much later than Muḥammad, and ought to be regarded as unsubstantiated. His argument was developed by Joseph Schacht (d. 1969), who proposed that at the very least, the *ahādīṭ* dealing with legal concerns should be rejected as false. Goldziher and Schacht would be succeeded by scholars such as John Wansbrough (d. 2002) and Michael Cook (b. 1940), although some, like Nabia Abbott (d. 1981) and Fuat Sezgin (d. 2018) attempted a refutation of the Goldziherian hypothesis, while still others, including Harald Motzki (d. 2019) and Fazlur Rahman (d. 1988) sought a middle path, a synthesis of the two extremes.¹⁰⁸

I have chosen, for the purposes of this study, to read these texts primarily in the manner in which they have impressed themselves upon the lives of believing Muslims, shaping and instructing faith. Even if, as some scholars propose, the ambiguous epistemological nature of the *ahādīṭ* works is admitted by Muslim jurists, meaning that the majority engender nothing more than probability,¹⁰⁹ they can be and in reality still are read by countless believers whose faith is structured and informed by their content.

I have attempted, as far as possible, to present a chronological development of the stories recounted, using the primary source texts of both Sunnī and Shīʿī Islam as a way of underscoring not only the importance of dreams in the Islamic tradition, but also the

¹⁰⁷ Q. 53:27. Cf. also Q. 17:40, Q. 43:19.

¹⁰⁸ Cf. HALLAQ W., “The Authenticity of Prophetic Ḥadīth: a Pseudo-Problem” in *Studia Islamica* LXXXIX, 1999: 75–76.

¹⁰⁹ Op. cit.: 75–90.

critical impact of the Karbalā' event on Islamic history. A list of the sources will be found in the second appendix to this work.

By the time Sulaymān b. 'Abd al-Malik b. Marwān was learning in a dream that he had won the satisfaction of the Prophet of Islam, al-Ḥusayn had been dead for only a matter of years, and the memory of Karbalā' was being kept alive in a variety of ways, such as by groups like the 'Penitents' (*tawwābūn*) who, with profound regret for their failure to support him, set out to avenge the martyr. But our story starts long before that, long before the *tawwābūn* stopped at al-Ḥusayn's Karbalā' tomb, in remorseful prayer and weeping, to the dreams al-Ḥusayn's grandfather Muḥammad would begin to have shortly before the birth of his youngest grandson.

THE SHADE OF GOD. DREAMS IN THE PROPHET'S HOUSEHOLD

Not long after the birth of al-Ḥusayn, firstborn of ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib and his wife Fāṭima, a parturition thoroughly encased in accounts of heavenly intervention, the infant triggered a visit to his grandfather Muḥammad by the angel Ġibrīl, fitting the pattern of a series of encounters and auguries that would continue until the Prophet's death. The stark reality of the suffering that lay ahead was in bleak contrast to the proceedings around al-Ḥusayn's birth; Fāṭima carries him in her womb for a period of only six months,¹ and God

¹ A well-attested *ḥadīth*, carried by many of the foremost Shīʿī transmitters, reports that Fāṭima carried al-Ḥusayn in her womb for a period of only six months: "She gave birth to him in six months: the birth of a child in six months is unheard of, except for al-Ḥusayn and ʿĪsā son of Maryam" (al-Ṭabarī, *Dalāʾil al-imāma*: 71–72, al-Kulaynī, *al-Kāfī fī ʿilm al-dīn*, vol. I, *Kitāb al-ḥuḡḡa*, bb. *Mawlid al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*, n. 4: 464, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ḥijārah*, bb. 16, n. 6: 125, Ibn Bābawayh., *ʿIlal al-sharāʿiʿ wa-l-aḥkām*, vol. I, bb. 156: 205, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. III, bb.

guards her “and the one in her womb from Iblīs.”² God also gives orders to the angels in heaven suitably to celebrate the birth of a grandson for Muḥammad.³ However, the travail of the future is captured in a grim narrative transmitted by the Sunnī historian al-Baġdādī and by a small number of the later Shīʿī transmitters:

On the authority of Abū al-ʿAbbās, who said: I was with the Prophet. On his left thigh he had his son Ibrāhīm and on his right thigh al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī. He was kissing, now the one, now the other, when Ġibrīl descended to him with a communication from the Lord of the worlds. When he had gone from him, he said: “Ġibrīl came to me from my Lord and said: Muḥammad, your Lord greets you with peace, and says to you that you cannot have both of them. Ransom one of them for his companion.” The Prophet looked at Ibrāhīm and wept, and looked at al-Ḥusayn and wept. Then he said: “The mother of Ibrāhīm is a slave,⁴ and when he dies, no one will grieve for

Manāqib Fāṭima al-ẓabrāʾ: 359, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 50, al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ġumma fī maʿrifat al-aʿimma*, vol. I: 583, al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī, *Tafṣīl wasāʾil al-shīʿa ilā taḥṣīl masaʾil al-sharīʿa*, vol. II, bb. 12, n. 2756: 502, al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XIV, bb. 17, nn. 2–3: 207, vol. XXV, bb. 9, n. 15: 254, vol. XXXIX: 71, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 31: 253, n. 44: 258, vol. XLIV, bb. 22: 162, bb. 26, n. 14: 198, bb. 30, n. 17: 232, vol. LXVI, bb. 37: 266). Among the Sunnī, cf. al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥāʾir al-ʿuqbā*, bb. 9: 206.

² al-Astarābādī, *Taʾwīl al-āyāt al-bābira fī faḍl al-ʿitra al-ṭābira*: 562, al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXIII, bb. 15, n. 23: 272, vol. XXXVI, bb. 39, n. 137: 108.

³ Ibn Bābawayh, *Ikmāl (kamāl) al-dīn wa-itmām (tamām) al-niʿma fī iṭbāt al-ġayba wa-kashf al-ḥayra*, vol. I, bb. 24, n. 36: 282, al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 24: 248.

⁴ All of Muḥammad’s children, except for the short-lived Ibrāhīm who was born of his Coptic wife Māriyya, were born of Ḥadiġa. Cf. Ibn Saʿd, *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. X, *Ḍiker Ḥadiġa*, n. 4926: 19, al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, ch. 5, *Faḍāʾil Fāṭima al-Zabrāʾ bt. rasūl Allāh*, n. 77: 130; al-Ġawzī, *Ṣifāt al-ṣafīna*, vol. I, *Ḍiker ahlād (Muḥammad)*: 57, al-Ḍahabī, *al-ʿIbar fī aḥbār al-bashar muntaḥab al-taʾrīḥ al-*

him except me. But the mother of al-Ḥusayn is Fāṭima and his father is ‘Alī, son of my uncle, my flesh and blood, and when he dies my daughter will grieve, and so will ‘Alī and so will I. I prefer my grief to theirs. Ġibrīl, take Ibrāhīm!” Thus, he ransomed (al-Ḥusayn) with Ibrāhīm. He said: He died after three days, and whenever the Prophet saw al-Ḥusayn coming, he would kiss him, hug to him to his chest, suck his teeth and say: “I have ransomed the one I have ransomed with my son Ibrāhīm.”⁵

The text resonates with themes that course deeply through religious literature, like the status of a slave’s offspring against that of a freeman and the ransoming of a beloved son. However, a century before al-Baġdādī, al-Mas‘ūdī told the story with a different accentuation; Muḥammad is to choose between the life of Ibrāhīm, who will inherit the prophecy (*nubuwwa*) after him but be killed by his community, leading God to conduct the entire community into the Fire, or al-Ḥusayn, who will be *imām* after Muḥammad and will also be killed, but this time by half of a community at odds. “I would hate the whole of my community to enter the Fire,” laments

kaḅīr, vol. I: 10. When Ibrāhīm, who was born c. 9/630, died in 11/632, al-Ḥusayn, born in 4/626, would have been six years old.

⁵ Among the Sunnī, cf. al-Baġdādī, *Tārīḅ Baġdād*, vol. II, n. 635: 20, Ibn al-Ġawzī, *Kitāb al-mawḏū‘āt*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḏl al-Ḥusayn*: 406 (where he condemns it as a fiction). Cf. also Ibn Ḥanbal, *Kitāb faḏā’il al-ṣaḅāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḏā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1409: 789 for mention of Ibrāhīm. Among the Shī‘a, cf. al-Mas‘ūdī, *Iḅāt al-waṣīyya*: 165, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqīb āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 81, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḅzān wa munīr subul al-ashġān*: 21, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *al-Ṭarā’if fī ma‘rifat maḏābiḅ al-ṭawā’if*, vol. I, Part One, n. 289: 202, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqīb al-a’imma al-labābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḏīker al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 489, al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma., *Nahġ al-ḥaq wa-kashf al-ṣiḏq*: 256, *Kashf al-yaqīn fī faḏā’il amīr al-mu’minīn*, Part Three, bb. 2: 321, al-Aḥsā’ī, *‘Awālī al-la’ālī’ al-‘aẓīẓīyya fī al-aḅādīl al-dīniyya*, vol. IV, n. 127: 92, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma’āġīẓ fī mu’ġīzāt al-a’imma al-aḅbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 137/1084: 57, al-Maġlisī, *Bīḅār al-anwār*, vol. XXII, bb. 1, n. 7: 153, vol. XLIII, bb. 12, n. 2: 26, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḅwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḅbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 1: 36.

Muḥammad, “and I would love al-Ḥusayn to remain, so that Fāṭima is not bereft of him.” So Ibrāhīm, son of a servant, is ransomed.

This was not Muḥammad’s first engagement with Ġibrīl over the fate of his grandson al-Ḥusayn.⁶ Both al-Ḥawārizmī and Ibn Ṭā’ūs carry a rare *ḥadīth* describing an incident within a year of al-Ḥusayn’s birth:

A full year after the birth of al-Ḥusayn, twelve angels came down to the Messenger of God. One of them was in the form of a lion, the second in the form of a bull, the third in the form of a dragon, the fourth in the form of a human, and the remaining eight in various forms. Their faces were red, their eyes wet with tears, and having spread their wings they said: “Muḥammad, what befell Hābīl (Abel) through Qābīl (Cain) will befall your child al-Ḥusayn, son of Fāṭima; he will receive the same recompense as Hābīl, and his killers will bear the same responsibility as Qābīl.” There was not in the heavens an angel drawn near⁷ who did not come down to the Prophet, each of them greeting him and consoling him about al-Ḥusayn. They informed him about the reward he would receive and showed him his tomb, and the Prophet said: “God, forsake those who forsake him and kill those who kill him.”⁸

In his *Muṭīr al-abḥzān*, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī describes another encounter which, going by the dates of Ibrāhīm’s ransoming, had taken place a full four years before Ibrāhīm’s death:

⁶ As noted by Ibn Ishāq in his *Sīra*, there were encounters outside of an Ḥusaynian context too, such as Muḥammad’s dream of Ġibrīl holding a horse’s rein on the eve of the Battle of Badr, a vision which helped to lift the gloom of Abū Bakr: cf. GUILLAUME A., *The Life of Muhammad. A Translation of Ishāq’s Sīrat Rasūl Allāh*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2006: 300.

⁷ The ‘angels drawn near’ are named in the Qur’ān as the *muqarrabūn*. Cf. Q. 4: 172, where Pickthall renders the word as ‘favoured’.

⁸ al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqatal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, bb. *Aḥbār rasūl Allāh ‘an al-Ḥusayn wa-aḥwālī-hi*, n. 12: 237, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-ṭufūf*: 13–14, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 246.

When [al-Ḥusayn] was two years old, the Prophet left on a journey. He stopped on the way and proclaimed «*Lo! we are Allah's and lo! unto Him we are returning*»⁹ and his eyes filled with tears. He was questioned about this, and he said: “Ḡabraʿīl informs me about a land on the bank of the Euphrates, called Karbalāʾ and in which my son al-Ḥusayn will be killed. It is as if I am seeing him and the site of his death and place of his burial in it. It is as if I am seeing the captured on the humps of mounts and the head of my son al-Ḥusayn presented to Yazīd, may God curse him. By God, no one will look at the head of al-Ḥusayn and rejoice, but that God will cause divergence between his heart and his tongue and torture him with a painful torment.”¹⁰

This narrative continues at length; a distraught Muḥammad returns from his journey, mounts the pulpit with al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn and, praising them as the finest of his descendants, makes reference to the information he has received from Ḡibrīl about both being murdered. He prays that their martyrdom will be a blessing, but that no blessing will accrue to those who fail them; rather, he asks the deepest part of Hell for the perpetrators. When the gathering responds with weeping, he chastises the people for their failure to act, in spite of their display of grief. In most narratives, the martyrdom of al-Ḥusayn clearly overshadows the murder (and thus martyrdom) of his older brother al-Ḥasan; on this occasion, the two brothers are held up together by their grandfather. At this point, the famous *ḥadīth al-taqalayn* (the ‘two weighty matters’), so crucial to the Shīʿa, is introduced into the narrative. This *ḥadīth* has been narrated through a number of rigorously authenticated chains among

⁹ Q. 2:156, the famous *al-istirḡāʿ*, traditionally recited upon hearing of someone’s death.

¹⁰ Ibn Ṭāʿūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-tufjūf*: 14–15, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 18, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 248–249, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 2, n. 1: 117. This is a fate similar to the one with which Ḡibrīl will threaten al-Ḥusayn’s killers (cf. Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 7: 131).

the Shī‘a and the Sunnī, although, granted that all the narrations are basically the same in their essentials, the wording and setting differs from narrator to narrator.¹¹ The setting is usually at ‘Arafāt during Muḥammad’s ‘Farewell Pilgrimage’, or at Ġadīr Ḥumm on the way back from that Pilgrimage. Sometimes, the setting changes to the mosque at Medina or Muḥammad’s bedroom during his last illness. Shī‘ī scholars insist upon at least four occasions, all within the space of ninety days and pertaining to Muḥammad’s last days, on which he publicly proclaimed the *ḥadiṯ*, so that it does not fit comfortably into this narrative, which, going by al-Ḥusayn’s age, occurs some four years before the death of the Prophet.

More precise information is given about Karbalā’ in the course of a long account of an encounter with Ġibrīl that takes place while Muḥammad is eating a meal served by Fāṭima in her house. It is narrated by Umm Ayman, but unlike other transmis-

¹¹ Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 4 *Faḍā’il ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*, n. 36: 1873. Cf. also Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. IV, *Musnad Abī Sa‘īd al-Ḥudarī*, n. 11104: 30, n. 11131: 26–27, n. 11212: 54, vol. V, *Hadīṯ Zayd b. Arqam*, n. 19285: 75, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 50 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), *Manāqīb abl bayt al-nabī*, bb. 77, n. 3788: 340–341, n. 7390: 342, al-Nasā‘ī., *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, bk. 76 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 4, *Faḍā’il ‘Alī*, n. 8148, al-Ḥākim al-Nisābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, Part Three, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Manāqīb abl bayt rasūl Allāh*, n. 4774: 173–174, vol. III, Part Three, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Manāqīb amīr al-mu‘minīn ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*, n. 4641: 126–127, al-Baghdādī., *Ta’rīḥ Baġdād*, vol. VIII, n. 4551: 442, Ibn ‘Iyāḍ., *Ikmāl al-mu‘lim sharḥ ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*, vol. VII, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 4, *Min faḍā’il ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*, nn. 36–37: 416–418, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, ch. 6, *Faḍā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 47: 156, Ibn Taymiyya., *Minbaġ al-sunna al-nabawiyya fī naqd kalām al-sbi‘a wa-l-qadariyya*, vol. IV: 85, al-Tibrīzī., *Mishkāt al-maṣābiḥ*, vol. III, bk. 30 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 10 *Manāqīb ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*, n. 6131: 1732, al-Mizzī., *Tabḍīb al-kamal fī asma’ al-riġāl*, vol. X, n. 2098: 50–51, Ibn Kaḥīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. VII, bb. *Faṣl fī ḥurūġ al-nabr*: 668, al-Hayṭamī., *Maġma‘ al-ṣawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, Part Nine, bb. *Fī faḍl abl al-bayt*: 162–163, al-‘Iṣāmī., *Simṯ al-nuġūm al-‘awālī*, vol. II, bb. 7, Part Three: 503, al-Ṣabbān., *Is‘āf al-rāġibīm* in the margins of *Nūr al-abṣār fī manāqīb āl bayt al-nabī al-muḥṭār*: 143.

sions of this intersection between Ġibrīl and Muḥammad, the food is cooked by Fāṭima. Muḥammad eats, washes, looks penetratingly at the members of the family (‘Alī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn), falls into prayer and prostration, weeps, expresses his delight in them and then, in answer to Fāṭima’s puzzlement, explains the rendezvous with an angel no one else had seen. Amid dire predictions of al-Ḥusayn’s death, Ġibrīl speaks of the place of his martyrdom; it is, he says, a land on the bank of the Euphrates, named Karbalā’ “because distress (*karb*) and tribulation (*balā’*) will increase on the part of your enemies and the enemies of your descendants, on that day distress of which will never cease, and the grief of which will never be extinguished.” The angel goes on to extol the merits of the place; Karbalā’ is, he says, “the purest of the places of the earth and its sanctity the greatest, and certainly it is part of the valley (*al-baḥā’*) of the Garden.”¹²

From the time that Fāṭima conceives her son al-Ḥusayn until the time of his own death, Muḥammad will find himself on numerous occasions accosted by angels, concerned that he know his grandson’s standing and fate. A number of these, as we shall see, have as their locus the home of Umm Salama; but they take place in other situations too. At the very moment of his grandson’s conception, Muḥammad is the recipient of grave tidings which he must pass on to his daughter:

When Fāṭima became pregnant with al-Ḥusayn, Ġibra’īl went to the Messenger of God and said: “Fāṭima will give birth to a boy, whom your community after you will kill.” When Fāṭima was pregnant with al-Ḥusayn, she was reluctant in carrying him and when she gave birth to him, she was reluctant at his birth. Then Abū ‘Abd Allāh said: “Never in the world has a mother been seen to give birth to a boy with such reluctance, but she was reluctant after having learned that he will be killed.” He continued: “And this verse came down about her: *«And We*

¹² Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 88: 447 (although the narrative is not part of the original text, but an addendum put there by one of the author’s students, al-Ḥusayn b. Muġīra), al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 30: 181–182.

*have commended unto man kindness toward parents. His mother beareth him with reluctance, and bringeth him forth with reluctance, and the bearing of him and the weaning of him is thirty months».*¹³

In a more detailed version of the narrative, Muḥammad remonstrates with Ġibrīl, and Fāṭima with Muḥammad:

Ġibraʿīl descended to Muḥammad and said to him: “Muḥammad, God extends peace to you and announces to you a child who will be born of Fāṭima and whom your community after you will kill.” He replied: “Ġibraʿīl, peace to my Lord, I have no need of a child who will be born of Fāṭima, and whom my community after me will kill!” The narrator went on: Ġibraʿīl ascended: then he descended and said the same thing to him. He replied: “Ġibraʿīl, peace to my Lord, I have no need of a child who will be born of Fāṭima, and whom my community after me will kill!” Ġibraʿīl ascended to heaven: then he descended and said to him: “Muḥammad, your Lord extends peace to you and announces to you that He is the one who sets in his descendants the Imamate (*imāma*), the sovereignty (*walāya*) and the testament (*waṣīyya*.” He replied: “I am satisfied.” Then he sent word to Fāṭima: “God has announced to me a child who will be born of you, and whom my community after me will kill.” Fāṭima sent word back to him: “I have no need of a child born of me, whom your community after you will kill.” He sent word to her: “God has placed in his descendants the Imamate, the sovereignty and the testament.” She sent word back to him: “I am satisfied.” And «[she] *beareth*

¹³ Q. 46:15, although only part of the verse is quoted. I have followed Pickthall in rendering the verb *karaba-yakrabu* as ‘reluctance’ although in reality it carries a much stronger sense of ‘to loathe’, ‘to hate’ or ‘to feel disgust’. Cf. al-Kulaynī, *al-Kāfi fī ‘ilm al-dīn*, vol. I, *Kitāb al-ḥuġġa*, bb. *Mawlid al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 3: 464, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-z̧iyārāt*, bb. 16, n. 4: 122, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Tālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 57, al-Astarābādī, *Ta’wīl al-āyāt al-ḡāhira fī faḍl al-‘itra al-ṭāhira*: 563, al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 21: 246, n. 31: 253, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 16: 231, vol. LIII, bb. 29, n. 126: 102, vol. LXVI, bb. 37: 266.

*him with reluctance, and bringeth him forth with reluctance, and the bearing of him and the weaning of him is thirty months, till, when he attaineth full strength and reacheth forty years, he saith: My Lord! Arouse me that I may give thanks for the favour wherewith Thou hast favoured me and my parents, and that I may do right acceptable unto Thee. And be gracious unto me in the matter of my seeds.*¹⁴

A third version of the narrative¹⁵ expresses a flurry of movement on the part of Ġibrīl in response to Muḥammad's baulking at the prospect of a murdered child; the angel ascends swiftly to heaven, and returns a second time with a comparable announcement. Meeting with the same dissent, Ġibrīl speeds away a second time and then swoops down a third time with a disclosure akin to the first two, but now qualified by the decisive concept of *waṣīyya* (but not, as in other versions, *walāya* or *imāma*).¹⁶ Muḥammad finally assents

¹⁴ Q. 46:15, although only part of the verse is quoted. Cf. al-Kulaynī, *al-Kāfi fī 'ilm al-dīn*, vol. I, *Kitāb al-ḥuǧǧa*, bb. *Mawliḍ al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*, n. 4: 464, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 16, n. 6: 123–124, Ibn Bābawayh., *ʿIlal al-sharāʿiʿ wa-l-aḥkām*, vol. I, bb. 156, n. 3: 205, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 62–63, al-Astarābādī., *Ta'wīl al-āyāt al-ḫābira fī faḍl al-ʿitra al-ṭābira*: 562–563, al-Maǧlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXIII, bb. 15, n. 23: 272, vol. XXV, bb. 9, n. 15: 254, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 20: 245, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 17: 232.

¹⁵ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 16, n. 5: 122–123, al-Baḥrānī., *al-Burbān fī tafsīr al-qurʿān*, vol. V, Part Five, *sūrat al-Aḥqāf*, n. 9776: 41, al-Maǧlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 18: 233, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAvālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 1, n. 3: 115.

¹⁶ Three frustratingly difficult to synopsise technical terms from Shīʿī theology. Simply put, the term *imāma* signifies the Imamate, that divinely-appointed line of leaders over the House of Islam who succeed Muḥammad in a sort of apostolic succession, but whose legitimacy is rejected outside of Shīʿī Islam (and whose number is disputed among the various groupings within Shīʿī Islam). According to Haider, the term *walāya* makes reference to the bond that Shīʿī Muslims feel with that line of leaders, while *wilāya* refers to the leaders' exercise of authority (HAIDER N., *Shiʿi Islam: An Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, New York 2014: 32). Finally, *waṣīyya* indicates the 'bequest' or 'testament'

and takes the news to Fāṭima, who refuses it on its first telling but acquiesces the second time at the explanation of *waṣṣiyya*. This, notes the text, is the occasion of the revelation of Q. 46: 15.

In recounting Ġibrīl's first return to heaven, all the transmitters except for al-Mağlisī employ the 8th form verb *intabaḍa* which, as distinct from the 1st form *nabaḍa-yanbaḍu* (meaning simply 'to rise') has a stronger sense of quitting a place, of rising and hastening away and, when used of a bird (and so conceivably of an angel) of spreading the wings and flying.¹⁷ Ġibrīl's second return to heaven is expressed by all the transmitters with the 7th form verb *in'araḡa*, with its sense of turning from something, of leaving and forsaking it.¹⁸ Ġibrīl's third descent to Muḥammad is illustrated with the verb *inqaḍḍa*, also in 7th form and the verb which al-Mağlisī alone uses for the angel's first ascent to heaven; the word means 'to swoop down', 'to rush', 'to hurl oneself' or 'to drop suddenly'.¹⁹ The use of these verbs rather than the more usual ones to describe Ġibrīl's movements between heaven and earth provides the text with the sense of an angel hastening, of Ġibrīl's alertness to a message not fully delivered until consent is given to its contents (consonant with sentiments expressed in Q. 5:67: «*O Messenger! Make known that which hath been revealed unto thee from thy Lord, for if thou do it not, thou wilt not have conveyed His message*»).

There is a further occasion on which Muḥammad engages Ġibrīl in conversation, pleading with him rather than merely responding to his questions or asking to see some physical evidence of Karbalā'. The encounter is chronicled by Ibn 'Asākir:

On the authority of Muḥammad b. Šāliḡ, that the Messenger of God, when Ġibrīl informed him that his community would kill

to which 'Alī and his descendants, as Muḥammad's appointed successors, are the heir.

¹⁷ Cf. WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 117, LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VIII, 1968: 2860.

¹⁸ Cf. WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 704, LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. V, 1968: 1996.

¹⁹ Cf. WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 902, LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VII, 1968: 2536.

Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī, said: “Ġibrīl, can I not countermand it for him?” He answered: “No, it is a command already written by God.”²⁰

Ibn Qūlawayh transmits a shorter tradition, with scant detail, concerning Fāṭima’s reaction to her father’s encounter with Ġibrīl; going to him, she finds his eyes full of tears and upon asking the reason is informed of Ġibrīl’s descent and his dire foretelling of al-Ḥusayn’s fate. “She became anxious and it was burdensome for her,” reads the text, “but he informed her of who among her offspring would exercise power, and she felt happy and was at peace.”²¹

It is in the house of ‘Alī and Fāṭima that a significant encounter takes places, on the occasion of a family meal with food (usually a plate of traditional soup called *ḥarīra*) provided by Umm Ayman or cooked by Fāṭima herself. It is found twice in al-Maḡlisī,²² while in *Kāmil al-ziyārāt* it appears as an addendum to the chapter entitled *Karbalā’ wa ziyārat al-Ḥusayn*.²³ The context is an *apologia* by the fourth Imām ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn in favour of visitation of the tombs of the holy ones (*ziyāra*). He is relating a story that Umm Ayman told to Zaynab bt. ‘Alī. In his *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, Ibn Qūlawayh presents two versions aside from the addendum, both narrated by ‘Alī

²⁰ Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*):197.

²¹ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 16, n. 8: 125, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 19: 233–234.

²² al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXVIII, bb. 2, n. 23: 58–60, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 30: 180–181. Cf. also al-Iṣfahānī., *Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘ayāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 16, bb. 2, n. 2: 363.

²³ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 88: 444–445: “An augmentation of the text which we have transmitted as we found it,” remarks the editor. As al-Maḡlisī’s editor notes, this *ḥadīth* was acquired in Egypt (on the authority of Muzāḥim b. ‘Abd al-Wārīṭ al-Baṣṭī) by al-Ḥusayn b. Aḥmad al-Muḡīra, the pupil of Ibn Qūlawayh, the same who added an addendum to his teacher’s work containing a *ḥadīth* about a threefold descent of Ġibrīl. Al-Muḡīra had attempted to persuade Ibn Qūlawayh to insert it this *ḥadīth* into his book, but he would not.

b. Abī Tālib, one going back to the fifth Imām and one to the fourth. Both take place in the house of ‘Alī and Fāṭima, and in both it is al-Ḥusayn who interrogates his grandfather about his deportment. In the following version, Umm Ayman is present and narrating, at times inserting herself into the text, and it is ‘Alī and Fāṭima, rather than the boy al-Ḥusayn, who interrogate Muḥammad:

(Zaynab) said: Umm Ayman told me that the Messenger of God visited the house of Fāṭima one day, and she made *ḥarīra* for him, while ‘Alī brought him a plate of dates. Then Umm Ayman said: I brought them a bowl of milk and butter; the Messenger of God, ‘Alī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn all ate of the *ḥarīra*. Then the Messenger of God drank, and they all drank the milk, and the Messenger of God ate and they all ate of the dates with the butter.

The precursory lines establish the presence of the five core members of the People of the House (Muḥammad, ‘Alī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn) for an important disclosure, reminiscent of the crucial ‘tradition of the cloak’ (*ḥadīṭ al-kiṣā’*) and the revelation of Q. 33:33. In some narrations of that *ḥadīṭ*, the five core members are in the house of Umm Salama; Umm Salama narrates the tradition, but remains carefully differentiated from the five.²⁴ Here, the house is Fāṭima’s and the narrator is Umm Ayman, who is not a core member of the family:

After that, the Messenger of God washed his hands with water poured by ‘Alī; having washed his hands, he wiped his face and then looked ‘Alī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn with a look

²⁴ Cf. for e.g. Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad ‘Abd Allāh b. al-‘Abbās b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib*, n. 3062: 708–709, vol. VI, *Ḥadīṭ Wāṭala b. al-‘Asqa’*, n. 16985: 45, vol. X, *Ḥadīṭ Umm Salama*, n. 26570: 177, n. 26612: 186–187, n. 26659: 197, n. 26808: 228, al-Ṭabarī., *Ġāmi‘ al-bayān ‘an ta’wīl al-qur’ān*, vol. XXII, Part Twenty-Two, *Sūrat al-aḥzāb*, v. 33: 6–7, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Usd al-ḡāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. V, bb. *Ḥarf al-fā’*: 521–522, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Durr al-mantūr fī al-tafsīr bi-l-ma‘tūr*, vol. V, *Sūrat al-aḥzāb*, v. 33: 376.

in which we plainly recognized the joy on his face. He gazed towards the heavens for a long time, and then turned his face towards the *qibla* and extended his hands in supplication. Then he sunk down, choked with weeping, in prostration, and prolonged the sobbing, his voice ringing out and his tears flowing. He lifted his head and bowed towards the ground, his tears flowing like pouring rain.

The angel, approaching Muḥammad during a post-meal prayer, is unseen by the others; only in rare cases, such as that of Ibn ‘Abbās, is the angel’s visitation witnessed by others present at the time:

Fāṭima, ‘Alī, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn grieved, and I grieved with them, when we saw the Messenger of God, but we were too afraid to question him until this had lasted for some time. Then ‘Alī and Fāṭima said to him: “What is causing you to weep, Messenger of God? May God not cause your eyes to weep! But seeing you in this state has wounded our hearts!” He answered: “My brother, I was so happy with you all” – Muzāḥim b. ‘Abd al-Wārīṭ said at this point in his *ḥadīṭ*: He said: “My beloved! I was happy with you with a happiness the likes of which I have never felt before! I looked at you and praised God for His favour to me through you.”

Umm Ayman’s words “and I grieved with them” once again carefully differentiates her from the immediate family. Here, ‘Alī and Fāṭima interrogate Muḥammad together; in the other two versions, it is the boy al-Ḥusayn who crawls into his grandfather’s lap and asks the reason for his tears:

Assuredly I was looking at you and praising God for His favour to me through you, when Ğibrīl descended to me and said: “Muḥammad! God, Blessed and Most High is acquainted with what is in your soul and knows your delight in your brother, your daughter and your grandsons, and has completed the favour for you and gladdened you with this gift; that He has put them and their descendants and those who love them and their *shī‘a* with you in the Garden, with no distinction between you and them. They will live as you live, receive as you

receive until you are more than satisfied.²⁵ In the world they will be dealt much tribulation, with adversities afflicted upon them at the hands of a people who arrogate to themselves your faith community (*millā*) and pretend that they are of your community (*umma*), although removed from God and from you. Beaten and killed, the places where they are killed will be scattered, their graves remote, a favour from God for them and for you in them. Praise God, great and powerful for His choice and be satisfied with His divine decree!" I praised God and consented to His divine decree in what He had chosen for them. Then Ġibra'īl said: "Muḥammad! Your brother will be oppressed after you, defeated by your community, worn out by your enemies and then murdered after you, killed by the most evil of creatures and of the universe and the most wretched of creation, like the slaughtering of the camel,²⁶ in a land to which he will emigrate and which will be the place in which his *shī'a* and the *shī'a* of his offspring is planted and in which, in every case, their tribulation will increase and their afflictions become greater."

At this point, with a sweep of the hand, the angel turns his attention upon al-Ḥusayn:

And this grandson of yours – with his hand he gestured at al-Ḥusayn – will be killed among a band of your descendants, of the people of your house and of the best of your community on the bank of the Euphrates, in a land called Karbalā³, because distress (*karb*) and tribulation (*balā'*) will increase on the

²⁵ al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XXVIII, bb. 2, n. 23: 58–60, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 30: 180–181, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 16, bb. 2, n. 2: 363. In *Kāmil al-ziyārat*, it appears as an appendix to bb. 88 (*Karbalā³ wa ziyārat al-Ḥusayn*).

²⁶ It was to the avaricious and irreligious people of Ṭamūd that God sent the prophet Ṣāliḥ. These demanded a sign, to which God responded with a special camel, and which the people, led by nine especially wicked men, hamstrung and killed, suffering severe consequences for their action. Cf. Q. 7:73–78, Q. 27:45–51, Q. 11:64–65.

part of your enemies and the enemies of your descendants on the day whose distress will never cease and whose grief will never be extinguished. It is the purest of the places of the earth and its sanctity the greatest, and certainly it is part of the valley (*al-baḥḥā*) of the Garden.

In the other two versions, narrated by ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, it is the young boy al-Ḥusayn who plays a central part and, since the text is a justification of the future visits to the martyrs’ tombs, these *ziyārāt* predominate the narrative:²⁷

On the authority of Abū Ğa‘far, who said: The Commander of the Faithful said: The Messenger of God visited us and Umm Ayman had presented us with milk, butter and dates. We offered some of it and he ate. Then he went to the corner of the house and prayed some *raka‘āt*; when he was in the last of his prostrations he wept bitterly, but not one of us questioned him, for the sake of deference and awe of him. Then al-Ḥusayn crawled onto his lap and said to him: “Father, you came to our house, and nothing pleased us as much as your coming; then you wept in a manner that distressed us! What is making you weep?” He replied: “My son, Ğibra’īl came to me just now and informed me that you will all be killed, and that the places where you are killed will be scattered.” He answered: “Father, what is there for whoever visits our graves, scattered as they are?” He replied: “My son, for those groups from my community who visit you and thereby seek blessing, it is meet that I come to them on the Day of Resurrection and save them from the horrors of the Hour, from their sins, and God will settle them in the Garden.”²⁸

In the second, the text follows the previous one, but with a slightly expanded ending as Muḥammad addresses al-Ḥusayn:

²⁷ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 16, nn. 9, 10: 125–127, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, nn. 20, 21: 234–235.

²⁸ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 16, n. 9: 125–126, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 20: 234.

“My son, I looked at you all today and I was more delighted with you than I have ever been before. Then Ġibraʿīl descended to me and informed me that you would all be killed and that the places where you are killed will be scattered. I gave praise to God for that and asked of Him the best for you!” He said: “My father, who will visit our graves and be mindful of them, scattered as they are?” He replied: “Groups from my community will desire, by that means, my charity and my kinship. I will be mindful of them in the place of standing, take them by their shoulders and save them from its horrors and adversities.”

Shayḫ al-Mufīd relates the same incident, greatly reduced in detail and with no reference to any angelic visit. Muḥammad is sitting one day with ʿAlī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn, and begins talking to them about their deaths and their graves being isolated from one another. He in turn is interrogated by al-Ḥusayn about the manner of their death, and upon hearing that they will be unjustly killed, asks the identity of the killers. “The most malicious of the people,” replies Muḥammad and then assures him, in answer to a further question, that their graves will be visited by a group (*tāʾifā*) of his community, seeking the Prophet’s charity (*birr*) and kinship (*ṣila*). On the Day of Resurrection, he would take them by the arms and save them from the terrors of that day.²⁹

Ibn Qūlawayḥ traces a further incident in the house back to Ġaʿfar al-Ṣādiq:

While the Messenger of God was in the house of Fāṭima, with al-Ḥusayn on his lap, he began to weep and fell to the ground prostrating. Then he said: “Fāṭima! Daughter of Muḥammad! The Most High, the Highest appeared to me in your house in this hour in a most beautiful form³⁰ and most goodly appear-

²⁹ al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī maʿrifat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh ʿalā al-ʿibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḫ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 131.

³⁰ For a Sunnī discussion of Muḥammad seeing God in ‘a most beautiful form’ (*fī aḥsan ṣūra*) during his ‘night journey’, cf. Ibn Kaṭīr., *Tafsīr al-qurʾān al-ʿaẓīm*, vol. VI, *sūrat Ṣād*, vv. 65–70: 84, *sūrat al-Naḡm*, vv.

ance, and said to me: Muḥammad! Do you love al-Ḥusayn? I replied: Yes! The consolation of my eye, my sweet basil,³¹ the fruit of my heart and the skin between my eyes! Then he said to me: Muḥammad – and he laid his hand on al-Ḥusayn's head – blessed from birth, upon him My blessings, My benedictions, My mercy, My satisfaction! My curse, My displeasure, My torture, My ignominy and My punishment on whoever killed him, was hostile to him, made an enemy of him, fought him. For he is the master of the martyrs, among the first and the last in the world and the Hereafter, and master, among all the creatures, of the youths among the inhabitants of the Garden. His father is better than him and excellent. Convey peace to him and announce to him that he is the banner of guidance, the lighthouse of My holy ones, mindful of Me, My witness over My creation, the treasurer of My knowledge and My proof over the inhabitants of the heavens and the earths and the two communities³² of *ġinn* and mankind.”³³

As already noted, only on rare occasions in the Jewish and Christian Scriptures is God Himself held to appear in a dream; in the Book of Genesis, He is said to have appeared to King Abimelech (Gen. 20:3), to Jacob (Gen. 28:13), and to Laban (Gen. 31:24), while the First Book of Kings records an appearance to Solomon (1 Kgs. 3:5). More commonly, He communicates through an angel. In Gen. 31:11, for example, the same Jacob who had seen God in a

5–18: 448–449, al-Suyūfī, *al-Durr al-mantūr fī al-tafsīr bi-l-ma'tūr*, vol. V, *sūrat Ṣād*, vv. 67–70: 596–597, vol. VI, *sūrat al-Nağm*, vv. 5–18: 159.

³¹ Muḥammad's daughter Fāṭima is named 'the sweet basil of the Prophet' (*rayḥānat al-nabī*), and also 'the mother of the two sweet basils' (*umm al-rayḥānatayn*), pet names given to al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn. Cf. CLOHESSY C., *Fāṭima, Daughter of Muḥammad*, 2018: 298, 300.

³² Lane notes that *taqalayn* refers to the *ġinn* and mankind, two communities which, by their acumen, excel all other animate beings. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. I, 1968: 344.

³³ Ibn Qūlawayh, *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 21, n. 1: 141–142, bb. 22, n. 6: 147–148, al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 29: 238, al-İşfahānī, *ʿAnwāl al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 7, n. 2: 132.

dream three chapters earlier, is now said to see God's angel. In Islam, the prevailing opinion among the textual editors is that this 'most beautiful form' spoken of by Muḥammad is in fact Ğibrīl, the same who, notes the Qur'an with physiological precision, had assumed for Maryam the likeness of a 'perfect man' (Q. 19:17). "That is, God's messenger Ğibrīl," notes the editor of *Kāmil al-ṣiyārāt*, "or some sort of apparition." In his *al-Ġāmi' al-ṣaḥīḥ*, al-Tirmiḍī has two *aḥādīth* in which Muḥammad claims to have seen his Lord in the 'most beautiful form';³⁴ in the same work, al-Tirmiḍī carries a strongly worded *ḥadīth* from 'Ā'isha denying that Muḥammad ever actually saw God, and insisting that what he saw was Ğibrīl.³⁵ In spite of those *aḥādīth* texts that tell of God appearing to Muḥammad in the 'most beautiful form',³⁶ and even those that suggest Muḥammad felt the coldness of God's hand on his back,³⁷ the narratives that speak of Ğibrīl (rather than God) appearing to Muḥammad in the 'most beautiful form'³⁸ as well as the Islamic

³⁴ al-Tirmiḍī, *al-Ġāmi' al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 44 (*Abwāb taṣfīr al-qur'ān*), bb. 38, nn. 3233, 3234, 3235: 540–540.

³⁵ Op. cit., bb. 6, n. 3068: 378–379.

³⁶ al-Daylami, *A'lām al-dīn fī ṣifāt al-mu'minīn*: 146, al-Māzandarānī, *Sharḥ uṣūl al-Kāfī*, vol. II: 453.

³⁷ al-Qummī, *Tafsīr*, vol. II, *sūrat Ṣād*: 244, al-Qāḍī al-Nu'mān, *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā'il al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part Four, n. 241: 398, Ibn al-Ḥadīd, *Sharḥ naḥḡ al-balāḡa*, vol. III, bb. 49: 226, al-Māzandarānī, *Sharḥ uṣūl al-Kāfī*, vol. II: 453, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī, *Tafsīr al-ṣāfī*, vol. IV, *sūrat Ṣād*: 309, al-Bahrānī, *al-Burhān fī tafsīr al-qur'ān*, vol. IV, *sūrat Ṣād*, n. 9135: 682, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XIII, bb. 16, n. 10: 402, vol. XVIII, bb. 3, n. 79: 373, al-Madanī, *Riyāḍ al-sālikīn fī sharḥ saḥīḡa sayyid al-sāḡḡīdīn*, vol. I: 162, al-Ḥuwayzī, *Tafsīr nūr al-ṭaqalayn*, vol. IV, *sūrat Ṣād*, n. 84: 470, Muḥammad Riḍā al-Ṭūsī, *Kanz al-daqa'iq wa baḥr al-ḡarā'ib fī tafsīr al-qur'ān*, vol. XI, *sūrat Ṣād*: 263, al-Mūsawī, *Minḡāḡ al-barā'a fī sharḥ naḥḡ al-balāḡa*, vol. XIII: 416.

³⁸ al-Daylami, *A'lām al-dīn fī ṣifāt al-mu'minīn*: 277, al-Bahrānī, *al-Burhān fī tafsīr al-qur'ān*, vol. V, Part Five, *sūrat al-'Alaq*, n. 11752: 697, *Ḥilyat al-abrār fī aḥwāl Muḥammad wa āli-hi al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, bb. 7, n. 2: 68, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. LXXVIII, bb. 1, n. 52: 194, vol. LXXXIX, bb.

theological stress on God's transcendence, must persuade us that this beautiful form is in fact angelic.

Although, as we shall see, the house of Umm Salama becomes the locus for angelic encounters, a selection of narratives containing, even in rudimentary form, many of the elements which become the markers for these meetings, record Muḥammad's engagements with Ġibrīl in other places. Furthermore, the angel in question is not always Ġibrīl – in the following narrative, not only is the angelic visitor not the usual Ġibrīl, but the red dust is described by its odour, a rare addition to the story:

On the authority of Anas b. Mālik, that one of the greatest of the angels sought permission of his Lord, powerful and great, to visit the Prophet and He gave him permission. While he was with him, al-Ḥusayn came in to him. The Prophet kissed him and made him sit on his lap. The angel said to him: "Do you love him?" He replied: "Certainly! And with an intense love! He is my son!" He went on: "Your community will kill him!" He answered: "My community will kill my son?" The angel said: "Yes, and if you wish I can show you some of the dust upon which he will be killed." "Yes," he said: So he showed him red dust, pleasant of smell, and said: "When this dust becomes fresh blood, it is a sign that this son of yours has been killed." Sālim b. Abī al-Ġa'd said: I was informed that the angel was Mīkā'il.³⁹

124, n. 23: 354 (in which Ġibrīl appears to Muḥammad 'in the most beautiful form', 'laughing' [*dāḥiq*] and 'rejoicing' [*mustabshir*]).

³⁹ al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṭ*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 86–639: 315, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 10: 228–229, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālīm al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 3: 125. In one *ḥadīṭ*, al-Ṭūsī names Ġibrīl as the angel asking permission to visit (al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṭ*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 85–638: 314), while in another he names the visitor as 'one of the loftiest of the angels' (bb. 11, n. 86–639: 314). He ends the *ḥadīṭ* by noting Sālim b. al-Ġa'd's declaration that this angel was, in fact, Mīkā'il.

In an uncommon and lengthy *ḥadīṭ*, which introduces a number of novel characteristics, al-Maḡlisī proposes another unnamed angelic visitor:

It is also reported in some reports that one of the angels of the highest level of heaven⁴⁰ ardently desired to see the Prophet, and sought permission from his Lord to descend to earth to visit him. This angel had never before descended to earth since it was created;⁴¹ and when he wanted to descend, God Most High inspired him saying: “Angel! Inform Muḥammad that a man from his community named Yazīd will kill his young one,⁴² the pure son of the one who is pure like Maryam bt. ‘Imrān.” The angel said: “I descended to the earth, glad to see your Prophet Muḥammad; but how to inform him of this

⁴⁰ Iblīs himself had been one of the angels of *al-ṣaḥīḥ al-a‘lā*, the ‘highest level of heaven’ (cf. al-Baḥrānī, *al-Burhān fī tafsīr al-qur‘ān*, vol. III, *sūrat al-Ḥijr*, n. 5843: 3459), which is defined as ‘the heaven of the heavens’ (Ibn Manẓūr, *Lisān al-‘Arab*, vol. II: 516, al-Zabīdī, *Tāǧ al-‘arūs min ḡawābir al-qāmūs*, vol. IV: 122).

⁴¹ In al-Tirmidī, for example, there is a *ḥadīṭ* of an angel, who has ‘never descended before’, seeking permission from God to announce to Muḥammad that Fāṭima is the leader of the women of Paradise, and that al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn are the leaders of the youths of among the inhabitants of the Garden. It is, as already noted, a useful literary device for separating divine revelation brought by Ġibrīl, from other heavenly angelic messages. Cf. for e.g. Ibn Ḥanbal, *Musnad*, vol. II (*Musnad ‘Umar b. al-Ḥaṭṭāb*): 231, vol. VI (*Ḥadīṭ Umm Salama*): 296, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. II, bk. 3 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-qur‘ān*), bb. 43, n. [1877] 254 – (806): 325–326, al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 30, n. 3781: 432–3. Cf. also al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), nn. 4784, 4785: 177.

⁴² Lane holds that the primary signification of *farḥ* is the young of a bird or of an egg-laying creature, and sometimes the young of any animal. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VI, 1968: 2362. Steingass adds a young ‘plant’ or ‘shoot’. STEINGASS F., *Learner’s Arabic English Dictionary*, 1993: 782. The text places ultimate responsibility for al-Ḥusayn’s death upon Yazīd b. Mu‘āwiya, rather than whoever it was who actually struck the death-blow.

shameful news? I felt ashamed in front of him that he be distressed by the killing of his son. Would that I had not descended to the earth!” The narrator continued: Then, from above his head, the angel was summoned to do what he had been ordered. The angel went in to the Messenger of God, spread his wings in front of him, and said: “Messenger of God! Know that I sought permission from my Lord to descend to the earth, yearning to see you and visit you. Would that my Lord had broken my wings⁴³ and I had not come to you with this

⁴³ The theme of angels with broken wings evokes the memory of a narrative around the conception and birth of al-Ḥusayn, about an angel named Fuṭrus or, in one account by al-Mağlisī, Ṣaṣāʾīl (al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 47: 258–259). God orders Ġibrīl to descend with a crowd of angels in congratulations at al-Ḥusayn’s birth. They pass an island on which dwells Fuṭrus, banished there with broken wings for having delayed to obey God. Fuṭrus begs Ġibrīl to carry him with them in the hope of Muḥammad’s intercession. Muḥammad subsequently commands that Fuṭrus should rub against the cradle of al-Ḥusayn, and at once God restores his wings (cf. among others al-Ṭabarī, *Dalāʾil al-imāma*: 79, *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*: 219, al-Ṣaffār al-Qummī, *Basāʾir al-darağāt fī ʿulūm al-Muḥammad*, vol. I, bb. 6, n. 7: 68, al-Masʿūdī, *Iṭbāt al-waṣīyya*: 164, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārat*, bb. 20, n. 1: 140–141, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī al-aḥādīth wa-l-ahbār*, n. 8: 137–138, al-Ṭūsī, *Miṣbāḥ al-mutabaḡḡid*, vol. II: 827, Ibn ʿAlī al-Fattāl, *Rawḍat al-wāʿiẓīn wa-taḥṣīrat al-muttaʿiẓīn*, vol. I: 155, al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarāʾiğ wa-l-ğarāʾiḥ fī-l-muʿğīzāt*, vol. I: 252, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 63, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḡīk al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*: 568, Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *Kitāb al-iqbāl bi-l-aʿmāl al-ḥasana*: 698, al-Ḥillī al-ʿAllāma, *Iṭbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-muʿğīzāt*, vol. IV, bb. 15, n. 30: 45, al-Bayyāḏī, *al-Şīrāt al-mustaqīm ilā mustaḥaqq al-taqdīm fī al-imāma*, vol. II, n. 5: 179 (not in the context of al-Ḥusayn’s birth), al-Kafʿamī, *Kitāb al-miṣbāḥ*: 543, *al-Balad al-amīn*: 185, al-Bahrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāğīz fī muʿğīzāt al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, n. 8/955: 437–438, al-Mağlisī, *Rawḍat al-muttaqīm fī sharḥ man lā yaḥḍuru-hu al-faqīh*, vol. IV, Part Fourteen: 33, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXVI, bb. 8, n. 10: 340, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 18: 243, n. 27: 250, vol. XLIV, bb. 25, n. 7: 182, vol. L, bb. 3, n. 47: 66, vol. XCVIII, bb. 32, n. 9: 367, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-ahbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 9: 128, al-

news; but the discharge of the command of my Lord, powerful and great, is necessary. Know, Muḥammad, that a man from your community whose name is Yazīd, may God increase for him malediction in this world and punishment in the next, will kill your young one, the pure son of the one who is pure. His killer will be little blessed in the world after him; God will seize him in retaliation for him, for the wickedness of his action, and he will be eternally in the Fire.” The Prophet wept bitterly and said: “Angel, will a community prosper by the killing of my son, the young one of my daughter?”⁴⁴ He replied: “No, Muḥammad, instead, God will reproach them for the disparity of their hearts and their tongues in the house of this world; and there will be painful punishment for them in the Hereafter.”⁴⁵

Most of these encounters are taken up and developed into a theme woven around the presence of Umm Salama and the arrival of the boy al-Ḥusayn at her house to visit his grandfather; outside of that context, the pattern rarely varies.⁴⁶ Ġibrīl arrives and informs Muḥammad that after him, his community would kill his grandson; in some narratives the meeting takes place around the time of al-

Ġazā’irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aṭṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 154, al-Ṭabarsī, *Mustadrak al-wasā’il wa mustanbiḥ al-masā’il*, vol. XVI, bb. 42, n. 7: 17–19, n. 9: 19). Cf. CLOHESSY C., *Fāṭima, Daughter of Muḥammad*, 2nd ed., Gorgias Press, New Jersey 2018: 148–149.

⁴⁴ In al-Mūsawī’s transmission, Muḥammad asks this question of Ġibrīl, when he comes to Muḥammad bringing consolation and some of the dust of Karbalā’ (cf. al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-maḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, Part Three, *maḡlis* 4: 111).

⁴⁵ al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-muḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, Part Two, *maḡlis* 5: 113, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma’āḡiḡ fī mu’ḡiḡāt al-a’imma al-aṭṭḥār*, vol. III, n. 10/957, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 14: 314–315, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma’ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 21, bb. 2, n. 6: 599.

⁴⁶ Cf. for e.g. Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 1: 127–128, n. 6: 130–131, n. 7: 131, n. 8: 131–132, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 9: 228, n. 22: 235, nn. 26–27: 236, n. 28: 237.

Ḥusayn's birth,⁴⁷ while in others, al-Ḥusayn is present and Ğibrīl probes Muḥammad about his love for the boy. Muḥammad is tormented by what he is hearing: "Will a nation that killed my young one prosper?"⁴⁸ he asks, and another text relates that he "took 'Alī by the hand and withdrew with him for a substantial part of the day and they were overcome with tears," with Ğibrīl exhorting them to patience.⁴⁹ Ğibrīl offers to show him dust from the land in which al-Ḥusayn would fall. In the hands of Muḥammad that dust, occasionally described by its musky fragrance,⁵⁰ takes on all the attributes of a relic; Ğibrīl causes the distance between Muḥammad's seat and Karbalā' to sink down until the two parts meet (here, Ğa'far al-Šādiq illustrates the joining of the two by bringing together his two index fingers) and with his wings grasps some of the dust and gives it to Muḥammad. "The Messenger of God said: Dust, blessed are you and blessed whoever is killed in you!"⁵¹

Other members of the household of the Prophet also dream dreams. Sometime in the summer of 37/657, near the city of Nine-

⁴⁷ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 6: 130–131, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 26: 236, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 15: 131.

⁴⁸ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 7: 131 (the author notes that he may have said "the young one of my daughter").

⁴⁹ Op. cit., bb. 16, n. 1: 121, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 15: 231.

⁵⁰ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 1: 127–128, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 22: 235, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 1: 124, al-Ğazāʾirī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 171 (where it is the angel rather than the dust exuding an odour of musk).

⁵¹ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 1: 127–128, n. 5: 129–130, al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīth*, mağlis 11, n. 85–638: 314, al-Ṭabarī's *Bisbārat al-muṣṭafā*, Part Seven: 214, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī., *Nawādir al-aḥbār*, n. 17: 161, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 9: 228, n. 22: 235.

veh, writes Ibn Ḥanbal in his *Musnad*, a servant traveling to Şifḥīn⁵² with the retinue of ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib is puzzled to hear ‘Alī crying out: “Patience, Abū ‘Abd Allāh! Patience, Abū ‘Abd Allāh, on the bank of the Euphrates!” The servant wonders who Abū ‘Abd Allāh might be, and ‘Alī takes up the story:

I came to see the Messenger of God and his eyes were overflowing with tears. I said: “Prophet of God, did someone make you angry? What is the matter with your eyes that they overflow with tears?” He replied: “No, Ğibrīl just ascended from me, having told me that al-Ḥusayn would be killed on the bank of the Euphrates. And he also said: Would you like me to let you savour the smell of its earth?” The narrator continued: “I said: Yes! He stretched out his hand and seized a handful and gave it to me, and I cannot stop my eyes from shedding tears.”⁵³

⁵² The inconclusive battle of Şifḥīn (37/657), fought by ‘Alī against Mu‘āwiya’s army on the banks of the Euphrates, was less of battle in the classical sense and more a series of minor and not particularly earnest skirmishes over a period of three days. Part of the problem was that there were members of the same tribe and even the same family on opposing sides, and the dismay at spilling the blood of a fellow Muslim remained a strong deterrent.

⁵³ Ibn Abī Shayba, *al-Muṣannaḥ*, vol. XIII, *Kitāb al-ḥitan*, bb. 1, n. 38383: 294, Ibn Hanbal, *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*: 85, Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim, *al-Āḥād wa-l-maṭānī*, vol. I, bb. 36 (*Min ḍikri al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 427: 308, al-Bazzār, *Kaṣḥf al-astār*, vol. III, bb. *Manāqib al-Ḥusayn*, n. 2641: 231–232, al-Āğurrī, *Kitāb al-sharī‘a*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1109) 1725: 320–321, al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muḡam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2811: 105, al-Shağarī, *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamisiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 771: 210, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāḥ*):187–189, Ibn al-Aṭīr, *Usd al-ğāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. 1173 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 331: 24, Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Taḍkirat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-ḍikr ḥaṣā’iṣ al-a‘imma*, bb. *Ḍikr maqtalī-hi*: 250, Ibn al-‘Adīm, *Buğyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ ḥalab*, Part Six: 2596, al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqbā*, bb. 9: 252–253, al-Mizzī, *Tabḍīḥ al-kamāl fī asmā’ al-riğāl*, vol. VI, n. 1323 (*al-*

Ibn Abī Shayba's editor denounced the chain of transmission as 'extremely weak' and Ibn Ḥanbal too expressed doubts, thereby casting aspersions on the whole narrative; al-Bazzār would also transmit it,⁵⁴ as would the eminent Ḥanbalī *muḥaddiṯ* Sulaymān b. Aḥmad al-Ṭabarānī half a century later in his massive compilation *al-Mu'ğam al-kaḥbīr*. Historian Ibn 'Asākir included the story a number of times in his *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, with only slight variants, and specified the imprecise location – the bank of the Euphrates – as the narrative progressed:

Ḥammād b. Zayd, on the authority of Ğumhān,⁵⁵ that Ğibrīl came to the Prophet with some of the dust of the town in which al-Ḥusayn would be killed. It was said that its name is Karbalā', and the Messenger of God said: Distress (*karb*) and tribulation (*balā'*).⁵⁶

These words would be remembered in the future by al-Ḥusayn himself. Writes al-Ṭabarānī:

When al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī was surrounded on all sides, he asked: What is the name of this land? He replied: Karbalā'. The narra-

Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib): 407, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 288 (who transmits it from Ibn Ḥanbal and declares it 'obscure'), *Tārīḥ al-islām*, vol. V: 66, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 571–2, al-Hayṭamī., *Mağma' al-ḥawā'id wa-manba' al-fawā'id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*), n. 15112: 217–218, al-'Asqalānī., *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Ḥā'*, n. 615: 347, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, n. 1729 (*al-Ḥusayn*): 71, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥaṣā'is al-kubrā*, vol. II: 451–452, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 246–248 (and who adds: "The name of the land is Karbalā'"), al-Muttaqī al-Hindī., *Kanz al-'ummāl fī sunan al-aqwāl wa-l-af'āl*, vol. XIII, n. 37663: 655.

⁵⁴ al-Bazzār., *Musnad*, vol. III, *Musnad 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*, n. 884: 101.

⁵⁵ The editor notes that in *Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'* the name occurs as 'Sa'īd b. Ğumhān' (al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 290).

⁵⁶ Ibn 'Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 197, 220.

tor continued: He answered: The Prophet has spoken the truth, that it is a land of distress and tribulation.⁵⁷

Led by Ibn Bābawayh, the Shīʿī transmitters carry a story, on the authority of Ibn ʿAbbās, in which the content of the dream changes. Standing on the banks of the Euphrates on the way to Ṣiffīn, ʿAlī addresses the man who will come to be known as the ‘scholar’ of the nation and its ‘ocean of knowledge’:

“Ibn ʿAbbās, do you know this place?” I said to him: “Commander of the Faithful, I do not know it!” He replied: “If you knew it as I know it, you would not be able to pass by it without weeping as I weep!” Ibn ʿAbbās continued: He wept at length, until his beard was wet and the tears flowed onto his chest, and we wept together. Then he said: “Alas! Alas! What have I to do with the family of Abū Sufyān? What have I to do with the family of war, the party of Satan and the friends of unbelief? Patience, Abū ʿAbd Allāh! Your father suffered the same as you are suffering because of them.” Then he called for water, performed the ablution for the prayer and prayed as God willed that he pray. After that, he spoke in a manner similar to his first speech, except that he dozed for an hour at the end of his prayer and his words. Then he awoke and said: “Ibn ʿAbbās!” I replied: “I am here!” He said: “Should I tell you what I saw in my dream just now while I slept?” I answered: “Your eyes slept and you saw what is good, Commander of the Faithful!” He went on: “I saw as if I was in the presence of men who had descended from heaven with white flags and girded with their swords, all shining white. They had proceeded around this earth a step, when I saw these palm trees strike the earth with their branches, surging with fresh blood. Then it

⁵⁷ al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Muʿjam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2812: 106, n. 2819: 108. Cf. also Ibn Abī ʿĀṣim., *al-Āḥād wa-l-maʿānī*, vol. I, bb. 36 (*Min ḍikri al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*), n. 424: 307, al-Āḡurrī., *Kitāb al-sbarīʿa*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1108) 1724: 320, al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥāʾir al-ʿuqūbā*, bb. 9: 255, al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡmaʿ al-ẓawāʾid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʾid*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*), n. 15117: 219, n. 15133: 224.

was as if I were with al-Ḥusayn, my child,⁵⁸ my young one, my embryo,⁵⁹ my purest and choicest part; he was submerged in it and appealing for help, but he was not relieved. It was as though the men in white had descended from heaven, calling out to him and saying: Patience, family of the Messenger! You will be killed at the hands of the of the most evil of people, but this is the Garden, Abū ‘Abd Allāh, yearning for you! Then they consoled me, saying: Abū al-Ḥasan, rejoice! God will console⁶⁰ you the day the people will be raised before the Lord of the worlds. Then I awoke in this state.”⁶¹

In another dream, transmitted by Ibn Shahrāshūb, ‘Alī catches a glimpse of the things that lie ahead for the family of Muḥammad:

The Commander of the Faithful saw in a dream a speaker who said: “Whenever the heart recalls the Prophet’s band, the women’s captivity, the dishonouring of the veil, the slaughter of the youth, the killing of the one entrusted, the killing of

⁵⁸ According to Lane, the word *sahl* refers to “an infant that is an object of love to his parents”, with the connotation of a newly-born kid or lamb. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. IV, 1968: 1325.

⁵⁹ Cf. Q. 22:5 and Q. 23:14. Pickthall, and numerous other English interpreters of the Qur’ān, translate the word *muḍḡa* as ‘lump of flesh’.

⁶⁰ Lit. ‘gladden’ or ‘console’ or ‘cool’ ‘the eye’. Cf. Q. 19:26, Q. 20:40, Q. 28:9, 13.

⁶¹ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī-l-aḥādīth wa-l-aḥbār*, maḡlis 87, n. 5: 597, *Ikmāl (kamāl) al-dīn wa-itmām (tamām) al-ni‘ma fī ṭibāt al-ḡayba wa-kashf al-ḥayra*, vol. II, bb. 48, n. 1: 533, al-Rāwandī., *al-Ḥarā’iḡ wa-l-ḡarā’iḡ fī-l-mu‘ḡīzāt*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 20, *faṣl* 56: 1145, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍikr al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 538, al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma., *Ṭibāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-mu‘ḡīzāt*, vol. III, bb. 12, Part Five, n. 33: 443, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘ḡīz fī mu‘ḡīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. II, Part Two, n. 472: 166, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 273/1220: 197, al-Maḡlisī., *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 31, n. 2: 252–244, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Avālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārīf al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, n. 2: 144, al-Ḡazā’irī., *Riyād al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 176, ‘Abbās Qummī., *Safīnat al-biḥār*, vol. III: 250.

Shabīr and the poisoning of al-Shabbar,⁶² the water of the heart glistens in the eyes, pearls of which flow upon the cheek. O heart, steadfast in spite of their affliction; with tribulations come lessons.”⁶³

‘Alī himself would be one who would regularly materialize in people’s dreams, there performing a substantial number of tasks, specifically for those who make *ziyāra* to his place of burial. These functions notes Sindawī, include “chastising his enemies, doing battle against those who would desecrate his grave, granting protection to those who seek refuge at his grave, demanding of visitors to fulfil the pledges they have made to his grave, foretelling the visitor’s future, divining the identity and religious affiliation of the visi-

⁶² The names Shabbar and Shabīr recall a strand in the books of *aḥādīṭ* in which the relationship between ‘Alī and Muḥammad is compared to the relationship between Hārūn and Mūsā. Ġibrīl commands that ‘Alī’s two children be named after the children of Hārūn – Shabbar and Shabīr. “My tongue is Arabic,” protests Muḥammad, not understanding the names, and Ġibrīl translates them as al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn. Cf. al-Hilālī, *Kitāb Sulaym b. Qays*: 705, al-Ṭabarī, *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*: 174, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī al-aḥādīṭ wa-l-aḥbār*, n. 3: 134, 191, 439, *Uyūn aḥbār al-Riḍā*, vol. II, bb. 31, n. 5: 25, *Ma‘ānī al-aḥbār*, n. 6: 57, *ʿIlal al-sharāʾiʿ wa-l-aḥkām*, vol. I, bb. 116, n. 6: 138, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl, *Rawḍat al-wāʿiẓīn wa-tabṣīrat al-muttaʿiẓīn*, vol. I: 123, 153, al-Ṭabarsī, *Iʿlām al-warā bi-aʿlām al-hudā*: 160, 218, al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarāʾiḡ wa-l-ḡarāʾiḡ fī-l-muʿḡiẓāt*, vol. I: 345, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. III, bb. *al-Nukat wa-l-laṭāʾif*: 251, Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *Kitāb al-iqbāl bi-l-aʿmāl al-ḥasana*: 382, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. IX, bb. 2: 298, vol. XIII, bb. 11, n. 11: 331, vol. XXXVI, bb. 50, n. 4: 36, vol. XXXVII, bb. 50: 37, 92, vol. XXXVIII, bb. 63: 190, vol. XXXIX, bb. 72: 33, 62, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 1: 237, nn. 3–4: 238, n. 10: 241, n. 29: 245, vol. XLIX, bb. 4: 77, vol. XCIX, bb. 8: 191, vol. CI, bb. 4, n. 18: 110, al-Ṭabarsī, *Mustadrak al-wasāʾil wa mustanbiṭ al-masāʾil*, vol. I, bb. 8, n. 7–1163: 461, vol. XV, bb. 32, n. 7–17805: 144.

⁶³ Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. II, bb. *Mā tafarrada min manāqibi-hi*: 209, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXVII, bb. 9, n. 9: 209. The Arabic plural *ʿibar* is something of a play on words, since it could mean ‘tears’ but also ‘lessons’ or ‘exhortations’. Cf. WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 686.

tor, returning stolen objects to their owners, demanding of the Shi'ites that they pay their debts and conforming the authenticity of a disputed tradition."⁶⁴ The defence of graves and the pledges made their feature prominently in a dream of Muḥammad in the house of 'Alī and Fāṭima, as well as a dream of the punishment inflicted upon those who desecrate the grave of al-Ḥusayn, both recounted in this text. 'Alī's appearance in people's dreams, making intercession for them, advising them and particularly forbidding them from doing the wrong and encouraging them to fulfil their duties echoes a theme that is deeply embedded within Shī'ī theology. It is as though he had never died and was there in person.⁶⁵

'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib was not the only one to dream dreams of the prophet of Islam. A report taken from *al-Maqātil* tells of Abū Bakr b. 'Abbās recounting a dream in which he saw Muḥammad and Ibrāhīm *al-ḥalīl* praying at the grave of al-Ḥusayn.⁶⁶ Al-Qāḍī al-Nu'mān's frugally worded report is suffused with the theological impact of linking the figure of Muḥammad with the prophet Ibrāhīm, whose faith he shares,⁶⁷ and whom the Qur'ān styles 'most truthful' (*ṣiddīq*, Q. 19:41) and 'gracious' (*ḥalīm*, Q. 9:114, Q.11: 75), portraying him as God's friend (*ḥalīl*, Q. 4:125). The authenticity of

⁶⁴ SINDAWI K., "The Image of 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib in the Dreams of Visitors top his Tomb" in L. MARLOW (ed.), *Dreaming Across Boundaries. The Interpretation of Dreams in Islamic Lands*, Ilex Foundation, Boston 2008: 179.

⁶⁵ Consonant with the words of 'Alī himself that the Imāms did not die but continue to live. Cf. for e.g. al-Ṣaffār., *Baṣā'ir al-daraḡāt fī 'ulūm āl Muḥammad*, vol. I, Part Six, bb. 5. n. 4: 275, al-Rāwandī., *al-Ḥarā'iq wa-l-ḡarā'ih fī-l-mu'ḡīzāt*, vol. II, Part Two: 344, Ibn al-Ḥadīd., *Sharḥ naḥḡ al-balāḡa*, vol. VI, Part Six, n. 86: 373, 373–379, al-Ḥurr al-'Āmilī., *Iḥbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-mu'ḡīzāt*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 13, *faṣl* 2, n. 8: 20, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 16, *faṣl* 8, n. 37: 48, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma'āḡīz fī mu'ḡīzāt al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Three, n. 740: 76.

⁶⁶ al-Qāḍī al-Nu'mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā'il al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1105: 167.

⁶⁷ Cf. Q. 22:78 «*And strive for Allah with the endeavour which is His right. He hath chosen you and hath not laid upon you in religion any hardship; the faith of your father Abraham (is yours)*».

Muḥammad's religious standing cannot but be ratified by his being bracketed with Ibrāhīm who, like him, is called 'upright' or 'pure of faith' (*ḥanīf*, Q. 2:135, Q. 3:67, 95, Q. 4:125, Q. 6:79, 161, Q. 10:105, Q. 16:120, 123, Q. 30:30), and who, like him is visited by angelic messengers who announce the impending birth of a son (Q. 11:69–76, Q. 15:51–9, Q. 29:31, Q. 51:24–30) and who, like him, must ransom a son offering him as a sacrifice, although ultimately loosed from the task (Q. 37:99–111).

Ibn ʿAsākir reports a dream in which *muhaddit* ʿĀmir b. Saʿd al-Baḡalī reports:

When al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī was killed, I saw the Messenger of God in a dream, and he said: "I saw al-Barāʾ b. ʿĀzib and greeted him with peace from me, and informed him that the killers of al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī are in the Fire, and that God was on the point of exterminating the people of the earth with a painful punishment because of him." He said: I came to al-Barāʾ and informed him, and he said: "The Messenger of God has told the truth. The Messenger of God said: Whoever sees me in a dream, sees me in truth, for Shayṭān does not appear in my form."⁶⁸

Most of all, however, it is Muḥammad's grandson al-Ḥusayn who dreams of his grandfather, and especially as his own life draws to its close. The texts delineate three distinct encounters, all with a variety of embellishments and lacunae among the transmitters: his prayer at the grave of Muḥammad on the eve of his departure to Karbalāʾ, a vision or dream he has, imprecisely dated, in which he receives a command from Muḥammad which he will not reveal, and his dozing off and dreaming in front of his tent shortly before his death in battle.

Among the dictations in his *Kitāb al-amālī*, Ibn Bābawayh relates a detailed account, narrated by the sixth Imām ʿĀli b. al-Šādiq,

⁶⁸ Ibn ʿAsākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ʿAbd al-Manāf*): 258, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍikr al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*: 566–567, Ibn al-ʿAdīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ ḥalab*, Part Six: 2644, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ghumma fī maʿrifat al-aʿimma*, vol. II, Part Three: 57.

of the days leading up to al-Ḥusayn's leaving for Kūfa and, ultimately, Karbalā', unfolding something of the history of Mu'āwiya, the fateful accession by his son Yazīd, the threat against al-Ḥusayn and his departure for Iraq:

When night drew near, (al-Ḥusayn) went to the *maṣḡid* of the Prophet, to take leave of the grave, and when he arrived there, a light from the grave shone upon him and then returned to its place. On the second night he went to take leave of the grave and stood in prayer; it was protracted, and he dozed while he was prostrating. Then the Prophet came to him in a dream; he took hold of al-Ḥusayn, pressed him to his breast and began to kiss him between his eyes, saying: "You are as dear to me as my own father! It is as if I see you soiled with your blood, between a group from this nation which hopes for my intercession. There will be no share for them with God. My son, you are coming to your father, your mother and your brother; they are yearning for you, but for you there are grades in the Garden which cannot be obtained except through martyrdom!" Then al-Ḥusayn awoke, weeping, from his dream; he came to the people of his house, informed them of his vision and bade them farewell.⁶⁹

⁶⁹ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī-l-aḥādīth wa-l-aḥbār*, maḡlis 30, n. 1: 152, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma'ārij fī mu'gīzāt al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 54/1001: 486–487, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 44, n. 1: 310–313, vol. LVIII, bb. 44, n. 46: 182, al-Iṣfahānī., *Awālim al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aḡwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 12, bb. 1, n. 1: 160–161. Cf. also al-Ġazā'irī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 199, al-Ṭabarsī., *Mustadrak al-wasā'il wa mustanbiḥ al-masā'il*, vol. III, bb. 14, n. 3816: 374. Cf. also Ibn Abī al-Dam., *al-Muḥtaṣar fī tāriḥ al-islām*: 89. In al-Rabḡūzī's Turkish version of *Qiṣaṣ al-anbiyā'* (c. 1310), on the night before they died, all of al-Ḥusayn's group had a dream of their forefathers, telling them that they were about to set out to join them in the afterlife. Cf. BOESCHOTEN H.E. & O'KANE J., (eds.), *Al-Rabḡūzī. The Stories of the Prophets*, vol. II, Brill, Leiden 2015: 627.

In a tersely worded summary, Ibn Shahrāshūb reports merely that al-Ḥusayn had been praying sleepily one day and had seen Muḥammad in a dream who informed him of all that lay ahead. Ibn Shahrāshūb includes a detail missing from Ibn Bābawayh's account; that al-Ḥusayn addresses his grandfather, saying: "I have no need to return to the world: take me with you [to the grave]." Muḥammad replies: "The return is inescapable until you have undergone martyrdom."⁷⁰ In his *Madīnat al-ma'āqib*, the 12th/17th century jurist and exegete al-Baḥrānī relates something of al-Ḥusayn's supplication (after an extended *ṣalāt*) at the graveside:

Lord, this is the grave of Your Prophet, and I am the son of his daughter and I have formed a judgment about the affair according to what I have learned. I enjoin the good and forbid the wrong. I ask You, by rights of the owner of this grave only what You have chosen for me concerning my affair; what is satisfying to you in it, and satisfying to Your Messenger.⁷¹

It is at this point that al-Ḥusayn begins to weep, remaining at the grave until dawn, when he dozes and sees his grandfather in a dream. Muḥammad comes to him, observes al-Baḥrānī, "in a company of angels on his right and his left," as he provides an expanded account of the Prophet's lament:

⁷⁰ Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 88, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-maḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, *maḡlis* 6: 156, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma'āqib fī mu'āzāt al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 53/1000: 483–485, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 1: 328.

⁷¹ In al-Rabḡūzī's *Qīṣaṣ al-anbiyā'*, as part of the dream, two hands extend from Muḥammad's tomb to embrace al-Ḥusayn; the Prophet kisses him, telling him that he is coming to join his loved ones who have already passed through death, mentioning specifically 'Alī, Fāṭima and 'Alī's older brother, Ḡa'far al-Ṭayyār ('the flyer', or sometimes called *dū al-ḡanāḥayn* – 'possessor of two wings'). Cf. BOESCHOTEN H.E. & O'KANE J., (eds.), *Al-Rabḡūzī. The Stories of the Prophets*, 2015: 624.

It is as if I see you in the near future, soiled with your blood,⁷² butchered at your nape, your grey hair smeared with your blood, and you alone and a foreigner in the land of Karbalā' amidst a band from my community, appealing for help and not helped and, together with that, thirsty and not given water, parched and not quenched. They regarded your women as fair game and slaughtered your weaned one(s);⁷³ nevertheless, they hope for my intercession (God will not accept my intercession for them)⁷⁴ on the Day of Resurrection.

The lament underscores the great themes of Karbalā'; the betrayal and abandonment of al-Ḥusayn by Muḥammad's own community, the tormenting thirst of the day, which al-ʿAbbās b. ʿAlī⁷⁵ had given his life trying to alleviate, the ill-treatment of the women and children both during and immediately after the conflict, and the terrible price that the perpetrators would have to pay. With the promise of divine vengeance, the reward is held out to the martyr:

My beloved! Ḥusayn! Your father and mother and brother have already reached me, and they are yearning for you. And if you are to have the highest rank in the gardens, you will not obtain it except by martyrdom. So, hurry to your rank; I and your father, your brother and your mother anticipate your arrival soon and our gathering together as a single body.

⁷² The word occurs here, as in a number of other places, in the plural, as 'bloods'. Although unusual in English, this poses little problem for the Semitic languages. In both Hebrew and in Greek, for example, the word 'blood' is used in the plural to describe the discharge of blood after an act of violence, as in murder or battle.

⁷³ Written so in the transcript, notes the editor, but in the original as the plural *fuṭm* rather than the singular *faṭim* (which may have been deliberately used in conjunction with *ḥarīm*).

⁷⁴ The editor notes that this is not in the transcript.

⁷⁵ Half-brother to al-Ḥusayn, and called 'the moon of the Banū Hāshim' (*qamar Banī Hāshim*) and 'the water bearer' (*al-saqqā*), al-ʿAbbās had attempted, with staggering valour, to fetch water for the women and children on the field of Karbalā', the supply cut off by the enemy, and was martyred for his efforts.

Notwithstanding the promise of his rank in Paradise, al-Ḥusayn awakes dismayed and alarmed, and relates his dream to the people of his house. “And on that day,” notes al-Baḥrānī, “there was no grief more intense or tears more numerous than among the People of the House.”⁷⁶

Two centuries before Baḥrānī, the 10th/16th century writer al-Mūsawī observes in his *maq̄tal* that it was during al-Ḥusayn’s nocturnal prayer at his grandfather’s grave that Medinan governor al-Walīd,⁷⁷ who would be dismissed for his hesitancy in using force against al-Ḥusayn, sent to al-Ḥusayn’s home of to see if he had already moved out of Medina, and not finding him there, praised God for not afflicting him with the blood of the Prophet’s grandson. In fact, al-Ḥusayn would return home early in the morning. While al-Baḥrānī repeats in large measure the account of al-Ḥusayn’s second night in prayer at the grave given in the *maq̄tal*, al-Mūsawī records part of al-Ḥusayn’s prayer on that first night:

Peace be with you, Messenger of God! I am al-Ḥusayn, son of Fāṭima, your young one and the son of your young one, and your grandson whom you left behind in your community. I testify of them, Prophet of God, that they abandoned me, thwarted me, and were not heedful of me, and this is my complaint to you until I meet you.⁷⁸

A second, less detailed or clearly defined encounter seems in fact to be al-Ḥusayn referencing the encounter he had at the grave of Muḥammad. It appears to emanate from the same time period, that is, as al-Ḥusayn is beginning the journey that will end at Karbalā’:

⁷⁶ al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-muḡālis wa z̄īnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, *maḡlis* 6: 154–156, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡiz fī mu‘ḡizāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 53/1000: 483–485, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 1: 328.

⁷⁷ al-Walīd b. ‘Utba b. Abī Sufyān (died 65/684) dismissed by Yazīd in 61/680. Cf. also Ibn Abī al-Dam., *al-Muḥṭaṣar fī tāriḥ al-Islām*: 89.

⁷⁸ al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-muḡālis wa z̄īnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, *maḡlis* 6: 154–156.

‘Abd Allāh b. Ğa‘far⁷⁹ came to ‘Amr b. Sa‘īd and asked him to write to al-Ḥusayn an assurance of protection, and reassuring him to return from where he was going. ‘Amr b. Sa‘īd wrote him a letter in which he reassured him of kinship, and ensuring security for himself. He sent it with his brother Yahyā b. Sa‘īd, and Yahyā and ‘Abd Allāh b. Ğa‘far followed after it after sending of his two sons.⁸⁰ These two handed him the letter and took pains with him over his return. He said: “I saw the Messenger of God in a dream and he enjoined me to do what I am doing.” They said to him: “What was this vision?” He replied: “I have not told anyone about it, nor will I tell anyone until I meet my Lord, great and powerful.”⁸¹

A final encounter tells of al-Ḥusayn sitting in front of his tent on the eve of the battle, legs drawn up and garment around him, ostensibly cleaning his sword and preparing it for the fight, but in fact dozing off, his head dropping drowsily. His sister Zaynab hears the

⁷⁹ ‘Abd Allāh b. Ğa‘far b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib, husband of al-Ḥusayn’s sister Zaynab.

⁸⁰ Ostensibly, ‘Awn and Muḥammad the two sons of ‘Abd Allāh b. Ğa‘far are Zaynab’s children and therefore al-Ḥusayn’s nephews; but cf. CLOHESSY C., *Half of My Heart. The Narratives of Zaynab, Daughter of ‘Alī*, 2018: 221.

⁸¹ Abū Miḥnaf., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 155, Ibn A‘tam., *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 30, 67, al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī ma‘rifat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh ‘alā al-‘ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḥ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 69, al-Ṭabarsī., *I‘lām al-warā bi-a‘lām al-hudā*, Part Three, bb. 2: 230, vol. I, Part Three, bb. 2: 446, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 94, Ibn al-A‘īr, *Usd al-ḡāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. 1173 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 330: 24, *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III, *Diker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 277, al-Ḍahabī., *Sijar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 297, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 504, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 366, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Seventeen, ch. 13, bb. 1: 216. Al-Maḡlisī also reports al-Ḥusayn telling ‘Abd Allāh b. al-‘Abbās and ‘Abd Allāh b. al-Zubayr that he is following Muḥammad’s orders, but without reference to any dream (al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 364).

threatening noise of the approaching army, and goes to wake him, since he has not been roused by the clamour that so disturbs his sister – that of an arriving enemy force – to ask if has not heard how near they are. “Do you not hear the sounds coming closer?”⁸² she asks. Al-Ḥusayn lifts his head and says: “I saw the Messenger of God while asleep, and he said to me: Truly, you are coming to us!” She strikes her face and cries out: “O my woe!” Al-Ḥusayn replies: “Woe is not for you, my sister. Calm down, and may the Merciful be merciful to you!”⁸³

Al-Ḥusayn has other dreams about the fate that lies ahead of him. Transmitting an extensive narrative from Ibn Bābawayh, al-Maḡlisī relates a dream which does not involve his grandfather and the interlocutor remains unidentified, but which nonetheless speaks deeply to al-Ḥusayn’s fate. It takes place during the journey to Karbalā’, at a watering hole between Mecca and Kūfa named al-‘Udayb,⁸⁴ about thirty-eight miles from al-Qādisiyya:

Then he travelled until he alighted at al-‘Udayb where he proposed a midday siesta. He awoke from his sleep weeping, and his son said to him: “Father, what is making you weep?” He said: “My son, this is an hour in which a vision does not lie. Something intervened in my dream saying: You are hurrying

⁸² Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl, *Rawḍat al-wā‘iẓīn wa-tabṣirat al-mutta‘iẓīn*, vol. I: 183.

⁸³ Ibn A‘tam., *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 97, al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī ma‘rifat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh ‘alā al-‘ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḥ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 90, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā‘iẓīn wa-tabṣirat al-mutta‘iẓīn*, vol. I: 183, al-Ṭabarsī., *I‘lām al-warā‘ bi-a‘lām al-hudā*, vol. I, Part Three, bb. 2: 237, 454, Ibn al-A‘īr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III, *Ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 284–285, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 529, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 391, al-Ġazā‘irī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a‘imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 220 (who does not name Zaynab as the sister involved).

⁸⁴ Cf. HOWARD I.K.A., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabari*, vol. XIX, 1990: 95.

the course and the fates are journeying with you to the Garden.”⁸⁵

In a second encounter on the road to Karbalā', at Qaṣr Banī Muqātil according to Abū Miḥnaf, and also involving his son 'Alī, al-Ḥusayn dreams of a cryptic horseman:

'Uqba b. Sim'ān said: We set out with him at once, and he dropped his head drowsily, dozing while he was on the back of his horse. Then he awoke, proclaiming: «Lo! we are Allah's and lo! unto Him we are returning» and «Praise be to God, Lord of the worlds». This he did two or three times, and his son 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn approached him and said: “For what reason are you praising God and reciting the verse of returning?” He replied: “My son, I dozed off, and a horseman on horseback appeared to me, saying: The people are journeying and the fates are approaching them. I understood that these were our souls, announcing our death to us.” He said: “Father, may God show you no evil. Are we not in the right?” He replied: “Certainly, by God, to whom is the journey of the servants.”⁸⁶

By the end of the battle of Karbalā' al-Ḥusayn, exhausted, all his companions dead, was encircled by enemy soldiers. A number of transmitters like al-Ṭabarī remark on the prevailing reluctance

⁸⁵ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī-l-aḥādīth wa-l-aḥbār*, maḡlis 30, n. 1: 153, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 1: 314, vol. LVIII, bb. 44, n. 46: 182, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAvālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 1, n. 1: 164, al-Ġazāʾirī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 199, al-Ṭabarsī., *Mustadrak al-wasāʾil wa mustanbaṭ al-masāʾil*, vol. V, Part Five, bb. 33, n. 5463: 114.

⁸⁶ Abū Miḥnaf., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 177, Ibn Aʿtam., *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 71, al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī maʿrifat ḥuḡḡ Allāb ʿalā al-ʿibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḥ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 82, Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wāʿiẓīn wa-taḥṣirat al-muttaʿiẓīn*, vol. I: 180, 183, al-Ṭabarsī., *Iʿlām al-warāʾ bi-aʿlām al-hudā*, vol. I, Part Three, bb. 2: 233, 450, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 47 (a much-reduced version with few of the details included), Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*: 526, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 379.

among the common soldiery to kill the Prophet's grandson. Ultimately, al-Ḥusayn will be killed because an annoyed and edgy Shimr harangues and intimidates his troops. Whoever it was who ultimately struck the death blow, and Sinān b. Anas seems a prime candidate,⁸⁷ there is no one who bears responsibility for al-Ḥusayn's death more than Shimr. It is Shimr, therefore, who takes a central role in our last set of dreams attributed to al-Ḥusayn. Al-Maḡlisī recounts an incident at the height of the battle:

Then Shimr,⁸⁸ may God curse him, descended upon him. The cursed one, who was leprous, beat him with his foot, threw him onto his back and then seized him by his beard. Al-Ḥusayn said: "You are the black and white dog which I saw in my dream!" He replied: "Do you compare me with dogs?" He

⁸⁷ Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, for example, names five possibilities, with Sinān in first place and Shimr in fifth. Cf. Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Tadkīrat ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkar ḥaṣā'is al-a'imma*: 214. Both Ibn al-ʿAdīm and Ibn Kaṭīr suggest Sinān, Shimr or ʿUmar b. Sa'd: cf. Ibn al-ʿAdīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ ḥalab*, Part Six: 2571, 2641, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*: 549, while al-Ṭabarī suggests Sinān or Shimr and notes that the latter was a leper; al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥā'ir al-ʿuqbā*, bb. 9: 249–250.

⁸⁸ Shimr (or Shamir) b. Dī al-Ġawshan b. Shurahbīl b. al-A'war b. ʿUmar b. Mu'āwiya al-ʿĀmir had once supported ʿAlī but later switched sides. (Cf. HOWARD I.K.A., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, vol. XIX, 1990, nt. 192: 49). Ibn Ziyād sent Shimr to replace ʿUmar b. Sa'd as military commander at Karbalā'. Abū Miḥnaf in his account twice attributes these words to one ʿAbd Allāh b. Ḥawza (and in Ibn Abī Shayba and al-Ḥawārizmī, al-Ḥuwayza), but not to Shimr. Cf. Abū Miḥnaf., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 219–220, Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḥ*, vol. XIII, *Kitāb al-ḥitan*, bb. 1, n. 38385: 295, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ʿuqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 30: 106–107, Ibn al-ʿAdīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ ḥalab*, Part Six: 2643. Cf. also al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥā'ir al-ʿuqbā*, bb. 9: 247 (where Shimr is not mentioned), Ibn ʿAsākir., *Tāriḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib b. Ḥāshim b. ʿAbd al-Manāf*): 235 (attributing the words to Ḥurayza).

began to beat al-Ḥusayn's throat⁸⁹ with his sword, saying: "Today I kill you, and my soul knows with certain knowledge, in which there is neither falsity nor doubt nor reserve, that your father was the best of speech."⁹⁰

The incident is problematic in its detail, since not all texts record Shimr as striking the death blow, but it hearkens back to a much earlier moment in the battle:

Then another (man) came and said: "Where is al-Ḥusayn?" He answered: "Here I am!" He continued: "Rejoice in the Fire!" Al-Ḥusayn replied: "Rejoice in a Merciful Lord and an intercessor whose prayer is answered.⁹¹ Who are you?" He answered: "I am Shimr b. Ḍī al-Ġawshan." Al-Ḥusayn said: "God is the greatest! The Messenger of God said: I saw as if a black and white dog was licking the blood of the people of my house." Then al-Ḥusayn said: "I saw as if dogs were biting me, and among them was a black and white dog which was the fiercest of them against me. That dog is you" (for he was a leper).⁹²

⁸⁹ The Arabic *maḡbah*, defined by Lane as the part of the throat below the lower jaw. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. III, 1968: 955.

⁹⁰ Ibn A'ṭam., *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 119, al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-maḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, *maḡlis* 7: 323, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 2: 56, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 1: 299.

⁹¹ Lane takes note that *al-muṭāʿ* is an epithet for Muḥammad, meaning 'he whose prayer is answered; whose intercession for his people is accepted'. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. V, 1968: 1892.

⁹² Cf. Ibn Namā al-Hillī, *Muṭīr al-aḡẓān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 64, al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-maḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, *maḡlis* 7: 323, al-Suyūfī, *al-Ḥaṣā'is al-kubrā*, vol. II: 450 (with fewer details), al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 2: 31, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Twelve, bb. 4, n. 5: 156, Part Thirteen, bb. 1: 274. Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr mentioned that Ḡaʿfar al-Ṣādiq was asked about a vision which had taken fifty years to be fulfilled, in which Muḥammad saw a spotted dog lapping his blood, and

What al-Ḥusayn is referring to is a much earlier dream;⁹³ at times, it is recorded as a dream of Muḥammad,⁹⁴ at other times of al-Ḥusayn, but in the narrative quoted above, of both Muḥammad and his grandson. The following account, narrated by Ġaʿfar al-Šādiq and reported by Ibn Qūlawayh, omits Muḥammad as a recipient:

When al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī had gone up to ʿAqabat al-Baṭn,⁹⁵ he said to his companions: “I do not foresee myself but being killed.” They said: “Why that, Abū ʿAbd Allāh?” He replied: “A vision which I saw in my dream.” They asked: “What was it?” He replied: “I saw dogs biting me, and the fiercest towards me was a spotted dog.”⁹⁶

Transmitting from Ibn Shahrāshūb, al-Maḡlisī paints a broader picture, adding elements not found in other reports (including the colour green given to the glass vial):

understood that a man would kill al-Ḥusayn. The killer of al-Ḥusayn turned out to be Shimr b. Dī al-Ġawshan, who was leprous. Cf. Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr., *Bahġat al-maġālīs wa uns al-muġālīs*, bb. *Nawādir min al-ruʿyā muḥtaṣar*: 439, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. LXII, bb. 1: 60.

⁹³ In al-Rabġūzī’s text, al-Ḥusayn dreams of Muʿāwiyā’s death and of a dog, representing Yazīd, taking his place. One of the many crimes with which Yazīd is accused is that of ‘playing with dogs at night’. Cf. BOESCHOTEN H.E. & O’KANE J., (eds.), *Al-Rabġūzī. The Stories of the Prophets*, 2015: 623.

⁹⁴ Cf. al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 2: 56, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAnwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 1: 300. Both al-Maḡlisī and al-Iṣfahānī make reference to al-Ḥawārizmī’s *al-Manāqib*.

⁹⁵ Generally, Baṭn al-ʿAqaba, a stopping point between Mecca and Kūfa. Al-Iṣfahānī calls it ʿAqabat al-Baṭn.

⁹⁶ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 23, n. 14: 75, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 24: 87, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAnwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 12, bb. 4, n. 5: 156, ch. 13, bb. 2, n. 14: 319. Cf. Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 22 (*Kitāb al-musāqāḥ*), bb. 10, n. [4021] 47 – (1572): 289 for a *ḥadīṭ* that says that a black dog with white markings is a devil.

When it was just before daybreak, al-Ḥusayn was dropping his head drowsily. Then he woke up and said: “Do you know what I just saw in my dream?” They replied: “What was it you saw, son of the Messenger of God?” He continued: “I saw as if dogs were charging me to bite me and among them was a black and white dog which I saw was the fiercest of them against me. I believe that whoever is entrusted with my killing will be a leprous man from among these people. Then after that, I saw my grandfather, the Messenger of God, and with him a group of his companions. He said to me: My son, you are a martyr of the family of Muḥammad; the people of the heavens and the people of the highest level of heaven rejoice over you. Your fast breaking will be with me tonight, so hurry, and do not tarry. This angel has descended from heaven to receive your blood in a green glass vial. This is what I saw, and the matter has drawn near⁹⁷ and departure from the world has come close; there is no doubt in that.”⁹⁸

Sometime after al-Ḥusayn’s death, the dog returns to the dreams of a Syrian, although if Shimr is the spotted dog in the dream, then this dream recounts the killer of al-Ḥusayn as a black dog. Its subtext is a story related by al-Buḥārī, Muslim and Abū Dāwud, of a person, sometimes an (Israeli) prostitute, giving water to a thirsty dog and this being counted as a good deed that brings forgiveness

⁹⁷ The editor notes that the original text reads *anifa al-amr* rather than *azifa al-amr*, which he regards as incorrect. However, if this is indeed an error, it is transmitted by al-Iṣfahānī in his *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 1: 247.

⁹⁸ Ibn Aʿtam., *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 99–100, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, bb. *Fī ḥurūġ al-Ḥusayn min Makka ilā al-ʿIrāq*, n. 7: 356, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-maġālis wa zīnat al-maġālis*, vol. II, *maġlis* 6: 268–269, al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 2: 3 (from *al-Manāqib*), al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 1: 247), al-Ġazāʾirī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 222. al-Qummī., *Saḥīḥat al-bihār*, vol. III: 250.

and reward.⁹⁹ Contrarily, a number of transmitters carry reports in which Muḥammad says that a black dog is a *shayṭān*,¹⁰⁰ and that such a dog should be killed.¹⁰¹ However, in a number of other transmissions, it is vicious rather than merely black dogs that should be killed:¹⁰²

My grandfather Šālīḥ b. Shaḥām – in Aleppo (Ḥalab), may God have mercy on him, for he was upright and pious – saw in a dream a black dog, panting with thirst, its tongue protruding down to its chest. I said: This dog is thirsty! It occurred to me

⁹⁹ Cf. al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. III, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-musāqāt*), bb. 9, n. 2363: 317, bk. 46 (*Kitāb al-maẓālim*), bb. 23, n. 2466: 373, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʿ al-ḥalq*), bb. 17, n. 3321: 322, bk. 60 (*Kitāb aḥādīṯ al-anbiyāʾ*), bb. 55, n. 3467: 423, vol. VIII, bk. 78 (*Kitāb al-adab*), bb. 27, n. 6009: 35, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 39 (*Kitāb al-salām*), bb. 41, nn. [5859] 153 – (2244), [5860] 154 – (2245), [5861] 155: 102–103, Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. III, bk. 15 (*Kitāb al-ḡibād*), bb. 44, n. 2550: 234.

¹⁰⁰ Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 4 (*Kitāb al-ṣalāt*), bb. 50, n. [1137] 265 – (510): 616, Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Kitāb al-ṣalāt*), bb. 109, n. 702: 416–417, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Abwāb al-ṣalāt*), bb. 136, n. 338: 356, al-Nasāʾī., *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, vol. I, bk. 9 (*Kitāb al-qibla*), n. 751: 443) and that such a dog should be killed (Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. III, bk. 17 (*Kitāb al-ṣayd*), bb. 21–22, nn. 2845, 2846: 403–404, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Abwāb al-ṣayd*), bb. 16, n. 1486: 268, bb. 17, n. 1489: 270). However, in a number of other transmissions, it is vicious rather than black dogs that should be killed (Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. III, bk. 17 (*Kitāb al-ḥaḡḡ*), bb. 9, nn. [2161] 66 – (1198), [2162] 67, [2163] 68, [2165] 69, [2167] 71, [2168] 72 – (1199), [2169] 73 – (1200), [2170] 74, [2171] 75, [2172] 76, [1199], [2173] 77, [2176] 79: 297–302, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. II, bk. 7 (*Abwāb al-ḥaḡḡ*), bb. 21, nn. 837, 838: 260–261).

¹⁰¹ Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. III, bk. 17 (*Kitāb al-ṣayd*), bb. 21–22, nn. 2845, 2846: 403–404, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Abwāb al-ṣayd*), bb. 16, n. 1486: 268, bb. 17, n. 1489: 270.

¹⁰² Abū Dāwūd., *Sunan*, vol. III, bk. 17 (*Kitāb al-ḥaḡḡ*), bb. 9, nn. [2161] 66 – (1198), [2162] 67, [2163] 68, [2165] 69, [2167] 71, [2168] 72 – (1199), [2169] 73 – (1200), [2170] 74, [2171] 75, [2172] 76, [1199], [2173] 77, [2176] 79: 297–302, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. II, bk. 7 (*Abwāb al-ḥaḡḡ*), bb. 21, nn. 837, 838: 260–261.

that giving him a drink, and by means of it enter the Garden.¹⁰³ I was on the point of doing so when with a great shout, one shouted from behind him, saying: “Šāliḥ! Do not give him a drink, Šāliḥ, do not give him a drink! This is the killer of al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī, being tormented by thirst until the day of Resurrection.”¹⁰⁴

On occasion, it is al-Ḥusayn himself who plays the central role in a dream. Transmitting from al-Mufīd and al-Ṭūsī, al-Maḡlisī reports the words of al-Ḥusayn: “There is not a servant whose eyes flow with tears on account of us, or whose eyes water with one tear for us but that God will settle him because of it in the Garden for a long period.¹⁰⁵ He then transmits the dream of Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā al-Awdī, who sees al-Ḥusayn in a dream and asks him to confirm this heavenly assurance, which he does.¹⁰⁶

Fāṭima, daughter of Muḥammad and mother to al-Ḥusayn, is another member of the Prophet’s house whose nights are punctu-

¹⁰³ The editor notes that the correct phrase is on the authority of Ibn Manzūr: “It occurred to me that giving him a drink, the Garden would not be prejudiced thereby.”

¹⁰⁴ Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 259, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ ḥalab*, Part Six: 2643.

¹⁰⁵ The term *ḥuqub* (pl. *abqāb* or *abqūb*) is an undefined period of time, although Lane notes that some say seventy or eighty years or more. A *ḥiqba*, notes Wehr, is a long stretch of time or an historical epoch, while Hava suggests a year or eighty years. Penrice too suggests eighty. Cf. WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 226, LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. II, 1968: 610, HAVA J.G., *Arabic English Dictionary for Advanced Learners*, Goodwood Books, New Delhi 2001: 127, PENRICE J., *A Dictionary and Glossary of the Kor-ān*, Asian Educational Services, New Delhi 1995: 37. In the Qur’ānic text, English interpreters tend to render it as ‘years and years’ or ‘ages’ or ‘many years’. Cf. Q. 18:60 (*ḥuqub*) and Q. 78:23 (*abqāb*).

¹⁰⁶ al-Mufīd., *al-Amālī, maḡlis* 4, n. 6: 241, al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī, maḡlis* 4, n. 35–181: 117, al-Maḡlisī., *Bīḥār al-annār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 34, n. 34: 292, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Anwālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārīf al-aḥwāl min al-‘ayāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 20, bb. 1, n. 2: 526.

ated by visions and dreams. Extant in al-Rāwandī, and transmitted only by al-Baḥrānī and al-Maḡlisī, Fāṭima's mystical dream is narrated in an *ḥadīth* markedly different from all the others concerning al-Ḥusayn's birth, precisely in that in the others, it is Muḥammad who receives angelic announcements of the birth and forewarnings of the death; he then passes this information on to Fāṭima. At other moments of her life, such as in her grief at her father's death, angels certainly do communicate directly with her, earning her the name al-muḥaddaṭa ('the one to whom the angels spoke').¹⁰⁷

In the narration that follows, it is Fāṭima who is the recipient of an angelic visitation, although no words are actually spoken to her by the angels, and it is for Muḥammad to interpret the meaning for her, in accordance with the books of *aḥādīth*, which report that anyone who had a dream would relate it to the Prophet, and he would interpret it.¹⁰⁸ "I see a light shining on your face," he says to his daughter, in a motif of light that runs through many of the reports about Fāṭima, "you will give birth to a proof (*ḥuḡḡā*) of God over this creation." The encounter, in the sixth month of her pregnancy with al-Ḥusayn, is narrated by Fāṭima herself:

At the completion of the tenth day of the sixth month I was sleeping, and there came to me in my sleep one who rubbed his wings against my back. I was alarmed, and arose, performed the ritual washing and prayed two prostrations. Then I

¹⁰⁷ al-Hilālī, *Kitāb Sulaym b. Qays*: 821, al-Mufīd., *al-Iḥtīṣāṣ*: 329, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. III, bb. *Manāqib Fāṭima al-Zabrāʾ*: 384, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ġumma fī maʿrifat al-aʿimma*, vol. I: 468, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XXX, bb. 19: 132, vol. XLIII, bb. 2, n. 1: 10–11, n. 15: 16–17, bb. 3, n. 48: 55, n. 66: 79.

¹⁰⁸ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. II, bk. 19 (*Kitāb al-tabaḡḡud*), bb. 2, n. 1121: 139, bk. 23 (*Kitāb al-ġanaʿz*), bb. 93, n. 1386: 267, vol. V, bk. 62 (*Kitāb faḍāʾil aṣḥāb al-nabī*), bb. 19, n. 3738: 63, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-taʿbīr*), bb. 35, nn. 7028, 7030: 110–111, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 42 (*Kitāb al-ruʾyā*): [5931] 128, bk. 43 (*Kitāb al-faḍāʾil*), bb. 31, n. [6369] 139 – (2478): 343, n. [6370] 140 – (2479): 343–344. "A dream hovers over a man so long as it is not interpreted," noted Muḥammad, "then when it is interpreted it is fulfilled": cf. Muslim., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 40 (*Annwāl kitāb al-adab*), bb. 88, n. 5020: 365.

slept again, and there came to me in my sleep one garbed in white, who sat at my head and blew in my face and on my neck. I arose, fearful, performed the ritual washing and prayed four prostrations. Then I slept again, and there came to me in my sleep one who made me sit up and made incantations over me and prayed God's protection over me. In the morning I awoke; it was the day of blessed Umm Salama, and I entered in a bathrobe. I came to Umm Salama, and the Prophet looked at my face, and I saw joy on his. All that I had experienced lifted from me and I recounted it to the Prophet. He said: Rejoice! As regards the first, he is my friend 'Izrā'il,¹⁰⁹ guardian and opener of the wombs of women; as regards the second, he is my friend Mikā'il, guardian of the wombs of the people of my house. Did he blow on you? I said, yes! (Fāṭima then said): Then he held me to himself and said: Regarding the third, he is my brother Ğibra'il, to whom God has given care of your child. I returned and delivered him at the completion of the sixth month."¹¹⁰

In a narrative suffused with symbolic details, the crucial element is that Fāṭima is visited by three of the chief Islamic angels (*ru'ūs al-malā'ika*), to each of whom is attributed a task not normally associated with that angel. 'Izrā'il (or sometimes 'Azrā'il) is a brooding presence in the narrative, for essentially, he is the angel concerned with death, portrayed, notes Burge, as a functionary without compassion,¹¹¹ and far more associated with the end of life than as "guardian and opener of the wombs of women." In fact, the angel of death does play a role in the creation of Ādam, succeeding in obtaining mud from the earth where Ğibrīl and Mikā'il had failed

¹⁰⁹ The angel of death and sometimes angel of the last trumpet, who is alluded to but unnamed in Q. 32:11 and is, by tradition, so overcome by grief when he looks into Hell, that the earth risks being flooded by his tears. Cf. WENSINCK A.J., "Izrā'il" in E. van Donzel et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, vol. IV, 1997: 292–293.

¹¹⁰ al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarā'ig wa-l-ġarā'ih fi-l-mu'ġizāt*, vol. II: 841, al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 12, n. 39: 272–273.

¹¹¹ BURGE S.R., *Angels in Islam*, 2012: 138.

before him,¹¹² in an action that conveys the reality that death is an inescapable part of life the moment it begins. However, while al-Suyūṭī deals at length with the ‘angels of the womb’,¹¹³ he mentions none of the major Islamic angels has having any specific relationship with wombs or childbirth.

Wombs and childbirth also dominate the dream of Lubāba bt. al-Ḥārīt (d. 35/655), better known by her *kunya* Umm al-Faḍl,¹¹⁴ a woman who enjoyed a substantial prominence in the embryonic Islamic community. Two of her sisters, Maymūna bt. al-Ḥārīt and Zaynab bt. Ḥuzayma, became wives of Muḥammad,¹¹⁵ while she herself went on to marry one of Muḥammad’s uncles, ‘Abbās b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib al-Hāshimī, producing a number of children for him, including the esteemed ‘Abd Allāh (Ibn ‘Abbās), himself a dreamer like his mother, as well as Quṭam who is, notes al-Ḍahabī, by virtue of suckling, al-Ḥusayn’s brother.¹¹⁶ Umm al-Faḍl is held to have been the second woman to convert to Islam, on the same day as her close friend Ḥadiġa.¹¹⁷ She and her sisters were conspicuous in the nascent Muslim community, a factor that did not go unnoticed by Muḥammad, who commented on their faithfulness: “Ibn ‘Abbās transmitted, on the authority of the Messenger of God, that he said: The four faithful sisters are Maymūna bt. al-Ḥārīt, Umm al-Faḍl, Salmā and Asmā’.”¹¹⁸ It is curious that

¹¹² al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, n. 111: 36.

¹¹³ Op. cit., nn. 440–447: 102–104.

¹¹⁴ al-Ṭūsī, *Kitāb asmaʾ al-riġāl*: 52, 271.

¹¹⁵ al-Zabīdī, *Taġ al-ʿarūs min ġawābir al-qāmūs*, vol. VIII: 376.

¹¹⁶ al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 82 (*Quṭam b. al-ʿAbbās*): 440–441. Cf. HOWARD I.K.A., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, vol. XXXIX, 1990: 207.

¹¹⁷ Ibn Saʿd, *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol VIII: 204, al-Mizzī, *Tabḍīb al-kamāl fī asmaʾ al-riġāl*, vol. XXXV, n. 7923: 297, al-Ṭabarī, *Ḍaḥāʾir al-ʿuqbā*, bb. 3: 373, al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 82 (*Quṭam b. al-ʿAbbās*): 440, al-ʿAsqalānī, *al-Isāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VIII, n. 12204: 450, al-Maġlisī, *Biḥār al-annwār*, vol. CVIII: 82, al-Qummī, *Safīnat al-biḥār*, vol. II: 314.

¹¹⁸ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muġam al-kabīr*, vol. XXIV, n. 360: 131–132, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, *al-Istīʿāb fī maʿrifat al-aṣḥāb*, *Kitāb al-nisāʾ wa kunā-hunna*, bb. al-

Muḥammad's observation did not encompass their half-sister, Zaynab bt. Ḥuzayma, who was later to become his own wife.

Umm al-Faḍl's dream goes unnoticed by a number of important transmitters: Ibn al-Aṭīr in *Usd al-ġāba fī ma'rifat al-ṣaḥāba* ignores it, as do Ibn Ḥaġar al-ʿAsqalānī in his *Tabdīb al-tabdīb* and Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr in his *al-Istīʿāb fī ma'rifat al-aṣḥāb*. Even so, the story is carried by a sufficient number of *muhaddithūn* to render it of interest; it is recounted mostly in four strands and is plagued, as will be noted, by two anomalies. Its first narration is marked by a paucity of detail; the 4th/10th century Ismāʿīlī Shīʿī scholar al-Qāḍī al-Nuʿmān, for example, carries a report found also in al-Ṭabarsī and Ibn ʿAsākir:

On the authority of Umm Faḍl al-Hilāliyya, in the narrative of al-Awzāʿī, that she went to the Messenger of God (and said): "I saw a vision." He replied: "Good!" She continued: "It was ominous!" He answered: "Relate it!" She said: "It was as if one of your limbs was severed and fell into my lap!" He replied: "What you saw is good. Fāṭima will give birth to a boy and he will be placed in your lap."¹¹⁹

The son to whom Fāṭima will give birth is unnamed here, and even though Muḥammad offers a wholesome interpretation, there remains something menacing about the dream, even without its later

Lām, n. 3381 (*Salmā bt. ʿUmays*): 1861, n. 4081 (*Lubāba al-ṣuġrā*): 1909, al-Mizzī, *Tabdīb al-kamāl fī asmāʾ al-riġāl*, vol. XXXV, n. 7923: 298, al-Haytamī, *Maġmaʿ al-ḥawāʾid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʾid*, vol. IX, *Kitāb al-manāqib*, bb. *Manāqib Asmāʾ bt. ʿUmays*: 260, al-ʿAsqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VIII, n. 12204: 450.

¹¹⁹ al-Qāḍī al-Nuʿmān, *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍāʾil al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, n. 1048: 112 (while Fāṭima is pregnant with al-Ḥusayn), al-Ṭabarsī, *Tāġ al-mawālīd*: 86 (This work, while not listed by Brockelmann, is included in a list by Kohlberg. Cf. KOHLBERG E., "al-Ṭabrisī" in P.J. Bearman et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, vol. X, 2000: 40), Ibn ʿAsākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ʿAbd al-Manāf*): 114 (who names the boy as al-Ḥusayn), al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 7: 127.

accretions. The ‘vision’ will soon be qualified with the word “were I to relate it to you, it would distress you.”¹²⁰ Nothing more is added to the story by these transmitters, and based on Muḥammad’s construal of things, the reader is left to make up his or her own mind. However, the report is given a second incarnation, now including an account of the boy¹²¹ inadvertently urinating on the Prophet. The fact that Ibn Sa’d reports this story, as does Ibn Māǧa in his *Sunan*, suggests that it is an early development:

On the authority of Simāk b. Ḥarb, that Umm al-Faḍl, wife of al-‘Abbās b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib, said: “Messenger of God! I saw in a dream as if one of your limbs was in my house!”¹²² He replied: “What you saw was good! Fāṭima will give birth to a boy, and you will suckle him with the milk of your son Quṭam.” The narrator continued: al-Ḥusayn was born and Umm al-Faḍl took responsibility for him. She said: “I came with him to the Messenger of God; he was jumping on him and kissing him when he urinated on the Messenger of God. He said: Umm al-Faḍl, hold my son, because he has urinated on me.” She went on: “I took him and pinched¹²³ him so that he cried because of it, and I said: You have troubled the Messenger of God, urinating on him!” When the boy cried, (the Messenger of God) said: “Umm al-Faḍl, you have troubled me in my son, making him

¹²⁰ Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 196–197.

¹²¹ Although in Ibn Ḥanbal, the culprit could be either al-Ḥasan or al-Ḥusayn, since both boys are with Muḥammad at the time (Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. VI (*Ḥadīṭ Umm al-Faḍl*): 339. At one point, Ibn Ḥanbal also identifies the boy as al-Ḥasan; cf. Ibn Ḥanbal., *Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1385: 780.

¹²² Or, says Ibn Kaṭīr, “in my lap” (Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn*: 238).

¹²³ A second narration, close to Ibn Māǧa, on the authority of Qabus b. al-Muḥāriq, has Umm al-Faḍl striking the boy between his shoulders with her hand and Muḥammad saying to her: “You have hurt my son, may God make you good” or “may God have mercy on you.” Cf. also al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqūbā*, bb. 9: 210.

cry!” Then he called for water and purged¹²⁴ it thoroughly and said: “When it is a boy, they should purge it thoroughly and when it is a girl, they should wash it thoroughly.”¹²⁵

The text now makes precise the details of the boy being in the lap of Umm al-Faḍl, including the crucial issue of suckling, so that her own son Quṭām will be a foster brother to Fāṭima’s son. While it is unusual that Ibn Māḡa should name the older al-Ḥasan after rather than before his younger brother al-Ḥusayn, this is one of a smattering of texts in which the son in question could be either of the two. One of the anomalies is, as we shall see, the identity of the boy, since this impacts profoundly on the meaning of the story.

¹²⁴ Ibn Sa’d uses the verb *ḥadara-yahdiru*, used by few others in transmitting this *ḥadīṭ*, and which I have rendered as ‘to purge’ (cf. STEINGASS F., *Learner’s Arabic English Dictionary*, 1993: 267).

¹²⁵ Ibn Sa’d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol VIII, n. 4225 (*Umm al-Faḍl*): 218, al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqba*, bb. 9: 210, al-Maḡlisī., *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 246–248. “The urine of a baby girl should be washed off and the urine of baby boy should be sprinkled (with water),” declared Muḥammad in a *ḥadīṭ* carried by a number of transmitters: cf. for e.g. Ibn Māḡa., *Sunan*, vol. I, (*Abwāb al-ṭaḥāra*), bb. 77, n. 522: 365–366, nn. 525–527: 366–368, Abū Dāwud., *Sunan*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-ṭaḥāra*), bb. 135, nn. 375, 367, 377: 236–237, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Abwāb al-ṭaḥāra*), bb. 54, n. 71: 97–98, vol. II, bk. 4 (*Abwāb al-safī*), bb. 77, n. 610: 70–71, al-Nasā’ī., *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-ṭaḥāra*), bb. 189, nn. 304, 305: 187. Most of these transmitters carry a variety of transmissions; the boy is not always named, or is sometimes named either as al-Ḥasan or al-Ḥusayn. Al-Tirmidī notes that a handful of people, including ‘Alī, ‘Ā’isha, Zaynab, Umm al-Faḍl (Lubāba), Abū al-Samḥ, Abū Layla and Ibn ‘Abbās relate similar stories; but none of these contain the added details about Ġibrīl. In Ibn Māḡa., *Sunan*, vol. I, (*Abwāb al-ṭaḥāra*), bb. 77, n. 522: 365–366 and Abū Dāwud., *Sunan*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-ṭaḥāra*), bb. 135, nn. 375: 236 is precisely a narration from Umm al-Faḍl about al-Ḥusayn urinating in the lap of Muḥammad, but with none of Ibn Sa’d’s details. Likewise, Ibn Māḡa n. 526: 367–368 and Abū Dāwud nn. 376: 237 narrate of either al-Ḥasan or al-Ḥusayn as the culprit, but again without details.

Thirdly, and of most interest, the dream sometimes also includes the prediction of the boy's death. The visit by the angel and the forecast of his martyrdom take place in a substantively brief interval – in most accounts, Umm al-Faḍl leaves the room to wash the urine from Muḥammad's robe and returns to find him in tears: "When I came back, I found him weeping, and said: For what reason is your weeping, Messenger of God? He replied: Ġibra'īl came to me and informed me that my community will kill this son of mine."¹²⁶ In Ibn Kaṭīr's narration, which identifies the boy as al-Ḥusayn, she does not even have time to leave the room:

I put him in [Muḥammad's] lap, and then I happened to turn around and the eyes of the Messenger of God were pouring tears. I said: "Prophet of God! You are as dear to me as my own father and mother! What is wrong with you?" He replied: "Ġibrīl came to me and informed me that my community would kill this son of mine." I said: "This one?" He replied: "Yes, and he came to me with some of its red dust."¹²⁷

¹²⁶ al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī ma'rīfat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh 'alā al-'ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Faṣl fī faḍā'il al-Imām al-Ḥusayn wa manāqibi-hi*: 129, al-Bayhaqī., *Dalā'il al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruwiya fī iḥbāri-bi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-bi 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 469, al-Ṭabarsī., *I'lām al-warā bi-a'lām al-budā*, vol. I, Part Three, bb. 2: 218, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 16–17, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma'rīfat al-a'imma*, vol. II: 5 (here, in his fourth transmission, the dream is predicated of al-Ḥusayn rather than of al-Ḥasan), al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 246–247, al-Iṣfahānī., *Awālim al-'ulūm wa al-ma'arīf al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, n. 1: 116, al-Qummī., *Safīnat al-bihār*, vol. VII: 113.

¹²⁷ al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī ma'rīfat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh 'alā al-'ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Faṣl fī faḍā'il al-Imām al-Ḥusayn wa manāqibi-hi*: 129, al-Ṭabarī., *Dalā'il al-imāma*: 179, al-Ṭabarsī., *I'lām al-warā bi-a'lām al-budā*, vol. I: 218, 426, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma'rīfat al-a'imma*, vol. I: 523 (but he posits the same story about al-Ḥasan), Ibn 'Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 196–197, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maḡṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 238, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-maḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, *maḡlis* 6: 110, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥaṣā'is al-kubrā*, vol. II: 449, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār*

This is a late addition to the story, with the Shīʿī transmissions starting with the 5th/11th century al-Mufīd. The text is imprecise; Muḥammad seems not to have the red dust in his possession at that moment, suggesting that the angelic encounter might have occurred at a different time and that something has triggered a memory of the event, resulting in tears. In the Umm Salama narratives, the dust is always seen or presented, suggesting an immediacy that is absent from the Umm al-Faḍl account.

There are, finally, a number of composite reports that include some, but not always all details from the various transmissions:

Umm al-Faḍl Lubāba bt. al-Ḥārīṭ said: I saw in a dream before [al-Ḥusayn's] birth as if a piece of the flesh of the Messenger of God was cut off and placed in my lap. I recounted the vision to the Messenger of God, and he said: "The vision has spoken truly! Fāṭima will give birth to a boy and I will hand him over to you to suckle him." The matter came to pass in that way, and one day I came with him and placed him in his lap, and he urinated, and some of the drops dribbled onto his robe. So, I pinched him and he cried. He said: "Be slow with such anger, Umm al-Faḍl; this robe of mine can be washed, but you have hurt my son!" She said: I left him and took it to put it in water. When I came back, I found him weeping, and said: For what reason is your weeping, Messenger of God? He replied: "Ġibraʿīl came to me and informed me that my community will kill this son of mine."¹²⁸

al-anwār, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 20: 238, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa al-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, n. 7: 127.

¹²⁸ al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī maʿrīfat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh ʿalā al-ʿibād*, vol. II, bb. *Faṣl fī faḍāʾil al-Imām al-Ḥusayn wa manāqibī-hi*: 129, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, (*Faḍāʾil Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*), n. 4884: 210, Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-tufūf*: 12–13, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 16–17, al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī, *Tafṣīl wasāʾil al-shīʿa ilā taḥṣīl masāʾil al-sharīʿa*, vol. III, bb. 8, n. 5–3991: 405, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 30: 238–239, n. 46: 246–247, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa al-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt*

The hurt which she has ostensibly caused the boy, and which pales in comparison to the news the Prophet is about to receive, meets with a variety of responses from Muḥammad: “Slowly, Umm al-Faḍl,” reports ‘Abbās Qummī, “this spillage of water can be cleaned, but what thing will make this affliction pass from the heart of al-Ḥusayn?”¹²⁹ In his *Kitāb al-mustadrak*, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī also transmits the prediction made to Umm al-Faḍl and the urination incident, but outside the context of the dream narrative.¹³⁰

Two anomalies remain: the first is that sometimes al-Ḥasan rather than his brother is the subject of the dream, in a report transmitted by an unexpectedly large number of Shī‘ī transmitters, while at other times, the boy remains unnamed:¹³¹

On the authority of Umm al-Faḍl, wife of al-‘Abbās, that she said: I said: “Messenger of God, I saw in a dream as if one of your limbs was in my lap!” He said: “Fāṭima will give birth to a boy and you will provide for him.” Fāṭima gave birth to al-

wa-l-abbār wa-l-aqwāl, vol. XVII, n. 1: 116, al-Qummī, *Safīnat al-biḥār*, vol. VII: 113.

¹²⁹ al-Qummī, *Safīnat al-biḥār*, vol. I: 408.

¹³⁰ al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), nn. 4890, 4895: 213–214. Cf. also al-Qummī, *Safīnat al-biḥār*, vol. I: 408.

¹³¹ Cf. for e.g. Ibn Ḥanbal, *Musnad*, vol. VI (*Ḥadīṯ Umm al-Faḍl*): 340, al-Balāḍūrī, *Kitāb ansāb al-ashraf*, vol. IV, bb. *Quṭam b. al-‘Abbās b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib*: 85, Ibn al-A‘īr, *Usd al-ġāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 3122: 359. In his *Nūr al-abṣār*, al-Shablangī carries the story twice, once relating it to al-Ḥasan and once to al-Ḥusayn. Only in the latter is what she saw described as ‘a strange dream’ (*ḥulm munkar*); his account omits the urination story but relates the prediction of death. Al-Shablangī also relates the urination story, but predicated of al-Ḥasan, and outside of the dream and prediction context. Cf. al-Shablangī, *Nūr al-abṣār fī manāqib āl bayt al-nabī al-muḥtār, faṣl fī ḍikr sayyidi-nā al-Ḥasan*: 239–240, *faṣl fī ḍikr sayyidi-nā al-Ḥusayn*: 254–255.

Hasan, and the Prophet handed him to her and she suckled him with the milk of Quṭam b. al-ʿAbbās.¹³²

A second anomaly is that sporadically, the dream is predicated of Umm Ayman rather than of Umm al-Faḍl. Ibn ʿAsākir reserves a special place in the fourth volume of his massive history of Damascus, separated by more than sixty volumes from all others, for eight women who were particularly close to Muḥammad.¹³³ One of these was an Abyssinian¹³⁴ servant, long associated with the Prophet's family, Baraka bt. Ṭaʿlaba b. ʿAmr b. al-Nuʿmān, better known by her *kunya* Umm Ayman,¹³⁵ whom Muḥammad called 'my mother after my mother'.¹³⁶ Umm Ayman would continue to care for

¹³² al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-nazīm fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī dīkr al-Husayn b. ʿAlī*: 489, Ibn al-ʿAdīm, *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ ḥalab*, Part Six: 2565–6, al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ḡumma fī maʿrifat al-aʿimma*, vol. I: 523, 530, 547 (three times he posits the dream and interpretation of al-Ḥasan), Ibn al-Muṭahhar al-Ḥillī, *al-ʿAdad al qawīyya li-dafʿ al-mahāwif al-yamīyya*, bb. 5: 35–36, al-ʿAsqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. V, n. 7096 (*Quṭam b. al-ʿAbbās*): 320, al-Hurr al-ʿĀmilī, *Iḥbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-muʿḡiẓāt*, vol. I, bb. 8, *faṣl* 35, n. 573: 409, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 14: 242, n. 33: 255, al-Ġazāʾirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭbār*, vol. I, Part One: 76, al-Ṭabarsī, *Mustadrak al-wasāʾil wa mustanbiṭ al-masāʾil*, vol. VIII, n. 2211: 318.

¹³³ Cf. WINET M., "Female Presence in Biographical Dictionaries: Ibn ʿAsākir's Selection Criteria for Women in his *Taʾriḥ Madīnat Dimashq*" in S. Judd and J. Scheiner (eds.), *New Perspectives on Ibn ʿAsākir in Islamic Historiography*, Brill, Leiden 2017: 103–104.

¹³⁴ al-Zuhri, *al-Maḡāẓī al-nabawīyya*: 177, al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muʿḡam al-kabīr*, vol. XXV: 86.

¹³⁵ Ibn ʿAsākir, *Tāriḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. IV, bb. *Maʿrifat ʿabida-hu wa imāʿa-hu wa dīkr ḥadiṭa-hu wa kitāba-hu wa amnāʿa-hu maʿ marāʿāt al-ḥurūf fī asmāʾi-him wa dīkr baʿd ma dukira min anbāʾi-him*, n. 1: 302–345.

¹³⁶ Ibn Saʿd, *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. VIII: 223, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. VIII, bb. *Dīkr ʿabidi-hi*: 285, vol. IX, bb. *Dīkr man tawaffā fī ḥaqā al-sanʿa*: 334, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, *al-Istīʿāb fī maʿrifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. IV, n. 3552: 1794, Ibn al-Aṭīr, *Uṣd al-ḡāba fī maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VI, n. 7363: 309.

Muḥammad until adulthood,¹³⁷ when, upon his marriage to Ḥadīġa, he arranged for her freedom; despite this, she remained in the service of his household. She would lose a husband and a son (Ayman) in battle, but a later son, Usāma b. Zayd b. Ḥārīta, would make a name for himself in emergent Islam.¹³⁸ Muḥammad held her in great esteem,¹³⁹ and she is declared to be among the women inhabiting Paradise.¹⁴⁰ Nevertheless, relatively few sources attribute to her the dream more commonly associated with Umm al-Faḍl:

On the authority of Abū ‘Abd Allāh, who said: Neighbours of Umm Ayman came to the Messenger of God and said: “Messenger of God! Umm Ayman did not sleep last night from weeping. She has not stopped crying since she awoke!” The Messenger of God sent for Umm Ayman, who came to him. He said to her: “Umm Ayman, may God not cause your eye to weep! Your neighbours came to me and informed me that you did not cease to cry the whole night! May God not cause your eye to weep! What is it that is making you cry?” She replied: “Messenger of God, I saw a terribly ominous dream, and I could not stop crying all night!” The Messenger of God said to

¹³⁷ Ibn Sa‘d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. VIII: 223, al-Balāḍurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asbrāf*, vol. I: 96, al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VIII: 380.

¹³⁸ al-Balāḍurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asbrāf*, vol. I: 96, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. II, n. 24 (*Umm Ayman*): 224.

¹³⁹ Ibn Sa‘d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. VIII: 223–226, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. II, n. 24 (*Umm Ayman*): 224.

¹⁴⁰ Cf. for e.g. al-Hilālī., *Kitāb Sulaym b. Qays*, vol. I, n. 4: 265, vol. II: 867, al-Qummī., *Tafsīr, sūrat al-Rūm*, n. 1: 155, al-Kulaynī., *al-Kāfī fī ‘ilm al-dīn*, vol. II, Part Two, n. 6: 405, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, n. 973: 32, al-Rāwandī., *al-Ḥarā’iġ wa-l-ġarā’iḥ fī-l-mu‘ġīzāt*, vol. I, bb. I, n. 187: 113, al-Ṭabarsī., *Kitāb al-iḥtiġāġ ‘alā ahl al-liġāġ*, vol. I, Part One: 91, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *al-Ṭarā’if fī ma’rifat madhab al-ṭawā’if*, vol. I, n. 348: 249–250, al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma., *Nahġ al-ḥaqq wa-kashf al-ṣiġq*: 270, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. II, n. 24 (*Umm Ayman*): 224, al-Baḥrānī., *al-Burbān fī tafsīr al-quṣ’ān*, vol. II, *sūrat al-nisā’*, n. 2674: 157, vol. IV, *sūrat al-Rūm*, n. 8354: 346, al-Maġlisī *Bihār*, vol. XVII, bb. 4, n. 46: 379, vol. XXII, bb. 5, n. 8: 265, vol. XXIX, bb. 11, n. 10: 117, n. 27: 128.

her: "Relate it to the Messenger of God, for God and His Messenger know." She replied: "It is distressing for me to talk about it!" He said to her: "The vision is not what you think. Relate it to the Messenger of God!" She answered: "This is what I saw in my dream; it was as if one of your limbs was found in my house!" The Messenger of God said to her: "Let your eyes rest, Umm Ayman. Fāṭima will give birth to al-Ḥusayn; you will tend him and take charge over him, so that one of my limbs will be in your house." When Fāṭima gave birth to al-Ḥusayn, on the seventh day, the Messenger of God gave the order, and his head was shaven and the weight of his hair was given in silver as alms and an animal slaughtered and sacrificed for him. Then Umm Ayman prepared him and wrapped him in the garment of the Messenger of God. Then she came with him to the Messenger of God, who said: "Welcome, to the bearer and the one borne! Umm Ayman, this is the interpretation of your vision."¹⁴¹

Aside from its being predicated of Umm Ayman rather than Umm al-Faḍl, the narrative is somewhat typecast, with Muḥammad's anticipatory counter-prediction that the vision will be good rather than bad, something he fails to do in the case of Umm al-Faḍl, and his theological declaration that 'God and His Messenger know'. The prosaic details of Umm Ayman swaddling the new-born are offset by a singular *ḥadīṭ* which introduces a rush of angelic activity at al-Ḥusayn's birth, ordered by God Himself, suitably to celebrate the birth of a child for Muḥammad. "When al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī was born," notes the text, God,

inspired Mālik, keeper of the Fire, to subdue the fires for its people in honour of the child born to Muḥammad; and He in-

¹⁴¹ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī al-aḥādīṭ wa-l-aḥbār*, maḡlis 19, n. 1: 82, Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā'izīn wa-taḥsīrat al-mutta'izīn*, vol. I: 154–155, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 70, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLIII, b. 11, n. 15: 242–243, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa al-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aḡwāl*, vol. XVII, n. 3: 23, al-Ġazā'irī., *Riḡad al-abrār fī manāqib al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I: 76.

spired Riḍwān,¹⁴² keeper of the Gardens, to adorn the Gardens and to perfume them in honour of a child born to Muḥammad on the earth. And God, may He be blessed and exalted, inspired the maidens of Paradise to dress up and to visit each other in honour of a child born to Muḥammad on the earth; and God, powerful and lofty, inspired the angels to perform in ranks the glorification of God (al-tasbīḥ), the praise of God (al-taḥmīd), the exaltation of God (al-tamgīd) and the proclamation that God is the greatest (al-takbīr) in honour of a child born to Muḥammad on the earth...¹⁴³

But Umm Ayman would have known nothing of this. It is in the home of this woman, so cherished by Muḥammad, that she sees her disquieting vision and in her home that she would help to rear the young al-Ḥusayn; but it is in the house of another woman, no less loved by the Prophet that, his own startling encounters with the angels would play out, and so it is to Umm Salama that we must now turn.

¹⁴² The angel who looks after Paradise, possibly alluded to in Q. 9: 21 and 57: 20. Cf. MURATA S., “The Angels” in S.H. Nasr (ed.), *Islamic Spirituality. Foundations*, 1997: 325.

¹⁴³ Ibn Bābawayh., *Ikṃāl (kamāl) al-dīn wa-itmām (tamām) al-ni‘ma fī itbāt al-ḡayba wa-kashf al-ḡayra*, vol. I, bb. 24, n. 36: 282, al-Maḡlisī., *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 11, n. 24: 248.

THE ODOUR OF PARADISE. IN THE HOUSE OF UMM SALAMA

Curiously, important Islamic biographers and historians like Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr and Ibn al-A‘īr give no indication of any dreams or visions in their short biographies of Umm Salama;¹ and yet, a core narrative, going as far back at least as al-Tirmidī,² concerns at least one of Umm Salama’s important dreams, of Muḥammad after his death, a stark vision of a Muḥammad marked by a harrowing phys-

¹ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr., *al-Istī‘āb fī ma‘rifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. IV, n. 4111 (Hind bt. Abī ‘Umayya): 1920–1921, Ibn al-A‘īr., *Usd al-ġāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VI, n. 7464: 351–353; nor, for that matter, does Ibn Ḥaġar al-‘Asqalānī (al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. VII, n. 12054: 325–328, *Tabdīb al-tabdīb*, vol. vol. XII, n. 2905: 455), although reference to her dreams is found in some of his other works.

² As Karamustafa points out, al-Tirmidī was himself no stranger to dreams and visions; nor, for that matter, was his wife, and he often relied upon her dreams to confirm his own. Cf. KARAMUSTAFA A., *Sufism. The Formative Period*, 2007: 44.

ical appearance. This narrative is sometimes from Umm Salama's son 'Umar, but more often from Umm Salmā, a woman of the *ansār*,³ who goes to visit Umm Salama and finds her perturbed and in tears after a dream she has had:

I said: What is making you cry? She answered: "I saw the Messenger of God – that is, in a dream – and there was dirt on his head and his beard, so I said: What is wrong with you, Messenger of God? He answered: I just witnessed the killing of al-Husayn."⁴

³ al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ġumma fī ma'rifat al-a'imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 11.

⁴ al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmi' al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqib*), bb. 30, n. 3771: 426 (declared *da'if* and, according to Abū 'Isā, *ġarīb*. Al-Tirmidī's editor notes that Ibn Ḥanbal transmits it, but is in fact referring to the dream that Ibn Ḥanbal transmits concerning Ibn 'Abbās and not Umm Salama (Ibn Ḥanbal, *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*: 242, 243), al-Āḡurī, *Kitāb al-sharī'a*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1106) 1723: 319–320, al-Qāḍī al-Nu'mān, *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā'il al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1106: 167, al-Ḥākim al-Nisābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak 'alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, Part Three, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma'rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Ḍiker Umm al-mu'minīn Umm Salama*, n. 6843: 101, al-Tūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīth*, maḡlis 11, n. 87–640: 314–315, al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 37: 109, Ibn 'Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 238, Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālīb*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 55, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍiker al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 566–567, Ibn al-Bīṭrīq, *al-'Umda fī 'uḥūn al-aḥbār fī manāqib amīr al-mu'minīn*, *faṣṭ* fī manāqib al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn, n. 830: 404, Ibn al-'Adīm, *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2644, Ibn Ṭā'ūs, *al-Ṭarā'if fī ma'rifat maḍāhib al-ṭawā'if*, vol. I, n. 292: 203, al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ġumma fī ma'rifat al-a'imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 11, al-Ṭabarī, *Ḍaḥā'ir al-'uqūba*, Part One, bb. 9: 254, al-Ḍahabī, *Sīyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb*): 316, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 574, al-'Asqalānī, *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Hā'*, n. 615: 356, al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥaṣā'ish al-kuḅrā*, vol. II: 452, al-Baḥrānī, *Hilyat al-abrār fī aḥwāl Muḥammad wa āli-hi al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, bb. 7, n. 16: 127, bb. 19, n. 3: 214, *Madīnat al-*

Quite patently, al-Tirmidī refrains from any allusion to an angelic encounter, remaining instead in a genre that includes no heavenly entities, but only the materialization of a distraught Muḥammad in a vision. Some might speculate that this is indicative of a reverential desire to separate what is properly called ‘revelation’ (*wahy*) from other divine communications, prudently avoiding any intimation that a visit by Ğibrīl always entails the former. In reality, the Sunnī collection of *aḥādīth* transmissions (*al-kutub al-sitta*) is replete with encounters with Ğibrīl which are not classed on the same level as that revelation he brought down to Muḥammad. In fact, as Rahman⁵ underscores, within the Qur’ānic text, Ğibrīl is given a number of appellations, but never ‘angel’, suggesting a diversity of rank, vocation and function; it is in the corpus of *aḥādīth* that this supernatural being and bringer of revelation is called ‘angel’. This is noteworthy even in the famous story of Maryam, and the announcement of her son; in Q 3:43, 45–46 it is accomplished by a group of angels, while in Q 19:17 it is Ğibrīl appearing, as though different from all other angels, and not as an angel but in the form of a flawless human («*Then We sent unto her Our Spirit and it assumed for her the likeness of a perfect man*»). It seems unlikely therefore that the accounts of Ğibrīl in the context of revelation would be confused with numerous other messages he brings.

In al-Ḥawārizmī’s version, also from Salmā, the dream narrative is preceded by an account of Muḥammad placing before Umm Salama a glass vial containing ‘sand’ (*raml*) from al-Taff, and telling her that when it changes to fresh blood, al-Ḥusayn will have been killed.⁶ The report continues with Salmā hearing a shout ringing out

ma‘āğiz fi mu‘ğizāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 56/1003: 492, al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, nn. 1–4: 231–232, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Anwāl al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, nn. 1–3: 506–508, al-Ġazā’irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fi manāqib al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 273.

⁵ RAHMAN F., *Major Themes of the Qur’an*, Bibliotheca Islamica, Chicago 1980: 97.

⁶ Ibn al-Aṭīr follows this narrative, with Umm Salama guarding the dust in the same way that eventually she will guard the door of the house, but with no mention of a dream: “It was related that the Prophet gave

from Umm Salama's room; Umm Salama recounts her dream of Muḥammad, who says to her: "The people have fallen upon my son and killed him! I have just witnessed his killing." It is this that drives her to look at the vial, which now contains blood.⁷

The common elements are the dust (*turāb*) on his head and his beard, dust of which, Abū Ḡa'far notes, "we have it with us"⁸ – this is not merely the dirt associated with a long journey, but rather the dust of Karbalā', whose importance will grow with the narrative, as it develops into dust in his hand given him by Ḡibrīl. A sprinkling of transmitters, beginning with Ibn Bābawayh, omit the traces of dust on the Prophet's head and beard, describing him instead as 'emaciated' (*shāhīb*) and 'distressed' (*ka'īb*),⁹ while others merely remark that he appeared 'dishevelled' (*ash'at*, *sha'it*) and 'dusty' (*aḡbar*).¹⁰

Umm Salama some of al-Ḥusayn's dust which Ḡibrīl had brought to him, and the Prophet said to Umm Salama: When this dust becomes blood, al-Ḥusayn will have been killed. Umm Salama guarded that dust in a glass vial she had with her, and when and when al-Ḥusayn was killed the dust became blood. She also informed the people about his killing" (Ibn al-A'īr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III, *Dīkar maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 303). Cf. also al-Ḍahabī., *Sīyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 290, *Tārīḥ al-Islām*, vol. V: 67.

⁷ al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 38: 109–110, al-Iṣfahānī., *'Awālim al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 2, n. 3: 508.

⁸ al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, n. 2: 231.

⁹ Ibn Bābawayh., *al-Amālī*, *maḡlis* 29, n. 1: 139, al-Mufid., *al-Amālī*, *maḡlis* 38: 319, al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī*, *maḡlis* 3, n. 49–130: 90, Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā'izīn wa-tabṣirat al-mutta'izīn*, vol. I: 170, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, n. 1: 230, al-Iṣfahānī., *'Awālim al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 4: 509.

¹⁰ al-Mufid., *al-Irshād fī ma'rīfat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh 'alā al-'ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḥ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 131 (disheveled and dusty), Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā'izīn wa-tabṣirat al-mutta'izīn*, vol. I: 193, al-Ṭabarsī., *I'lām al-warā bi-a'lām al-hudā*, vol. I, Part Three, bb. 2: 219, 428, al-Irbīlī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma'rīfat al-a'imma*, vol. II, Part Two: 8, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 31: 239, vol. XLV, bb. 42, n. 2: 231, al-Iṣfahānī.,

There are others, like al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, who do not specify that Muḥammad actually witnessed the death; rather, the dream takes the form of a prophetic announcement and in the morning, as predicted, news of al-Ḥusayn’s death arrives: “I saw the Prophet weeping in my dream,” she says, “and I said: Messenger of God, what is making you weep? He said: My son al-Ḥusayn has been killed.” The next morning, news of his death comes to Umm Salama.¹¹ However, in most reports Muḥammad has witnessed the death and in a number, he has been involved the burial of al-Ḥusayn and his companions,¹² often with the words “all night I have been digging the graves for al-Ḥusayn and his companions.”¹³ *Shayḥ* al-Ṭūsī transmits a narrative from ‘Amr b. Ṭābit¹⁴ whose father had confirmed the details with the fifth Imam:¹⁵

‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 8: 127. al-Ġazā’irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-‘imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 171.

¹¹ al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍl al-a‘imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1106: 167, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *al-Ṭarā’if fī ma‘rifat madāhib al-ṭawā’if*, vol. I, n. 292: 203.

¹² al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīth*, maḡlis 11, n. 87–640: 314–315, Ibn Ḥamza al-Ṭūsī, *al-Tāqib fī-l-manāqib*, bb. 6, *faṣl* 5, n. 272: 332, al-Baḥrānī., *Ḥilyat al-abrār fī aḥwāl Muḥammad wa āli-hi al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, bb. 19, n. 3: 214, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡiz fī mu‘ḡizāt al-‘imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 56/1003: 492, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, nn. 1–2: 231, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 3: 509. According to al-Ṭabarī, on the day after the battle, that is, 11th Muḥarram, the bodies of al-Ḥusayn and his companions were buried.

¹³ Ibn Bābawayh., *al-Amālī*, maḡlis 29, n. 1: 139, al-Mufīd., *al-Amālī*, maḡlis 38: 319, al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī*, maḡlis 3, n. 49–130: 90, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā‘izīn wa-taḥsirat al-mutta‘izīn*, vol. I: 170, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, n. 1: 230, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 4: 509.

¹⁴ Abū Muḥammad ‘Amr b. Abī al-Miqdām Ṭābit b. Hurmuz (d. 172/789): cf. MODARRESSI H., *Tradition and Survival. A Bibliographical Survey of Early Shi‘ite Literature*, vol. I, Oneworld, Oxford 2003: 205–206.

¹⁵ Abū Ḡa‘far Muḥammad b. ‘Alī al-Bāqir (d. between 113/732 and 124/743).

‘Amr b. Tābit said: My father said: I went to visit Abū Ġa‘far Muḥammad b. ‘Alī at his house, and I asked him about this *ḥadīth*. I mentioned to him the transmission of Sa‘īd b. Ġubayr of this *ḥadīth* on the authority of ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās, and Abū Ġa‘far said: ‘Umar b. Abī Salama told it to me on the authority of his mother, Umm Salama. Ibn ‘Abbās said in the transmission of Sa‘īd b. Ġubayr on his authority: The next night I saw the Messenger of God in my dream, dust covered and dishevelled, and I mentioned this to him and asked him about its significance. He said to me: “Did you not know that I have just finished with the burial of al-Ḥusayn and his companions?”¹⁶

Closely associated with the dust and the developing theme of the glass vial of blood, the base narrative also tells of Umm Salama seeing Muḥammad not in a dream, but after his return from a journey that is, in its description, something close to the ‘night journey’ (*isrā’*),¹⁷ and which, like that more famous, two-faceted journey of the Prophet, is both physical and spiritual. *Shayḥ* al-Mufid writes:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: One evening the Messenger of God left us and was away for a long time. When he came back, he was dishevelled and dusty and he was holding (something) in his hand. I said to him: Messenger of God! How is it that I see you dishevelled and dusty? He replied: “I was made to journey by night to a place in Iraq called Karbalā’. There I saw the death of my son, al-Ḥusayn, and a group of my children and the members of my family. I could not stop myself from gathering some of their blood and here it is in my

¹⁶ al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīth*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 87–640: 314–315, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, n. 2: 231.

¹⁷ In Islamic tradition the ‘night journey’ and ‘ascension’ are the two parts of a journey that Muḥammad took during a single night around the year 621. There is a brief outline of the story in Q. 17:1, and other details come from the books of *aḥādīth*.

hand.” He opened his hand and said: “Take it and look after it.”¹⁸

It is, however, within the precincts Umm Salama’s own house that the most salient angelic encounters occur, transforming her family home into the locus of divine activity and embedding her deeply into the Karbalā’ event. It is here that she will, ineffectually, guard a door through which the young al-Ḥusayn will dart, despite her best efforts, into the presence of Ġibrīl. It is through the crack in that door that Umm Salama will herself be an eyewitness to an angelic visitation; a door which becomes symbolic of another door, thrown open in heaven and through which two other women, worlds apart, will catch a glimpse of something celestial. It is here too, in her own residence, that Umm Salama will receive the dust of Karbalā’ secreting it in a simple glass vial which becomes a central feature of the narrative.

It is ostensibly a peripatetic religious scholar, born in Herat but found working in Nīsābūr, Baghdad and Mecca, who has left us, in embryonic form, the original narrative. Writing in his 2nd/8th century *Mashyāḥat*, Ibn Ṭahmān, whose chain of transmission is declared ‘good’ and ‘trustworthy’, tells us:

On the authority of Umm Salama: The Messenger of God entered my house and said: “No one must come in to me!” I heard a voice and went in and there was Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī was with him, and he was sorrowing [or she said: He was crying]. I said: Messenger of God, what is wrong with you, that you cry? He replied: “Ġibrīl informed me that my community would kill this one after me.” I said: Who will kill him? He reached for a

¹⁸ al-Mufid., *al-Irshād fī ma‘rifat ḥuḡaḡ Allāb ‘alā al-‘ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḥ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 130.131, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā‘iẓīn wa-tabṣīrat al-mutta‘iẓīn*, vol. I: 193, al-Ṭabarsī., *I‘lām al-warā bi-a‘lām al-hudā*, Part Three, bb. 2: 219, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma‘rifat al-a‘imma*, vol. II, Part Two: 8, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 31: 239–240.

clod of earth and said: “The people of this clod of earth will kill him.”¹⁹

All the essential constituents are in place, although the details will vary greatly as the narrative is taken up by the historians, the *muḥaddithūn* and the jurists. Unbidden, Umm Salama is at the door, her house about to be established as a site of heavenly intervention. The boy al-Ḥusayn is already inside, although as the account becomes increasingly detailed, his arrival in the midst of an angelic assignation and his darting into the room will become pivotal. The clod of earth too will be given shape and texture, colour and odour to convey the drama. The angel is named as Ġibrīl, although over the centuries, a variety of names and messages will be imputed to this heavenly visitant.

The 3rd/9th century Kūfa-born Ibn Abī Shayba, who counted Ibn Māḡa among his pupils, includes in his massive *al-Muṣannaḡ* a report that his contemporary Iṣḡāq b. Rāḡwayh will take up, followed by Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim, al-Bazzār and, a century after Ibn Abī Shayba, by al-Ṭabarānī:

On the authority of Ṣāliḡ b. Arbada al-Naḡa‘ī who said that Umm Salama said: al-Ḥusayn came to see the Prophet, while I was sitting at the door. I looked, and I saw in the hand of the Prophet something that he was turning over and over while he [al-Ḥusayn] was sleeping on his belly. I said: Messenger of God, I looked and saw you turning something over and over in your hand,²⁰ while the boy was sleeping on your belly, and your tears were streaming! He replied: “Ġibrīl came to me with

¹⁹ Ibn Ṭahmān., *Mashayḡa b. Ṭahmān*, n. 3: 54, al-Qushayrī., *Tārīḡ al-Raqqā*, bb. 27 (*Abū al-Mubāḡir*), n. 153: 98, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḡ madīnat Dī-mashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāḡ*): 192, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḡ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2598.

²⁰ In almost all the texts which deal with Muḡammad holding something in his hand, the verb *qallaba-yuqallibu* (‘to turn something over in one’s hand’) is employed; once, in al-Ḍahabī, Muḡammad is said to ‘kiss’ (*qabbala-yuqabbilu*) what he is holding, but considering the closeness of the words, this may be a scribal error. Cf. al-Ḍahabī., *Tārīḡ al-Islām*, vol. V: 67.

the dust on which he would be killed and informed me that my community would kill him.”²¹

Ibn Abī Shayba sets forth aspects that will later be amplified; he omits Ibn Ṭahmān’s still rather vague command to Umm Salama to prevent access, but proposes an al-Ḥusayn who arrives rather than being there already and inside with Muḥammad. The boy’s physical position – sleeping on the stomach of his grandfather – will sometimes change to Muḥammad’s back or shoulders or thigh, and whatever it is that the Prophet is fiddling with, and which Umm Salama cannot make out, will itself keep reappearing in subsequent telling, although often in an entirely dissimilar context.

In fact, it is Ibn Abī Shayba’s acclaimed fellow-*muhaddith*, Aḥmad Ibn Ḥanbal, who will reshape the text, delineating the components and introducing a new and unfamiliar angelic person-ality:

The angel of the rain²² sought permission of his Lord to come to the Prophet and it was given him. He said to Umm Salama: “Hold the door for us, no one is to enter in to us!” The narrator said: al-Ḥusayn came in order to go in. She obstructed him, but he made a rush and entered and began to sit on the back of the Prophet, and on his shoulders.²³ The narrator continued: The angel said to the Prophet: “Do you love him?” He replied: “Yes!” He continued: “But your community will kill him, and if you want, I will show you the place where he will be killed.” He beat with his hand and brought forth red mud

²¹ Ibn Abī Shayba., *al-Muṣannaḥ*, vol. XIII, *Kitāb al-ḥitan*, bb. 1, n. 38382: 294, Ibn Rāḥwayh., *Musnad*, vol. II, bb. 3, n. 1879: 262 (Ibn Rāḥwayh’s editor points the reader to Ibn Abī Shayba’s *al-Muṣannaḥ* (vol. XIII), n. 38521: 330 from Ya‘lā b. ‘Ubayd, but in the 2005 Beirut edition of the *Muṣannaḥ* this reference does not exist), Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim., *al-Āḥād wa-l-maṭānī*, vol. I, bb. 36 (*Min ḍikri al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 428: 309, al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Mu‘jam al-ḥabīr*, vol. III, n. 2820: 115–116 (in a more detailed form).

²² al-Suyūfī., *al-Ḥabā’ik fī aḥbār al-malā’ik*: 49.

²³ The text uses two words (*mankib*, ‘*ātiq*), both of which are best translated ‘shoulder’.

(*tīn*). Umm Salama took it and put it in her robe. Tābit said: “It came to our ears that it was Karbalā’”.²⁴

Over the centuries, Sunnī scholars including al-Ṭabarānī, al-Bayhaqī, Ibn ‘Asākir, Ibn al-‘Adīm, al-Ḍahabī, Ibn Kaṭīr and al-Hayṭamī, and their Shī‘ī counterparts Ibn Bābawayh, al-Ṭabarsī, Ibn Shahrāshūb, al-Shāmī and al-Baḥrānī would transmit this basic narrative from Ibn Ḥanbal, who offers two further transmissions: in a second rendering,²⁵ he changes the nature of the command to

²⁴ Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. III, *Musnad Anas b. Mālik*: 242, 265, al-Bazzār., *Kashf al-astār*, vol. III, bb. *Manāqib al-Ḥusayn*, n. 2641: 233, al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Mu‘jam al-kaḥbūr*, vol. III, n. 2813: 106 (where he changes ‘red mud’ to ‘coarse red sand’), Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 105657: 330, al-Bayhaqī., *Dalā’il al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruwiya fī iḥbārī-bi bi-qaṭli ibn ibnati-bi ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 469, al-Ṭabarsī., *I‘lām al-warā’ bi-a‘lām al-hudā*, vol. I, Part Sixteen, bb. 2: 33, 94, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 189–190, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 55 (Mikā’il or, according to Abū Ya‘la, the angel of the rain), al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a‘imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 535–536, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2600, 2601, al-Ṭabarī., *Ḍaḥā’ir al-‘uqbā*, Part One, bb. 9: 251, al-Mizzī., *Tabḍīb al-kaḥmāl fī asmā’ al-riḡāl*, vol. VI, n. 1323 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 408, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 288–289, *Tārīḥ al-Islām*, vol. V: 66, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 234–235, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 570, al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡma‘ al-ṣawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fanā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15111: 217, n. 15121: 221 (who has the angel watching al-Ḥusayn climbing on Muḥammad before posing the question), al-Suyūfī., *al-Ḥabā’ik fī aḥbār al-malā’ik*, nn. 177, 178: 49, *al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ al-kuḥrā*, vol. II: 450, al-Baḥrānī *Hīyat al-abrār fī aḥwāl Muḥammad wa-ālī-hi al-aṭṭbār*, vol. IV, Part Three, bb. 6, n. 12: 124, al-Maḡlisī., *Bīḥār al-anwār*, vol. XVIII, bb. 11, n. 14: 124, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 14: 231, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Anwālīm al-‘ulām wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, Part Sixteen, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 6: 126–127, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 1: 507).

²⁵ Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. III, *Musnad Anas b. Mālik*: 265.

Umm Salama, omits her attempts to restrain the boy, and changes the red mud back into red dust,²⁶ while in a third, the angel of the rain becomes an angel who has ‘never before descended to Muḥammad’:

On the authority of ‘Ā’isha or Umm Salama [Wakī’ said that ‘Abd Allāh b. Sa‘īd was in doubt], that the Prophet said to one of the two of them: An angel, who had never come to me before, came to see me at the house and said to me: “This son of yours, Ḥusayn, will be killed. If you wish, I will show you some of the dust of the land in which he will be killed.” He brought out red dust.²⁷

Ibn Ḥanbal underscores five elements in the story not found in the major transmitters before him: he introduces the angel of the rain (traditionally Mikā’il) or an unnamed angel who, unlike Ğibrīl, has never descended at some previous time to Muḥammad. In this

²⁶ In al-Rabgūzī’s text, when al-Ḥusayn reaches Karbalā’, he discovers that the earth there is red. Cf. BOESCHOTEN H.E. & O’KANE J., (eds.), *Al-Rabghūzī. The Stories of the Prophets*, 2015: 627

²⁷ Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. VI, *Ḥadīṭ Umm Salama ṣawġ al-nabī*: 294. The editor judges the chain of transmission as weak on account of its rupture in the person of Ibn Abī Hind (Sa‘īd) who was not remembered or mentioned as hearing *aḥādīṭ* from ‘Ā’isha or Umm Salama. Cf. also Ibn Ḥanbal., *Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1357: 770, Abū Ya‘lā al-Qazwīnī., *Kitāb al-irshād fi ma‘rifat ‘ulamā’ al-ḥadīṭ*, n. 47: 307, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 193–194, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buġyat al-ṭalab fi tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2597 (Ibn al-‘Adīm says that the Prophet came to see them, weeping, and together they questioned him; he also names the angel as Ğibrīl and ends by saying: “There was red dust in his hand and he said: This is the dust of that land,” leaving a confusion as to whether it is the hand of the angel or the hand of Muḥammad), al-Ḍahabī., *Sīyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shabīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 290, *Tārīḥ al-Islām*, vol. V: 67, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 570, al-Hayṭamī., *Maġma‘ al-ṣawā’id wa-manāba‘ al-fanā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15113: 218.

manner, Ibn Ḥanbal discreetly detaches the narrative from the revelation with which Ǧibrīl is primarily associated. He articulates a clear and definite command to Umm Salama, giving her an active role in setting the scene for the encounter, and for which command a variety of verbs will be used. While in the earliest texts Umm Salama is merely sitting, or at times standing by the door, Ibn Ḥanbal introduces the directive that she should ‘hold’ (*masaka-yamsuku*) and, in a second narrative, ‘guard’ (*ḥafīza-yahfazū*) the door. In al-Haytamī’s transmission, it is *malaka-yamliku*, which has a stronger sense of ‘being in possession or control’ of something (and the root from which the very word for angel may be derived). This instruction to safeguard the door, occasionally alternating with ‘sit by the door’, will persist in the narratives, heightening the tension of the story but creating a false construct; it is essential that the opposite happen, that al-Ḥusayn be permitted to enter, or at the very least be present, if the angel is to address a prediction concerning him to Muḥammad. In al-Kashshī, al-Āḡurrī and Ibn ‘Asākir, Umm Salama guards the door not by reason of an order she has received but because she fears that the boy will awaken Muḥammad from his siesta.²⁸

More often than not, the command to guard the door is accompanied by a clarification that ‘no one must be allowed to enter in’, either in the singular (‘to me’) or the plural (‘to us’). While in Ibn Ḥanbal, and some of the transmissions after him, it is difficult to know whether it is the visiting angel or Muḥammad who gives the order to Umm Salama,²⁹ others underscore that it is the Proph-

²⁸ al-Kashshī, *Muntaḥab Musnad ‘Abd b. Ḥamid*, vol. II, bb. 120 (*Hadīṭ Umm Salama*), n. 1521: 384, al-Āḡurrī, *Kitāb al-sharī‘a*, Part Five, n. 1662: 2172, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 194.

²⁹ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Mu‘ḡam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2813: 112, al-Bayhaqī, *Dalā’il al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruwīya fī iḥbārī-bi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-bi ‘Abd Allāb al-Ḥusayn*: 469, al-Ḍahabī, *Tārīḥ al-Islām*, vol. V: 66, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 570, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍikr maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 536–537, al-Haytamī, *Maḡma‘ al-ḡawā’id wa-manba‘ al-*

et who issues the command.³⁰ Both al-Ṭabarānī and al-Bayhaqī use the verb *walaġa-yaliġu* ('to enter') in the so-called 'energetic mood' (*nūn al-tawkiḍ*), with the intensified sense that 'absolutely no one' should be allowed past the door.³¹

Thirdly, Ibn Ḥanbal introduces the haunting question directed to Muḥammad about his love for al-Ḥusayn; this too serves to augment the horror that one so beloved should be killed and that it would be Muḥammad's own community that would perpetrate the act. Next, Ibn Ḥanbal notes the curious action of Ġibrīl beating either the air or the ground with his hand; this action too will vary from text to text. In the earliest transmissions, Ġibrīl merely comes to Muḥammad with some of the dust on which al-Ḥusayn will die, but as the texts are amplified, the angelic action in producing dust from the Karbalā' plain begins to vary substantially between transmitters. Sometimes Ġibrīl simply extends his hand, or reaches into Karbalā' to seize a handful its dust. On other occasions, he beats the air or the ground with his hand, his fist or his wing. The beating of a wing especially evokes motion and flight; there could be a intimation that Ġibrīl journeyed in an instant to Karbalā' (in the 'blinking of an eye' as, in other texts, in lightning speed, he causes the land to sink down, come together and then return to its place) and then, depending on how one translates the verb *ātā*, returns to Muḥammad ("He beat with his wing and came to me with this dust"). In other reports, the angel spreads out his wing over the land of Karbalā' or in the direction of the East, or stretches his wings all the way to Karbalā' to obtain the dust, or simply holds out some of the dust on his wing for Muḥammad.

fawā'id, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*), n. 15121: 221.

³⁰ Ibn 'Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 189, 190, al-Mizzī, *Tabḍīḥ al-kamāl fī asmā' al-riġāl*, vol. VI, n. 1323 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 408, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī*, maġlis 29, n. 3: 139.

³¹ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Mu'ġam al-kaḥīr*, vol. III, n. 2820: 115–116, al-Bayhaqī, *Dalā'il al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma rumīya fī iḥbāri-bi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-bi 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 469.

Finally, Ibn Ḥanbal adds two crucial qualifications to the mud or dust; it is red, and it comes from a place called Karbalā'. It is also Ibn Ḥanbal who admits into his text an imprecision between 'Ā'isha or Umm Salama as narrator. While Abū Ya'lā and Ibn al-'Adīm will solve the problem by putting the two women on an equal footing – “On the authority of 'Ā'isha and Umm Salama” – and Ibn Kaṭīr will add his laconic ‘God only knows’, Ibn 'Asākir and al-Ḍahabī will follow Ibn Ḥanbal’s “‘Ā'isha or Umm Salama” more stringently. In Ibn Ḥanbal’s third version of the narrative, the confused narrator is identified as 'Abd Allāh b. Sa'īd.

Ibn Ḥanbal’s contemporary, 'Abd b. Ḥumayd al-Kashshī,³² on whose authority Muslim, al-Tirmiḏī and al-Buḥārī transmitted, accentuates the place of encounter as Umm Salama’s house and has her guarding the door for fear that al-Ḥusayn would awaken the sleeping Prophet. He also places onto the lips of a distraught Muḥammad a desire for clarity about the killers:

Sa'īd b. Abī Hind, on the authority of his father, who said: Umm Salama said: The Prophet was sleeping in my house when Ḥusayn came, walking slowly. She continued: I held him back from the door, which I was holding for fear that he would enter and awaken him. She continued: I became distracted by something and he advanced and entered and sat on his belly. She said: I heard the sobbing of the Messenger of God, so I went and said: Messenger of God, by God, what have you found out about him? He replied: “While he was seated on my belly, Ġibrīl came to me and said to me: Do you love him? I said: Yes! He said: Your community will kill him. Should I show you the dust on which he will be killed?” He continued: “I said: Yes! So, he beat with his wing and produced this dust for me.” She said: In his hand was red dust, and he wept, saying: “Would that I knew who would kill you after me!”³³

³² al-Ḍahabī names him al-Kishshī or al-Kashshī (al-Ḍahabī, *Sīyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. XII, n. 81 ('Abd b. Ḥumayd b. Naṣr al-Kishshī): 235.

³³ al-Kashshī, *Muntaḥab Musnad 'Abd b. Ḥamid*, vol. II, bb. 120 (*Ḥadīṯ Umm Salama*), n. 1521: 384, Ibn 'Asākir., *Tārīḫ madīnat Dimashq*, vol.

While Ibn Ḥanbal's al-Ḥusayn makes a sudden rush for the door, or, in later texts, leaps and darts past Umm Salama, al-Kashshī, using the same imprecise transmitter (ʿAbd Allāh b. Saʿīd) has him advancing slowly or hesitantly (*yadrūḡu*), pursuing his way with the feeble steps of a small child. Curiously, it is the same root used in some of the visions al-Ḥusayn will have of his grandfather and in which his rank (*daraḡā*) in the Garden will be described. Ġibrīl's manner of action too is different, beating his wing, and provoking in Muḥammad a verbal response: "Would that I knew who would kill you after me," a response that will soon change into a declaration about God's anger against the killers, so that it is with God, and not with Muḥammad, that they must eventually contend.

Bašra-born Ibn Abī ʿĀšim will himself make mention of an important element not found in any major transmitter before him, but that will later be used to enhance the drama of the event:

On the authority of ʿAbd Allāh b. Wahb, that Umm Salama told him that the Messenger of God lay down one day to sleep, but got up, his soul heavy. Then he lay down, and then got up, in his hand red dust which he was turning over and over. Umm Salama said: Prophet of God, what is this dust? He replied: "Ġibrīl informed me about al-Ḥusayn, that this one will be killed in the land of Iraq. I said: Ġibrīl! Show me the dust of the land where he will be killed! And this is it."³⁴

This story, in a shortened form in Ibn Abī ʿĀšim, introduces Muḥammad's agitation, which later transmitters will underscore by reporting that three times he lies down and gets up.³⁵ Although no

XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ʿAbd al-Manāf*): 194, Ibn al-ʿAdīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḡ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2599, but cf. also al-Ḥayṭamī., *Maḡmaʿ al-ḡawāʿid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʿid*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*), n. 15116: 219.

³⁴ Ibn Abī ʿĀšim., *al-Āḡād wa-l-maʿānī*, vol. I, bb. 36 (*Min ḡikri al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*), n. 429: 310, al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Muʿḡam al-kaḡbir*, vol. III, n. 2821: 109, al-Suyūfī., *al-Ḥašāʿiṣ al-kaḡbrā*, vol. II: 450.

³⁵ al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḡḡḡayn*, vol. IV, bk. 47 (*Kitāb taʿbir al-ruʿyā*), n. 8282: 553–554, al-Bayhaqī., *Dalāʿil al-*

direct mention is made of al-Ḥusayn's arriving or of his presence, Muḥammad's words 'this one' or, in other reports, 'this son of mine' hint that he may well have been present. For the first time, we have Muḥammad taking the initiative and asking to see the dust of the land where his grandson will die without waiting for Ġibrīl's offer to show it to him.

The earliest version of the story among the Shī'ī scholars, even in a most rudimentary form, begins with two problematic personalities. The first represents a confusion of identities between two men with almost exactly the same name: Abū Ġa'far Muḥammad b. Ġarīr b. Yazīd al-Ṭabarī, by all accounts a Sunnī historian and *mufasssīr*, and Abū Ġa'far Muḥammad b. Ġarīr b. Rustam al-Ṭabarī, ostensibly an early Shī'ī scholar. Aside from their names, the two also appear to be close contemporaries. Brockelmann (GAL S. I: 217) gives Ibn Yazīd al-Ṭabarī's date of birth as 224–5/839 but gives no date of death; Sezgin (GAS I: 49, 323) suggests his date of death as 310–11/923. Both Brockelmann and Sezgin impute to him a number of important works, in particular *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk* and *Ġāmi' al-bayān 'an ta'wīl al-qur'ān*. However, Brockelmann also ascribes to him, quite erroneously, the work *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*; Sezgin does not, saying this work 'is to be deleted' from the list of his works. Instead, Sezgin attributes *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*, as well as a work entitled *Dalā'il al-imāma* to Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī (GAS I: 540), whose date of death he lists as the first quarter of the 4th/10th century. However, there is now substantial scholarly dispute over his authorship of *Dalā'il al-imāma*, the introductory essay to the English translation of *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk* suggesting that *Dalā'il al-imāma* is written long after Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī, possibly nearer to the end of the 4th/10th century

nubunwa, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruwiya fī iḥbāri-bi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-bi 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 468, Ibn 'Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 191, 192, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'imma al-labābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī dīkr al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 535, al-Ṭabarī., *Ḍaḥā'ir al-'uqbā*, Part One, bb. 9: 252, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū 'Abd Allāh b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 289–290, *Tārīḥ al-Islām*, vol. V: 67, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 235–236.

than to its first quarter.³⁶ Others suggest that the *Dalā'il* was written by an unknown early 5th/11th century author, a contemporary of *Shayḥ* al-Ṭūsī and living in Baghdad, and still others that *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā* is in fact the work of Muḥammad b. 'Alī ('Imād al-Dīn) al-Ṭabarī who lived between the 5th/11th and 6th/12th centuries. Suffice it to say that had Rustam al-Ṭabarī been the author of *Dalā'il al-imāma*, and were his death to be dated even broadly as the late 3rd/9th or early 4th/10th century (between 250/864–65 and 316/928–29) he would represent the first Shī'ī transmission. As it is, the *Dalā'il al-imāma* relates the story with an economy of detail, and with no mention of angelic intervention:

On the day that al-Ḥusayn was killed at Karbalā', Umm Salama, who was in Medina, took out a glass vial containing blood, and said: "By God, al-Ḥusayn has been killed!" Someone said to her: "From where did you learn this?" She replied: "The Messenger of God handed me some of its dust and said to me: When this becomes blood, you will know that my son has been killed." And it was as she said.³⁷

A second candidate for the first Shī'ī dispersion of the text is Ibn Ḥamdān, writing in his *al-Hidāya al-Kubrā*. He, however, presents a twofold problem: the first is a twenty-year discrepancy in his given date of birth. The second is that he seems to have been aligned to the 'Alawiyya, so that his transmissions are distrusted by a sizable number Shī'ī scholars.³⁸ Nonetheless, his report, going back to the fifth Imām, is of interest for its detailed development of a combination of significant elements. "When al-Ḥusayn had it in mind to depart for Syria," he begins, "Umm Salama sent to him." In setting the scene, Ibn Ḥamdān highlights two key factors about Umm Salama: that she was al-Ḥusayn's guardian (*rabba*), the one who loved him more and was kinder to him than anyone else, and that

³⁶ Cf. ROSENTHAL F., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, vol. I, State University of New York Press, Albany 1989: 118–119.

³⁷ Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī, *Dalā'il al-imāma*: 180.

³⁸ Ibn Ḥamdān al-Ḥaṣībī is denounced by al-Nağāshī as having corrupt beliefs (al-Nağāshī, *Kitāb al-riğāl*, n. 159: 67) and seems to have had a substantial connection with the heterodox Nuṣayrī sect.

she has in her possession a sealed glass vial, handed her by Muḥammad and containing dust: “When my son departs for Iraq, place this vial before your eyes,” he instructs her, “and when the dust in the vial changes into fresh blood, you will know that my son al-Ḥusayn has been killed.” Umm Salama now reminds al-Ḥusayn of this, pleading with him not to go. But al-Ḥusayn is resolute: “Umm Salama, inevitably I will be killed! Where can I flee from destiny (*al-qadr*), from the Divine decree (*al-qadā*), from what is determined and from the incumbent order from God Most High, may He be praised?” Umm Salama’s anxiety (“where will you go that you will be killed?”) is increased not only by the grim finality of the outcome (“If I do not go today, I will go tomorrow, and if I do not go tomorrow, I will go the next day, for there is no escape from death”) but also by al-Ḥusayn’s foreknowledge of the day and hour of his death, the place of his burial, the one who will strike the fatal blow, and the names, number and family details of his party (*shīʿa*) killed with him. “And if you like,” he continues, “I will show you the site of my death.” In a mystical vision permitted by God, and similar to a visualization which Ġibrīl will offer to Muḥammad in later Shīʿī transmitters, the earth yields to al-Ḥusayn and he shows her the place, as yet unnamed, where he and his companions will fall, giving her more of the dust; he then departs, telling her that he will be killed on the day of ʿĀshūrā.³⁹

Ibn Ḥamdān continues his narrative by immediately relating a dream of Umm Salama which will be retold by a number of Shīʿī scholars, including al-Masʿūdī, al-Rāwandī, al-Ḥillī al-ʿAllāma and al-Baḥrānī:

³⁹ Ibn Ḥamdān names this day as a Saturday, as does al-Baḥrānī (al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāğīz fī muʿğīzāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 56/1003: 489–492). Actually, it was a Friday. Cf. for e.g. Ibn al-ʿAdīm, *Buğyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2571, CLOHESSY C., *Half of My Heart. The Narratives of Zaynab, Daughter of ʿAlī*, 2018: 103. Al-Ḍahabī offers a number of options, ranging between Friday, Saturday and Monday. Cf. al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 318.

When it was that night of the morning on which al-Ḥusayn was killed, she saw in her dream someone dishevelled, dust-covered and weeping, who said: al-Ḥusayn and his companions have just been buried! Umm Salama awoke and went out, crying out at the top of her voice. The people of Medina came to her and said to her: “What has happened to you?” She replied: “al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī and his companions have been killed!” They said: “Confused dreams!” She answered: “Stop! I have the dust of al-Ḥusayn.” She brought the glass vial out for them, and behold, it was fresh blood. They counted the days, and sure enough, al-Ḥusayn had been killed on that day.⁴⁰

The details remain unrefined; the nocturnal visitor is not identified, and nor does he or she claim credit for the actual burial. Umm Salama is already playing a central role, but there is no suggestion yet of the effort she puts into guarding the glass vial and its content; the text suggests that she herself had no idea that the dust had turned to blood, although Ibn Ḥamdān has combined a number of stories that will be related separately by future transmitters. The calculation of the days will come to be associated with the dream of Ibn ‘Abbās rather than that of Umm Salama, while the night visitor will take on a specific identity.

⁴⁰ Ibn Ḥamdān., *al-Hidāya al-Kubrā*: 203–204, Ibn Ḥamza al-Ṭūsī., *al-Tāqib fi-l-manāqib*, bb. 6, n. 272: 331–332, al-Baḥrānī., *Ḥiyyat al-abrār fi aḥwāl Muḥammad wa ‘ālī-bi al-aḥbār*, vol. III, bb. 19, n. 3: 212–214, *Madīnat al-ma‘āğiz fi mu‘ğizāt al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 3, n. 56/1003: 489–492. In shortened form and with a slight change in details, cf. al-Mas‘ūdī., *Iṭbāt al-waṣīyya*: 262, al-Rāwandī., *al-Ḥarā’iğ wa-l-ğarā’ih fi-l-mu‘ğizāt*, vol. I, bb. 4, n. 7: 253, Ibn ‘Abd al-Wahhāb., *‘Uyūn al-mu‘ğizāt*: 69, al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma., *Iṭbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-mu‘ğizāt*: 165, al-Bayyāḍī., *al-Şirāt al-mustağim ilā mustaḥaqqī al-taqdīm fi-l-imāma*, vol. II, n. 6: 179, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 27: 89 (who transmits numerous variations of the account of the dust in the glass vial), al-Işfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 12, bb. 4, n. 7: 157.

Shāfiʿ⁴¹ jurist and *muhaddith* Ibn ʿAlī al-Āğurrī introduces al-Ḥasan into the text, albeit briefly; he will reappear when al-Ṭabarānī takes up the story, but he plays no significant role in the unfolding drama, his presence serving only to underscore the entry by al-Ḥusayn into the room of the sleeping Prophet, a place forbidden to all others but the two boys whom Muḥammad called the ‘leaders of the youths of the inhabitants of the Garden’⁴² and his ‘two sweet basils’:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: Whenever he was sleeping, the Messenger of God did not let anyone come to see him except Ḥasan and Ḥusayn. She continued: One day he was sleeping in my house and I was sitting at the door to prevent anyone from entering, when Ḥusayn came, walking; I let him go and he went and dropped down onto his belly. Then the Messenger of God was dismayed, and he wept and embraced

⁴¹ Although Abū Yaʿlā counts him as a Ḥanbalī: cf. *Ṭabaqāt al-Ḥanābila*, vol. I, bb. *al-Ṣīn*, n. 216: 160.

⁴² Cf. Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib*: 80, *Kitāb faḍāʾil al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍāʾil al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1360: 771, n. 1368: 774, n. 1385: 779, n. 1406: 788, Ibn Māğā., *Sunan*, vol. I, *al-Muqaddima*, bb. 11 (*Faḍāʾil aṣḥāb rasūl Allāh*, *Faḍl ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib*), n. 118: 44, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmiʿ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 50 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. *Manāqīb al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 3771: 331, al-Ṭabarī., *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. XXVIII, n. 210: 168, al-Ḥākim al-Nisābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*), nn. 4843, 4844, 4845: 197–198, al-Bağdādī., *Tārīḥ Bağdād*, vol. I: 468, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, ch. 5, *Faḍāʾil Fāṭima al-Zabrāʾ bt. rasūl Allāh*, n. 42: 108–109, n. 66: 125, Ibn ʿAsākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. 42: 130, 132, Ibn al-Aʿūr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III, *Ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 287, al-Ṭabarī., *Ḍaḥāʾir al-ʿuqba*, Part One, bb. 9: 224–225, al-Ḍahabī., *Sīyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 302, al-ʿAsqalānī., *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Ḥāʾ*, n. 615: 345, al-Hindī., *Muntaḥab*, vol. V: 92; al-Shablangī., *Nūr al-abṣār fī manāqīb āl bayt al-nabī al-muḥtār*, bb. *Faṣl fī ḍiker manāqīb ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib*: 43, bb. *Faṣl fī ḍiker sayyidi-nā al-Ḥasan*: 231.

him.⁴³ I said: Messenger of God, what is the matter with you, that you weep? You were happy when you went to sleep! He replied: “Ġibrīl came to me with this dust” (she said the Messenger of God unfolded his hand and in it was red dust) “and he informed me that this son of mine would be killed on this dust.” She continued: I said: What is this land? He answered: “It is Karbalā’”, and I said: Land of distress (*karb*) and tribulation (*balā*).⁴⁴

In this report, it is Umm Salama who declares Karbalā’ to be a ‘land of distress and tribulation’; in many other texts, it is Muḥammad who makes this declaration (leading al-Ḥusayn to declare, “God and His Messenger Prophet have spoken the truth”),⁴⁵ although some authors attribute the words to al-Ḥusayn⁴⁶ and others to ‘Alī.⁴⁷ Al-Āġurrī expands the words of the angel and so accentuates the divine punishment awaiting the killer – “Ġibrīl informed me that this son of mine would be killed, and that the

⁴³ The 8th form of the verb (*iltazama-yaltazimu*) used here is different from the verb used in the other accounts (*latama-yaltimu*, ‘to kiss’); I have followed Lane in rendering it as ‘to embrace’ (cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VIII, 1968: 3010).

⁴⁴ al-Āġurrī, *Kitāb al-sbarī’a*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1105) 1720: 318–319.

⁴⁵ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muġam al-kaḥīr*, vol. III, n. 2812: 106, n. 2819: 108. Cf. also Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim., *al-Āḥād wa-l-maṭānī*, vol. I, bb. 36 (*Min ḍikri al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 424: 307, al-Āġurrī, *Kitāb al-sbarī’a*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1108) 1724: 320, al-Haytamī, *Maġma‘ al-ḥawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fanā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15117: 219, n. 15133: 224.

⁴⁶ Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Taḍkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-ḍikr ḥaṣā’iṣ al-a’imma*, bb. *Ḍikr maqtali-hi*: 250 (who has al-Ḥusayn weeping as he pronounces the name), al-Ḍahabī, *Ṣiyar a’lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 311.

⁴⁷ Ibn Muzāhim., *Waq‘at Ṣiffīn*, Part Three: 142, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1080: 138, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 516.

wrath of God would be vehement against the one who killed him”⁴⁸ – and then introduces a novel report from Abū Hurayra:

On the authority of Abū Hurayra, who said: I went to see the Prophet in his house; he was lying on his back and one of his two sons was on his leg. The Prophet began to say: “Ascend, eye of a mosquito!”⁴⁹ Then he raised his leg until he was close to his chest, and opening his mouth, he kissed him. Then he said: “O God, I love him, and loving him, I love whoever loves him!” Then he wept, and I said: Messenger of God, what is making you weep? He answered: “The angel informed me that my community would kill this son of mine that that the wrath of God would be vehement against his killer.”⁵⁰

The son, like the angel, is unnamed; and although both al-Ḥasan or al-Ḥusayn would be killed, we must presume that it is the latter of whom Muḥammad speaks. Two very similar narratives are found in Ibn ‘Asākir, where the son involved is said to be either al-Ḥasan or al-Ḥusayn, but without the angelic prediction.⁵¹ Ḥanbalī jurist al-Ṭabarānī, who gathered together a number of versions of the story, himself transmits an account in which both boys are mentioned:

⁴⁸ al-Āğurrī, *Kitāb al-sharī‘a*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1105) 1720: 319. Cf. also Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 193, al-Mizzī, *Tabḍīb al-kamāl fī asmā’ al-riğāl*, vol. VI, n. 1323 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb*): 409.

⁴⁹ According to Lane, ‘the eye of a gnat’ or ‘a mosquito’ denotes the smallness of the person to whom the words are addressed. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. I, 1968: 330, Ibn Ḥanbal, *Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1405, 1381: 787, al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqba*, Part One, bb. 9: 213 (in a different context, without any prediction of death).

⁵⁰ al-Āğurrī, *Kitāb al-sharī‘a*, vol. III, bb. *Iḥbār al-nabī bi-qatli al-Ḥusayn*, n. (1105) 1722: 319. Cf. Ibn al-‘Adīm, *Buğyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2572 for this narrative, but without any reference to the angel or the death prediction.

⁵¹ Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIII, bb. 1383 (*al-Ḥasan b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 194–195.

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn were playing in front of the Prophet in my house, when Ḡibrīl descended and said: “Muḥammad! Your community after you will kill this son of yours” and he motioned with his hand towards al-Ḥusayn. The Messenger of God wept and pressed him to his breast. Then the Messenger of God said: “This dust is entrusted to you.” The Messenger of God smelt it and said: Alas,⁵² distress and tribulation. She continued: The Messenger of God said: “Umm Salama, when this dust changes into blood, know that my son has been killed!” He said: Umm Salama put it into a glass vial, and started to look at it every day, saying: “A formidable day, the day you turn to blood!”⁵³

Once again, inexplicably, al-Ḥasan disappears early in the story, even though he too would be murdered at the hands of his fellow Muslims and thus members, or at least dissident ex-members, of Muḥammad’s community. By the time al-Ṭabarānī is writing, the ‘Ḥusaynian prototype’ is already deeply ascendant; while mourning and grief rituals began almost immediately after Karbalā’, spear-headed by al-Ḥusayn’s sister Zaynab and his son ‘Alī, it would be the relative stability of the ‘Abbāsīd period that would see a growth in public commemorations of Karbalā’ and thus of al-Ḥusayn as the chief of the martyrs. Al-Ṭabarānī’s own lifetime was witness to

⁵² This may represent a textual error, since it reads ‘alas’ (*wayḥa*) whereas most other texts read ‘smell’ or ‘odour’ (*riḥ*), more fitting with the context of Muḥammad smelling the dust. Either he says: “Alas, distress and tribulation” or “the odour of distress and tribulation.”

⁵³ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muḡam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2817: 198. Cf. al-Shaḡarī, *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamīsiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 785: 215, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 192–193, Ibn al-‘Adīm, *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2599, al-Mizzī, *Tabḍīb al-kamāl fī asmā’ al-riḡāl*, vol. VI, n. 1323 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 408–409, al-Hayṭamī, *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15118: 219–220, al-‘Asqalānī, *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Ḥā’*, n. 615: 347, al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ al-kubrā*, vol. II: 450.

the emergence of a handful of prominent Shī'ī dynasties: the Persian and Iraqī Būyid, the Syrian Ḥamdānid, the massively influential Ismā'īlī Fāṭimid in Egypt and swathes of North Africa, the Zaydī dominating parts of northern Persia and Yemen and, for a while at least, the north-west African Idrīsid. Consequently, by the middle of the 4th/10th century the Shī'a were possessed of substantial power. Along with political expansion came the freedom to express the rituals of grief and remembrance more publicly and vividly, so that al-Ḥusayn increasingly dominated the Islamic martyrologies. His father 'Alī too had been murdered, as had his brother and as would the line of Imāms who followed him; but Karbalā' would become one of Shī'ī Islam's chief historical narratives, while remembrance of its martyr would supply Shī'ī faith with its passion and intensity.

The glass vial has already made an appearance in the transmissions of Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī, or, if we discount him, of Ibn Ḥamdān; now, for the first time, we are told of Umm Salama's guardianship of the container, as once she held guardianship over a door. She looks at it daily (in the fifty or so years between her being entrusted with the vial of dust and the Karbalā' event), addressing it with trepidation about the day what it contains is transformed. The dust of Karbalā' now comes to the fore; al-Ṭabarānī has Muḥammad smelling it, noticing the odour of 'distress and tribulation' (*karb wa balā'*) emanating from it. Its eventual transmutation into blood, first highlighted by Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī and Ibn Ḥamdān, is again underscored in this version, as is the mention of the glass vial; while Ibn Ḥamdān and al-Shaḡarī have Muḥammad giving Umm Salama the vial, in most texts it is already in her possession and she uses it to seal the dust and store it. Al-Ṭabarānī also transmits three texts on the authority of 'Ā'isha containing a number of novelties: for example, the dust of Karbalā' is now described as white rather than red, and the land in which al-Ḥusayn will be killed is named as al-Ṭaff, losing the impact of the more evocative *karb wa balā'*:

On the authority of 'Ā'isha, who said: al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī came to see the Messenger of God, while he was receiving revela-

tion.⁵⁴ He leapt onto the Messenger of God, that is, on a shoulder and played on his back. Ġibrīl said to the Messenger of God: “Do you love him, Muḥammad?” He replied: “Ġibrīl, what do I have if I do not love my son?” He continued: “Your community after you will kill him.” Then Ġibrīl extended his hand and presented him with white dust and said: “In this land Muḥammad, this son of yours will be killed. Its name is al-Ṭaff.” When Ġibrīl had left the Messenger of God, he went out with the dust in his hand, weeping, and he said: “Ā’isha! Ġibrīl informed me that my son Ḥusayn would be killed in the land of al-Ṭaff, and that my community after me would kill him!” Then he went out to his companions, among them ‘Alī, Abū Bakr, ‘Umar, Ḥuḍayfa, ‘Ammār and Abū Ḍarr.⁵⁵ He was weeping, so they said: “What is making you weep, Messenger of God?” He replied: “Ġibrīl informed me that my son al-Ḥusayn would be killed after me⁵⁶ in the land of al-Ṭaff. He

⁵⁴ Ibn Shahrāshūb too situates al-Ḥusayn’s arrival at this precise moment (cf. Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 55).

⁵⁵ A disparate group, headed (curiously, considering the transmitter) by ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib rather than by Abū Bakr, ‘Ā’isha’s father (although Kohlberg suggests a number of reasons why the name of one of Muḥammad’s companions, although in this case it is ‘Ā’isha, even one who is ‘avowedly anti-Shī’a’, might appear in a Shī’ī chain of transmission: cf. KOHLBERG E., “Shī’ī *Ḥadīth*” in A F L Beeston et al., (eds.), *Arabic Literature to the End of the Umayyad Period*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1983: 300), Ḥuḍayfa b. al-Yamān (not greatly associated with ‘Alī except perhaps at the 627 Battle of the Ditch), ‘Ammār b. Yāsir b. ‘Āmir b. Mālīk Abū al-Yaqzān (who fought and died for ‘Alī at Ṣiffīn, and is revered by the Shī’a, as is Abū Ḍarr al-Ġifārī al-Kinānī, as one of the ‘four companions’, early Muslims who were followers of ‘Alī; the other two, not mentioned in this group, are al-Miqdād b. Aswad al-Kindī and Salmān al-Fārsī).

⁵⁶ The words ‘by my community’ are absent here.

produced this dust for me and informed that that the place he would lie⁵⁷ would be on it.”⁵⁸

He reproduces this narrative in his *al-Muġam al-awsaṭ*, also on the authority of ‘Ā’isha but with a change of situation; Muḥammad sitting with al-Ḥusayn on his thigh when Ġibrīl arrives. Ġibrīl’s question is no longer about the Prophet’s love for the boy, but about the boy’s identity:

On the authority of ‘Ā’isha, that the Messenger of God sat al-Ḥusayn on his thigh. Then Ġibrīl came to him and said: “Is this your son?” He replied: “Yes!” He went on: “Your community after you will kill him!” The eyes of the Messenger of God shed tears. Then he said: “If you wish, I will show you the dust of the land in which he will be killed.” He replied: “Yes!” So Ġibrīl produced some of the dust of al-Ṭaff.⁵⁹

The third, almost identical to Ibn Ḥanbal’s account, sees al-Ṭabarānī, and Shī‘ī transmitter Ibn Namā after him, omit Umm Salama entirely and introduce an ostensibly rhetorical question

⁵⁷ This is the same word (*maḍġa*) used in Q. 3:154, and by Zaynab, defending the slain members of the People of the House in her dialogue with Ibn Ziyād (cf. CLOHESSY C., *Half of My Heart. The Narratives of Zaynab, Daughter of ‘Alī*, 2018: 178).

⁵⁸ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muġam al-kaḥbīr*, vol. III, n. 2814: 107, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1074: 134 (in a much-reduced form; it is not in the context of revelation being received, the dust is not described and the account ends with the mention of al-Ṭaff), al-Shaġarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamīsīyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 798: 218, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 55, al-Haytamī., *Maġma‘ al-ḥawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15114: 218, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘ayāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 1: 506, but much reduced and with no mention of ‘Ā’isha, but ends at the mention of al-Ṭaff.

⁵⁹ al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Muġam al-awsaṭ*, vol. VI, n. 6316: 249, transmitted by al-Shaġarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamīsīyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 798: 218 (with minor changes).

posed to ʿĀʾisha, a question never posed to Umm Salama, the one entrusted with the dust:

On the authority of ʿĀʾisha, who said: al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī came to see the Messenger of God. The Prophet said: “‘Āʾisha, does it not astonish you that an angel who has never come to see me before came to see me earlier, and he said that this son of mine would be killed? He also said: If you wish, I will show you dust upon which he will be killed. The angel reached out his hand and showed me red dust.”⁶⁰

Al-Ṭabarānī transmits two more narratives from Umm Salama, which appropriate elements from a smattering of early transmissions; both the command to Umm Salama and the entry of al-Ḥusayn are simplified and the Prophet, who earlier was clasping the boy to his chest, is now stroking his head in grief. The transmitter adds a clarification of the place by transporting the reader in time to the field of Karbalāʾ, although in most texts, al-Ḥusayn’s confirmation of the prophecy occurs upon his arrival at Karbalāʾ rather than at the height of the battle:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: The Messenger of God was sitting one day in my house, and he said: “No one is to come in to me.” I was biding my time and then al-Ḥusayn entered, and I heard the sobbing of the Messenger of God, weeping. I looked, and there was Ḥusayn on his lap; the Prophet was rubbing his forehead and weeping.⁶¹ I said: By

⁶⁰ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muʿjam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2815: 107. Cf. Ibn Namā, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashgān*: 18 (who adds: “Umm Salama took it and concealed it in a glass vial. She brought it out on the day he was killed, and it was blood” and that something similar was reported on the authority of Zaynab bt. Ḡahsh), al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 46: 247 (who omits ‘Something similar to this was reported on the authority of Zaynab bt. Ḡahsh’), al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAvālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 2, n. 1: 117).

⁶¹ al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥāʾir al-ʿuqbā*, Part One, bb. 9: 251–252 (in his simplified version, Umm Salama sees Muḥammad rubbing al-Ḥusayn’s head and weeping; he tells her of Ḡibrīl’s prediction and stretching out his hand,

God! What have to come to know since he entered? He answered: “Ġibrīl was with us in the house and asked: Do you love him? I replied: By all that is in the world, yes! He continued: But your community will kill this one in a land called Karbalā’.” Ġibrīl reached for some of its dust and showed it to the Prophet. When al-Ḥusayn was surrounded on all sides at the time he was killed, he said: “What is the name of this land?” They replied: “Karbalā’!” He answered: “God and His Prophet have spoken the truth, the land of distress and tribulation.”⁶²

Al-Ṭabarānī’s second text is close to Ibn Abī Shayba, although al-Ṭabarānī augments the details of al-Ḥusayn’s entry and of Umm Salama’s panicky reaction, and returns to the theme of her seeing, from the door, something unidentifiable in the hand of the Prophet:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: The Messenger of God said to me: “Sit by the door; no one is to come in to me.”⁶³ I was standing by the door when al-Ḥusayn came; I was about to reach for him, but the boy outstripped me and went in to his grandfather. I said: Prophet of God, may God make me your ransom! You gave me an order that no one should come to you, but then your son came, and I was about to reach for him but he outstripped me! After a protracted time, I looked from the door and I found you turning something over and over in your hand and your tears flowing, with the boy on

shows her red dust, which she puts in a glass vial, and tells her that it will become blood when al-Ḥusayn is killed).

⁶² al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muġam al-kaḥīr*, vol. III, n. 2819: 108, Ibn al-‘Adīm, *Buġyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2598, al-Hayṭamī, *Maġma‘ al-zawā‘id wa-manba‘ al-fawā‘id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), nn. 15116: 219.

⁶³ al-Ṭabarānī here introduces a different command to Umm Salama: that she should merely ‘sit’ by the door rather than guard it, but together with the verb *walaġa-yaliġu* (“to enter”) in the energetic mood (*nūn al-tawkiḍ*), with, as noted earlier, the sense that absolutely no one should be allowed past the door.

your belly. He replied: “Yes, Ġibrīl came to me and informed me that my community would kill him, and he produced for me some of the dust on which he will be killed, and that is what I am turning over and over in my hand.”⁶⁴

Contemporary with al-Ṭabarānī is al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, who himself may well qualify as transmitting the earliest Shī‘ī version; and while some scholars hold him to have been an *imnā ‘asharī*, most evidence suggests that he was an Ismā‘īlī. In his *Sharḥ al-abyār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, an historical text that enumerates the virtues of the Imāms until the sixth, Ġa‘far al-Šādiq, he offers four brief texts that are largely different. The first, on the authority of ‘Ā’isha, has already been seen in al-Ṭabarānī, as has the second, with the command to Umm Salama to “sit by the door” and her seeing, from the door, Muḥammad turning something over in his hand. The third places a story far more familiar in other circumstances, onto the lips of Muḥammad’s aunt, Umayma bt. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib: “The Messenger of God was sleeping in my home,” she says, “when al-Ḥusayn, a small boy, wandered into the house.” He sits on the stomach of his grandfather, where he urinates. Umayma rushes to grab him, when Muḥammad says: “Give me my son”. She releases the boy until he has finished and pours water on Muḥammad to wash him; he then holds the boy in his arms and stands to pray, putting him on the ground as he sits and prostrates in prayer. Then he calls out and raises his hands, and in response to Umayma’s query (“I saw you doing something in your prayer I never saw you doing before”) Muḥammad replies:

⁶⁴ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Mu‘jam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2820: 109, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, *Sharḥ al-abyār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1084: 142. In Ibn al-Ġawzī, Umm Salama looks from the door to see al-Ḥusayn playing on Muḥammad’s chest and, returning to a word used by Ibn Ḥanbal, a lump of mud (*tīm*) in the hand of the weeping Prophet. Only when al-Ḥusayn leaves does she enter to ask what has happened. Ġibrīl has come to give him some on the mud on which al-Ḥusayn will be killed. Ibn al-Ġawzī omits any details of the perpetrators and the name of the land. Cf. Ibn al-Ġawzī, *Bustān al-wa‘izīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmi‘īn*, bb. 412: 261.

Ġibraʿīl came to me and informed me that this son of mine would be killed after me. Then he said: If you wish, I can show you some of the dust on which he will be killed. I said: Show me! He showed me red dust.⁶⁵

This is an exceptionally early Shiʿī text; al-Qāḍī al-Nuʿmān is a contemporary of a number of important early scholars, both Sunnī (al-Āğurrī, al-Ṭabarānī) and Shiʿī (Ibn Ḥamdān, Ibn Qūlawayh). What is of particular note in his text is that the words “if you wish, I can show you some of the dust” could easily be read as an invitation from Muḥammad to Umayma, rather than from Ġibrīl to Muḥammad. Nevertheless, his narrative strikes a discordant note here, since it is much closer to the Umm al-Faḍl and Umm Ayman narratives. A tale carried by al-Ṭūsī, al-Hayṭamī and Ibn ʿAsākir is in comparable form, but substitutes Umayma with Zaynab bt. Ġaḥsh.

On the authority of Zaynab bt. Ġaḥsh that the Prophet was sleeping with her, when Ḥusayn crept into the house; she paid no attention to him until he came to the Prophet and got up onto his belly, placed his (organ) on his naval, and urinated. The Prophet woke up and I (went to him) and (put him down) from his stomach. The Messenger of God said: “Give me my son!” Then, when his urinating was finished, he took a mug of water and poured it, saying: “It should be poured off when from a baby boy and washed off when from a baby girl.” She continued: Then he arose and prayed, and embraced him; when he bowed and prostrated, he put him down and when he stood, he carried him. When he sat, he began to implore and lift his hands and speak. When he finished the prayer, I said: Messenger of God, I saw you do something today I have never seen you do! He replied: “Ġibrīl came to me and informed me

⁶⁵ al-Qāḍī al-Nuʿmān., *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍāʾil al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1075: 135.

that my son would be killed. I said: Show me, and he came to me with red dust.”⁶⁶

Finally, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān relates an uncommon and composite story found in no major transmitter, on the authority of ‘Alī, about Ġibrīl informing Muḥammad that al-Ra‘d,⁶⁷ the angel who drives the clouds, has asked permission of God to visit him and is on his way. They end up at Muḥammad’s house, where al-Ra‘d stops at the door, refusing to enter because of the pictures owned by some of Muḥammad’s wives.⁶⁸ This issue dealt with, al-Ra‘d enters; then al-Ḥusayn arrives and climbs on Muḥammad’s belly, and there follows a conversation usually attributed to Ġibrīl:

Then al-Ra‘d said: “Messenger of God, who is this?” He replied: “This in my son and the son of my son!” He went on: “Your community after you will kill him. If you wish, I will show you the dust of the country in which he will be killed.” The Messenger of God said: “Yes!” So, he spread his wing in the direction of the East and produced a handful of red dust from Karbalā’ and gave it to the Prophet, who went out weeping.⁶⁹

⁶⁶ al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīth, maḡlis* 11, n. 88–641: 316, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*):195–196, al-Hayṭamī, *Maḡma‘ al-ḡawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15115: 218–219, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 11: 229.

⁶⁷ al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥabā’ik fī aḥbār al-malā’ik*, nn. 263–273: 68–69. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. III, 1968: 1105.

⁶⁸ Angels do not enter houses in which there are images: cf. for e.g. al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. III, bk. 39 (*Kitāb al-buyū‘*), bb. 40, n. 2105: 185–186, Muslim., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-libās wa-l-ḡina*), bb. 26, nn. [5514] 83 (2106), [5515] 84: 471, nn. [5517] 85, [5518] 86: 472, nn. [5519] 87, [5520] (2107): 473, al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. V, bk. 41 (*Abwāb al-adab*), nn. 2804, 2805: 163–164.

⁶⁹ al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1082: 140.

Somewhat better known than al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān is Ibn Qūlawayh, writing in his handbook of spirituality, *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*. Taught by al-Kulaynī and himself one of al-Mufīd’s teachers, Ibn Qūlawayh’s text, while in principle a collection of *aḥādīth*, is deeply imbued with numinous elements. He proposes a small number of features that are distinctly new. The first is the mystical demonstration of the land; in Ibn Ḥamdān, it is al-Ḥusayn who extends to Umm Salama the invitation that is later found in the mouth of the angel: “If you like, I will show you...” In Ibn Qūlawayh, this mystical vision is accomplished by the angelic visitor:

On the authority of Abū ‘Abd Allāh: Ğabra’īl came to the Messenger of God while al-Ḥusayn was playing in front of him and informed him that his community would kill him. The narrator continued: The Messenger of God grew anxious, and he said: “Should I show you the dust upon which he will be killed?” The narrator said: Then what was between the seat of the Messenger of God and the land in which al-Ḥusayn was killed sank down, until the two pieces met. He took some of it, and then it spread out faster than the blinking of an eye. He emerged saying: “Good betide (*tūbā*)⁷⁰ you as dust and good betide (*tūbā*) whoever is killed in your vicinity!”⁷¹

In a second, almost identical report, Ibn Qūlawayh qualifies the land in which al-Ḥusayn will be killed as Karbalā’, and uses the two index fingers of the narrator, Ğa‘far al-Šādiq, to illustrate the coming together of the two territories. Of Ğibrīl he notes that “with his wing, he held out some of the dust and handed it to the Messenger of God.”⁷²

Against those who have identified the visitor as the angel of the rain or an angel who has never descended before, Ibn Qūlawayh insists, on the authority of Ibn ‘Abbās, that “the angel who

⁷⁰ Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. V, 1968: 1901. While the context suggests a reference to the concept of ‘a good final outcome’, it could also be an allusion to the mystical tree of Paradise called *Tūbā*.

⁷¹ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 1: 127–128.

⁷² Op. cit., bb. 17, n. 1: 129–130.

came to Muḥammad to inform him of the killing of al-Ḥusayn was Ġabraʿīl, the Faithful Spirit.” Here, Ibn Qūlawayh affixes more additions; Ġabraʿīl arrives “wings outstretched, weeping, shouting aloud,” with an emotion rarely seen in angelic beings, and is already carrying with him some of the “dust of al-Ḥusayn.”⁷³ In the same short narrative, Ibn Qūlawayh advances two additional elements. In a significant transformation from Ibn Ṭahmān’s ‘clod of earth’, the dust, which up until this point has exuded the smell of distress (*karb*) and tribulation (*balāʾ*), now diffuses an aroma like musk. In response to the angel, Muḥammad asks after the fate of his community: “Will a nation that killed my young one⁷⁴ prosper (or he said: the young one of my daughter)? Ġibraʿīl replied: God will strike them with disagreement, and their hearts will be at variance.”⁷⁵

Previous narrators have detailed the wrath of God and His severe punishment for those who killed al-Ḥusayn; now it is the fate of the whole *umma* of Muḥammad that is brought into question. In two of his reports, Ibn Qūlawayh situates the angelic visit in the house of Umm Salama, noting that Umm Salama kept the dust with her until the day of her death, but failing to mention the glass vial or Umm Salama’s anxious vigil. He has Muḥammad asking to see the dust and being the first to mention it, an element already seen almost a century before in Ibn Abī ʿĀṣim, and those who transmit from him.⁷⁶

Finally, Ibn Qūlawayh turns his attention to Fāṭima. “When Fāṭima gave birth to al-Ḥusayn,” he writes, “Ġabraʿīl came to the Messenger of God and said to him: Your community after you will kill al-Ḥusayn.” He is the first to situate the event at the time of al-

⁷³ Op. cit., bb. 17, n. 7: 131.

⁷⁴ Lane holds that the primary signification of the Arabic *farḥ* is the young of a bird or of an egg-laying creature, and sometimes the young of any animal. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VI, 1968: 2362. Steingass adds a young ‘plant’ or ‘shoot’. STEINGASS F., *Learner’s Arabic English Dictionary*, 1993: 782

⁷⁵ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 7: 131.

⁷⁶ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 17, n. 2: 128–129, n. 3: 129, n. 4: 129.

Ḥusayn's birth, but then introduces an even earlier occurrence, a pre-conception prophecy:

On the authority of al-Mu'allā b. Ḥunays, who said: The Messenger of God arose one morning, and Fāṭima saw him, weeping and grieved. She said: "Messenger of God, what is the matter with you?" But he refused to tell her, so she said: "I will neither eat nor drink until you tell me!" He answered: "Ġabra'il came to me with the dust on which a boy, not yet conceived, will be killed" (she was not pregnant with al-Ḥusayn) "and this is his dust."⁷⁷

Twenty years after Ibn Qūlawayh, Ibn Bābawayh, who as a scholar would come to be known as 'the truthful' (*al-ṣadūq*) moves the event forward from al-Ḥusayn's birth to a time when he is 'a child', a factor witnessed in his playful behaviour as it is described by the texts – darting through the door, leaping into the lap of Muḥammad or playing on the Prophet's back and shoulders:

On the authority of Abū 'Abd Allāh, who said: The Prophet was in the house of Umm Salama, and he said to her: "No one is to come in to me!" Then al-Ḥusayn, who was still a child, came in and she was unable to restrain him before he went in to the Prophet. Umm Salama went in after him, and al-Ḥusayn was on the chest of the Prophet, who was weeping. There was something in his hand which he was turning over and over. The Prophet said: "Umm Salama, Ġabra'il informs me that this one will be killed, and this is the dust upon which he will be killed. Take it with you, and when it becomes blood, my beloved will have been killed."⁷⁸

The details are recognizable: the house of Umm Salama, Muḥammad's request not to be disturbed, the impulsive but nonetheless mandatory arrival of the child who is to be the focal point of the foretelling and Umm Salama's fruitless attempts to hold him back. So too, the boy on the chest of his grief-stricken grandfather, the portentous announcement and Muḥammad fiddling distractedly

⁷⁷ Op. cit., bb. 17, n. 7: 132.

⁷⁸ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī*, *maḡlis* 29, n. 3: 139.

with something Umm Salama cannot make out. Now Ibn Bābawayh's narrative takes an original turn, as Umm Salama speaks up and in response is told something of the destiny of the boy still sleeping on the breast of the Prophet:

Umm Salama said: Messenger of God, ask God to repel this from him! He replied: "I have already done so, and God, powerful and great, made known to me that he has a rank (*darağā*) not given to any other creature, and that he has a party (*shī'a*) who will intercede and be accepted in intercession. The *Mabdī* will be from his offspring and good betide (*tūba*) whoever was among the friends and the party of al-Ḥusayn. By God, they will be the triumphant⁷⁹ on the Day of Resurrection."⁸⁰

Ibn Bābawayh would die a quarter century after the Occultation of the Twelfth Imām; in describing *al-Mabdī* ('the guided one') as one of al-Ḥusayn's offspring, he was giving voice to an already established dogma. In a further narrative and followed by a sprinkling of transmitters, he omits the traces of dust on the Prophet's head and beard, describing him instead as 'emaciated' (*shāhib*) and 'distressed' (*ka'ib*).

On the authority of Umm Salama, that she awoke one day weeping. She was asked: "What is the matter with you?" She replied: My son al-Ḥusayn has been killed! I have never seen the Messenger of God since he died, except for this night. I said: You are as dear to me as my own father and mother! Why do I see you emaciated? He replied: "All night I have been digging the graves for al-Ḥusayn and his companions."⁸¹

The issue of intercession will be taken up afresh by al-Mufid; but before him, a pupil who was schooled both by al-Mufid and by Ibn Bābawayh, and who died in the same year as the latter, will make emphatic reference to the rising of *al-Mabdī* from the descendants of the martyred al-Ḥusayn. Unlike al-Bayhaqī and al-Shağarī, but

⁷⁹ Cf. Q. 9:20, Q. 23:111, Q. 24:52, Q. 59:20.

⁸⁰ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī*, *mağlis* 29, n. 3: 139–140, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 5: 225.

⁸¹ Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī*, *mağlis* 29, n. 1: 139.

close to Ibn 'Asākir, all of whom will later take up the same report, 'Alī al-Ḥazzāz puts the narrative into the mouth of 'Ā'isha herself, and then adds a dialogue between Ğibrīl and Muḥammad about the vengeance that God will take on the killers through *al-Mabdī*, the ninth of al-Ḥusayn's descendants:

On the authority of Abū Salama, on the authority of 'Ā'isha, who said: We had an attic room and whenever the Messenger of God wanted to meet with Ğabra'īl, he would meet him there. Once, the Messenger of God was meeting him there and he ordered me that no one should come up to him. Then al-Ḥusayn came to see him, and Ğabra'īl said: "Who is this?" The Messenger of God replied: "My son," and then the Prophet took him and sat him on his thigh. Ğabra'īl said to him: "He will be killed!" The Messenger of God replied: "Who will kill him?" He answered: "Your community will kill him!" The Messenger of God said: "They will kill him?" He answered: "Yes, and if you wish I will inform you of the land in which he will be killed." He motioned towards al-Ṭaff in Iraq, and took from it red dust and showed it to him, saying: "This is from the place where he will lie." The Messenger of God wept.⁸²

Ğabra'īl urges Muḥammad not to weep, assuring him that "God will avenge the martyrs by the One who will arise for you from the People of the House." Muḥammad asks who this is and is told: "He is the ninth of the descendants of al-Ḥusayn." The one guided by God and who in turn is able to be the authentic guide, *al-Mabdī*

⁸² al-Ḥazzāz., *Kifāyat al-aṭar fī-l-nuṣūṣ 'alā al-a'imma al-iṭnā 'asbarī*, bb. *Ma ḡā' 'an 'Ā'isha bt. Abī Bakr*: 187, al-Bayhaqī., *Dalā'il al-nubunna*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruwiya fī iḥbāri-hi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-hi 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 470, al-Shaḡarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamisiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*, n. 822: 233, Ibn 'Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 195, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥaṣā'ish al-kubrā*, vol. II: 451 (transmitting from al-Bayhaqī in a simplified form; al-Ḥusayn simply arrives in the room when Ğibrīl is there and the angel immediately makes the prediction, with few other details).

is also ‘the One who will arise’ (*al-qā'im*) to avenge all the sufferings that the People of the House and their supporters sustained.

Hailed by al-Ḍahabī,⁸³ in spite of accusations of crypto-Shiʿī tendencies, as ‘the great *ḥāfiẓ* and leader of the transmitters of *ahādīf*’, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī transmits on the authority of Umm al-Faḍl bt. al-Hārīt, putting the story into her mouth and into the context of her famous dream, as would Ibn ‘Asākir and al-Bayhaqī after him:

One day I went to visit the Messenger of God and I placed him in my lap. I happened to turn around and behold, the eyes of the Messenger of God were flowing with tears. I said: Messenger of God, you are as dear to me as my own father and mother! What is wrong with you? He replied: “Ġibrīl came to me and informed me that my community would kill this son of mine!” I said: This one? He answered: “Yes. He came to me with some red dust.”⁸⁴

Al-Ḥākim carries a second account, in which Umm al-Faḍl comes to Muḥammad, but without any mention of her ominous dream, and in which Muḥammad tells her: “Ġibrīl informed me that my community would kill al-Ḥusayn.”⁸⁵

One of Ibn Bābawayh’s pupils, who would come to be nicknamed ‘the instructive’ (*al-mufīd*), takes up once again the theme of

⁸³ al-Ḍahabī, *Tadkirat al-ḥuffāẓ*, Part Three, n. 962–35/13/1: 162. Both al-Ḍahabī and Ibn Ḥaḡar thought that despite his being truthful as a teaching authority, “in his *Mustadrak* he declared as sound many disreputable *ahādīf*” (al-Ḍahabī, *Miẓān al-iʿtidāl*, Part Three, n. 7804: 608, al-ʿAsqalānī, *Lisān al-miẓān*, vol. VII, n. 7070: 256).

⁸⁴ al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, Part Three, bk. 31 (*Kitāb maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Annwal faḍʿil Abi ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*, n. 4884: 210, Ibn ʿAsākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ʿAbd al-Manāf*): 196–197, al-Bayhaqī, *Dalāʿil al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma rwniya fa iḥbārī-bi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-bi ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 469.

⁸⁵ al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, Part Three, bk. 31 (*Kitāb maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Annwal faḍʿil Abi ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*, n. 4890: 213.

intercession in a passage that will be transmitted from him by al-Ṭabarsī and al-Irbilī:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: While the Messenger of God was sitting one day, with al-Ḥusayn in his lap, his eyes were bathed in tears. I said: Messenger of God! I see you weeping! May I be made your ransom! He replied: “Ġibrāʾīl came to me and consoled me over my son al-Ḥusayn, and informed me that a group from my community would kill him. God will not accord them my intercession.”⁸⁶

While in one of his transmissions, Ibn ʿAsākir has Muḥammad describing al-Ḥusayn’s killer as “a man from my community, hateful of my progeny; my intercession will not be granted to him,”⁸⁷ the loss of the Prophet’s intercession is an especially dominant theme in al-Ḥusayn’s dream by his father’s grave, and again in the writing on the wall, seen by a group of Muslim visitors in a Byzantine church. *Shayḥ* al-Mufīd also permits us a glimpse into the psychology of Umm Salama who, as she does in Ibn Bābawayh, ceases to be a mere cipher and expresses significant emotion. She is the chief narrator of a lengthy text in which Muḥammad returns to the house after a mysterious night-time journey:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: The Messenger of God went out from us one night and stayed away from us a long time. Then he returned to us, dishevelled, dust covered, his hand tightly clasped. I said: Messenger of God, why do I

⁸⁶ al-Mufīd., *al-Irshād fī maʿrifat ḥuḡāḡ Allāb ʿalā al-ʿibād*, vol. II, Part Two, bb. *Tārīḥ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 130, al-Ṭabarsī., *Iʿlām al-warā bi-aʿlām al-budā*, vol. I, Part Three, bb. 2: 428–429, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī maʿrifat al-aʿimma*, vol. II, Part Two: 8 (with both al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn sitting on Muḥammad’s lap during this encounter, although Ġibrīl comforts Muḥammad only on account of al-Ḥusayn), al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 31: 239–240. Cf. also Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Ihbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 237, with al-Ḥusayn is in Muḥammad’s lap as he talks with Ġibrīl.

⁸⁷ Cf. Ibn ʿAsākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimasḩq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ʿAbd al-Manāf*): 224.

see you dishevelled and dust covered? He answered: "I was made to journey by night in this time to a place in Iraq called Karbalā'. I was shown the killing of al-Ḥusayn, my son, and a group of my descendants and the people of my house. I have been gathering their blood and here it is in my hand." He presented it to me and said: "Take it and guard it!" I took it, and it was similar to red dust. I put it in a glass vial, plugged its top and guarded it. When al-Ḥusayn left Mecca, heading to Iraq, I would bring out that glass vial every day and night, and would smell it and look at it. Then I would weep for his misfortune. On the 10th day of al-Muḥarram, the day on which he was killed, I took it out at first light and it was as it was. Then I looked at it at the end of the day, and behold, it was fresh blood. I cried out in my house and wept. Then I suppressed my anger, fearing that their enemies in Medina would hear and would be quick to gloat at their misfortune. I never ceased guarding it for the time, until the messenger of his death came to announce it. What I had seen was verified.⁸⁸

He has journeyed to Karbalā' and there seen the place where al-Ḥusayn and his companions will be killed; Muḥammad has collected their (not just al-Ḥusayn's) blood in his hand and shows it to Umm Salama, asking her to keep it. In sharp contrast to other accounts, it is not red dust he is carrying, but blood which has the appearance of red dust. The rest is similar to versions where she keeps it in a vial, although an addition here is that she would take the vial out daily and smell it, looking at it and weeping over al-

⁸⁸ al-Mufīd, *al-Irshād fī ma'rīfat ḥuḡaḡ Allāh 'alā al-'ibād*, vol. II, bb. *Faṣl fī faḍā'il Imām al-Ḥusayn wa manāqibī-hi*: 130. The narrative is taken up from al-Mufīd, with small changes, by Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl, *Rawḍat al-wā'iḡīn wa-tabṣīrat al-mutta'iḡīn*, vol. I, *maḡlīs fī dīker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 193. Cf. also al-Ṭabarsī, *I'lām al-warā bi-a'lām al-hudā*, vol. I, Part One, bb. 2: 219, 429, al-Irbīlī, *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma'rīfat al-a'imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 8, al-Maḡlīsī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 31: 239, al-Iṣfahānī, *'Anwālīm al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārīf al-aḡwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḡbār wa-l-aḡwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 8: 128), al-Ḡazā'irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a'imma al-aḡbār*, vol. I, Part One: 171.

Ḥusayn's fate. On the day of ʿĀshūrā she takes out the vial and it is unchanged; but that evening when she returns to it, it has turned into blood, supposedly fresh as opposed to appearing like dust, and marking the time of his killing. She cries out and then restrains her grief and anger lest the town citizens react badly; instead, she waits for news of the death to come and confirm what she has seen. The point about restraint lest the other citizens react with a sort of *schadenfreude* suggests some of the societal divisions that existed around the person of al-Ḥusayn.

Another Shīʿī *muhaddith*, Abū Ǧaʿfar al-Ṭūsī, hailed as the 'scholar of the sect' (*shaykh al-tāʾifa*), has left us a transmission in which Ibn ʿAbbās plays a leading part. This is not his own famous vision of the Prophet, recounted later in this work; instead, Ibn ʿAbbās is resting in his house in Medina when he is disturbed by a loud and distressing cry from the home of Umm Salama. He sets out immediately towards her house, as do numerous fellow citizens:

When finally, I got to her, I said: Mother of the believers! Why is it that you cry out and call for help? She did not answer me, but turned towards the Hāshimī women and said: "Daughters of ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib! Help me by weeping with me, because by God, your master and the master of the youths of the inhabitants of the Garden has been killed! By God, al-Ḥusayn, the grandson of the Messenger of God and his sweet basil, has been killed!" Someone said:⁸⁹ "Mother of the believers, from where did you learn this?" She replied: "I just saw the Messenger of God in a dream, dishevelled and dismayed, and I asked him the reason for this. He said: My son al-Ḥusayn and the people of his house have been killed today and I have buried them, and have just finished with their burial. She said: I got up and entered the house, and I had scarcely begun to comprehend when I looked, and there was the dust with which Ǧibraʾīl had come from Karbalāʾ, saying: When this dust changes to blood, your son will have been killed. The Prophet had given it to me and said: Put this dust in a glass bottle – or, he said,

⁸⁹ al-Iṣfahānī reads: "I said..."

a glass vial – to have with you. When it becomes fresh blood, al-Ḥusayn will have been killed. I saw the glass vial now, and it had become fresh blood, welling forth!” He continued: Umm Salama took some of that blood and splashed her face with it. That day she began to perform a mourning (*maʿtam*) and lamentation (*manāḥa*) for al-Ḥusayn. Then the riders brought news of him, and he had been killed on that day.

‘Amr b. Ṭābit said: My father said: I went to visit Abū Ḡaʿfar Muḥammad b. ‘Alī at his house, and I asked him about this *ḥadīth*. I mentioned to him the transmission of Saʿīd b. Ğubayr of this *ḥadīth* on the authority of ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās, and Abū Ḡaʿfar said: ‘Umar b. Abī Salama told it to me on the authority of his mother, Umm Salama. Ibn ‘Abbās said in the transmission of Saʿīd b. Ğubayr on his authority: The next night I saw the Messenger of God in my dream, dust covered and dishevelled, and I mentioned this to him and asked him about its significance. He said to me: “Did you not know that I have just finished with the burial of al-Ḥusayn and his companions?” ‘Amr b. Abī Miqdām said...Gibraʾīl came to the Prophet with the dust on which al-Ḥusayn would be killed. Abū Ḡaʿfar added: We have it with us.⁹⁰

Sezgin puts al-Shaḡarī al-Ġurġānī among the Imāmī Shīʿa and says that he was “still alive in 420/1029”, Brockelmann provides neither dates nor details, and both name only his *Kitāb al-iʿtibār*.⁹¹ According to Ansari and Schmidtke, he was in fact a Zaydī Shīʿa who went by the name of al-Murshad bi-llāh Yaḥyā b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl al-

⁹⁰ al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīth*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 87–640: 314–315, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 55, al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma., *Iḥbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-nuḡzāt*, vol. I, Part Seventeen, n. 224: 326, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 41, n. 22: 227, bb. 42, nn. 1–2: 230–231, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 3, n. 3: 508–509 (with fewer details).

⁹¹ Cf. GAL S. II: 1004, GAS I: 521–522.

Ġurġānī al-Shaġarī (d. 479/1086–7 or 499/1106).⁹² His transmission includes the presence of al-Ḥasan, and turns the red dust into something a little more solid:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: Ġibrīl came to the Prophet, and al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn came in to him. He said: “Your community after you will kill him” – that is, al-Ḥusayn. Then he said: “Should I show you some of the dust of the place of his killing?” She went on: He produced pebbles for him, which the Messenger of God put into a glass vial.⁹³

Umm Salama goes on to describe a lament she hears on the night air on the night al-Ḥusayn was killed, and then says: “I opened the glass vial and there had begun to be blood in it.”

While generally the narrative follows the same lines – Umm Salama present and acting as custodian and al-Ḥusayn (with his brother) arriving, necessarily but by surprise – al-Shaġarī places Umm Salama away from the immediate precincts for a moment, arriving back just in time to witness the unfolding angelic intervention:

On the authority of Umm Salama, wife of the Prophet, who said: While Ḥusayn was with the Messenger of God on the house, I had gone out to satisfy a need. Then I entered the

⁹² ANSARI H. & SCHMIDTKE S., “The Literary-Religious Tradition among 7th/13th Century Yemeni Zaydīs” in D. Hollenberg, C. Rauch et al., *The Yemeni Manuscript Tradition*, Brill, Leiden 2015: 105, “The literary-religious tradition among 7th/13th century Yemenī Zaydīs: The formation of the Imām al-Mahdī li-Dīn Allāh Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn b. al-Qāsim (d. 656/1258)” in *Journal of Islamic Manuscripts* 2 (2011): 167.

⁹³ al-Shaġarī, *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamīsīyya*, vol. II, bb. *Fī ḍiker ‘Āshūrā*, n. 1800: 115, al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 33: 107–108 (omitting mention of al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn), al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 34: 241, al-Isfahānī, *‘Anwāl al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 9: 128. Notes ‘Abbās Qummī: “In some reports, Umm Salama said: (Muḥammad) came with pebbles and put them in a glass vial, and in others, that he gave her a glass vial in which there was sand from al-Ṭaff” (‘Abbās Qummī., *Safīnat al-bihār*, vol. I: 456).

house; the Messenger of God had taken Ḥusayn and put him to sleep on his belly and he was now wiping the tears from his eyes. I said: Messenger of God, what is making you weep? He answered: “Compassion for this poor one! Ġibrīl informed me that he would be killed at Karbalā’ in Iraq, and this is its dust which Ġibrīl produced for me.”⁹⁴

A number of transmitters have al-Ḥusayn already present at the house either playing outside with his brother, or inside and in the care of Umm Salama:

On the authority of Umm Salama: Ġibrā’īl was with the Prophet and al-Ḥusayn was with me and was crying; so I let him be, and he drew near to the Prophet, and Ġibrā’īl said: “Do you love him, Muḥammad?” He replied: “Yes!” He continued: “Your community will kill him, and if you wish I will show you some of the dust of the land in which he will be killed.” He showed him, and the land is called Karbalā’.⁹⁵

Sibt al-Ġawzī⁹⁶ makes al-Ḥusayn the narrator in his transmission (“I was informed by Umm Salama, who said: Ġibra’īl was with the Messenger of God and you were with me. You were crying...”) and has Ġibrīl spreading his wing over the land of Karbalā’ as he reveals it to Muḥammad. The al-Ġawzī text continues with al-

⁹⁴ al-Shaḡarī, *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamisiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 800: 219, n. 831: 237 (in which the narrator adds a question from Umm Salama after she has heard the name Karbalā’: “And where is Karbalā’?” she asks).

⁹⁵ Ibn Ḥanbal, *Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1391: 782, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍikr al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 535–536, al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqbā*, Part One, bb. 9: 252, al-Dahabī, *Miẓān al-i’tidāl*, vol I, bb. 12 (Abān b. Abī ‘Ayyāsh): 13, al-Shablanġī, *Nūr al-abṣār fī manāqib al bayt al-nabī al-muḥtār, faṣl fī ḍikr sayyidi-nā al-Ḥusayn*: 254–255 (with slightly different wording – Ġibrīl extends his wing towards the earth, showing Muḥammad a land called Karbalā’ and producing the red dust of al-Ṭaff).

⁹⁶ Grandson of the more famous Ibn al-Ġawzī, his Shī’ī tendencies are particularly strong in his *Taḍkirat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-ḍikr ḥaṣā’iṣ al-a’imma*; nonetheless, he is a Sunnī author.

Ḥusayn smelling the land and saying: “This, by God, is the land about which Ġibrīl informed the Messenger of God, and I will be killed in it.” While the narrative contains recognizable elements, the command given to Umm Salama (“let my son be”) is the polar opposite of the more typical ‘no one must enter’; and although we have other instances of al-Ḥusayn narrating his dialogues with Umm Salama, this particular event is not one of them.⁹⁷

Contrary to their near contemporary Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, al-Shāmī and Ibn al-‘Adīm after him have al-Ḥusayn managing to creep past Umm Salama unseen, in spite of her attempts to shield the door:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: The Prophet came to see me and said to me: “Guard the door! No one is to enter in to me.” Then I heard his sobbing and I went in, and al-Ḥusayn was in front of him. I said: By God, Messenger of God, I did not see him enter! He replied: “Ġibrīl was with me just now, and he said to me: Muḥammad! Do you love him? I answered: Ġibrīl, by all that is in the world, yes! He continued: Your community after you will kill him, and if you wish, Muḥammad, I will show you his dust. Then he handed me this dust!” Umm Salama said: I took it and put it in a glass vial. I poured it out on the day al-Ḥusayn was killed and it had become blood.⁹⁸

Sunnī historian Ibn ‘Asākir includes approximately nine versions of this story in his massive biographical dictionary, in the course of which he presents some novel insights and information. He carries three versions about the angel of the rain seeking permission to visit, all of them slightly different from Ibn Ḥanbal’s text; Ibn

⁹⁷ Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Taḍkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkar ḥaṣā’iṣ al-a’imma*, bb. *Dīkar maqtalī-hi*: 250. The imperative, although addressed to Umm Salama, is in the masculine form, although this may be an error in transcription.

⁹⁸ al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-nazīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī dīkar al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 536, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buġyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2597.

‘Asākir adds that ‘it was the day of Umm Salama’⁹⁹ and she is commanded to ‘guard’ the door rather than just to hold it. He also reports that when al-Ḥusayn enters, Muḥammad reacts by embracing and kissing him, and in his first version he omits all mention of the angel producing mud or dust and Umm Salama secreting it in her robe. In his first two versions, he also omits Ṭābit’s declaration that the place being spoken of was Karbalā’. In a further transmission, which encompasses some of the elements of al-Ṭabarānī and al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, Ibn ‘Asākir does provide fresh details which augment the prevalent narrative and which will be taken up by al-Haytamī and al-Ḍahabī after him, although in a much-reduced form:

On the authority of Abū ‘Umāma, who said: The Messenger of God said to his womenfolk: “Do not weep over this boy” (that is, Ḥusayn). He continued: It was the day of Umm Salama, and Ġibrīl descended. The Messenger of God came inside and said to Umm Salama: “Do not invite anyone to come in to me.” Then al-Ḥusayn came, and when he noticed the Prophet in the house, he wanted to go in. Umm Salama took him and clasped him in her arms, and began to whisper to him and to soothe him. However, when the weeping became more intense, she let him go and he entered, and sat on the lap of the Messenger of God. Ġibrīl said to the Prophet: “Your community will kill this son of yours.” The Prophet replied: “They will kill him even though they are believers in me?” He replied: “Yes, they will kill him.” Then Ġibrīl took (some) dust and said: “In such and such a place.” The Messenger of God went out, Ḥusayn clasped in his arms, grieved and distressed. Umm Salama assumed that he was vexed by the entry of the boy, and she said: “Prophet of God, may I be made your ransom! You said to us ‘do not weep over this boy’ and ordered me not to invite anyone to call upon you. He came in, and I let him go!” However, he did not respond to her, but went out to his companions

⁹⁹ As do others; cf. for e.g. al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-nazīm fī manāqib al-‘amma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī ḍikr al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 535, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2600.

who were sitting there and said to them: “My community will kill this one!” Abū Bakr and ‘Umar were among the people and were the boldest of the people (before him), and they said: “Prophet of God, they will kill him even though they are believers?” He replied: “Yes, and this is his dust.” And he showed it to them.¹⁰⁰

Ibn ‘Asākir commences the story with a brief preface; a command ‘that they should not weep over the boy’ addressed to the women generally, ominous despite its lack of context, and reminiscent of the order given by al-Ḥusayn to the women on the eve of Karbalā’, as recorded by al-Ḥawārizmī: “Zaynab! Umm Kulṭūm! Fāṭima! Rubāb! See when I am killed that there is neither ripping of garments for me nor scratching of faces for me, and do not use unseemly language on my behalf!”¹⁰¹ Ibn ‘Asākir then goes on to underscore that “it was the day of Umm Salama” – not merely a nod in the direction of Muḥammad’s domestic arrangements, but a reiteration that Umm Salama’s house is a place of divine encounter. She herself is given no particular command, except that she should not invite anyone in, but the boy al-Ḥusayn, in her arms, grows increasingly distressed; omitted is all the youthful playfulness, and, like the dream of Umm al-Faḍl, something menacing hangs over the story. The earliest texts record Muḥammad’s almost monosyllabic response to the angel; a simple ‘yes’ in answer to the angelic enquiries about his love and his desire to see the place where his grandson will be killed. Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim introduces his requesting to see the dust of Karbalā’, Ibn Qūlawayh his question about the fate of his community and al-Ḥazzāz his queries about the identity of

¹⁰⁰ Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 190–191. Cf. Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2601–2602, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb*): 289, *Tārīḥ al-Islām*, vol. V: 66–67, al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15119: 220.

¹⁰¹ al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, bb. *Fī ḥurūḡ al-Ḥusayn min Makka ilā al-‘Irāq*: 338–339.

the killers. In Ibn ‘Asākir, Muḥammad expresses an incredulity (‘even though they are believers in me?’).¹⁰² And while early concerns centred around the fate of the killer, and then the fate of the community, and in some texts too, the threat of losing the Prophet’s intercession, the question here concerns the very quality of the faith of his killers. Al-Bazzār and al-Haytamī have al-Ḥusayn sitting on the lap of Muḥammad, who replies to Ğibrīl: “How could I not love him? He is the fruit of my heart,” before Ğibrīl produces a handful of red dust.¹⁰³

While Ibn ‘Asākir minimizes the details of the obtaining of the dust and of its qualities, for the first time Umm Salama’s frustration is voiced; until now, her emotion has been bewilderment about how the boy got in, and fear that he would disturb the sleeping Prophet. However, in this text, there is no answer given her, no handing over of the dust, no glass vial. Instead, Muḥammad exits the house and goes to his companions, in Ibn ‘Asākir no longer headed by ‘Alī – unsurprising, coming from the staunch Sunnī transmitter who had little love for the Shī‘a and their claims – but by the first two caliphs, whose boldness is noted by the text.¹⁰⁴ These two future spiritual and political heads of the Islamic community, Abū Bakr and ‘Umar, express the same reservations about the quality of faith of those who kill al-Ḥusayn. The dust is shown them, and then disappears from the narrative, unwatched down through the years by Umm Salama. In other transmissions (al-Ṭabarānī, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, al-Shaḡarī, al-Haytamī), the event of Muḥammad going out to his companions is told in the context of ‘Ā’isha and, as noted, the names of these companions differ between Ibn ‘Asākir and the rest. Ibn ‘Asākir also offers a text from ‘Ā’isha with different details:

¹⁰² al-Ḍahabī omits the ‘in me’ after the word believers (*mu’minīn*) as do Abū Bakr and ‘Umar at the end of Ibn ‘Asākir’s narrative.

¹⁰³ al-Bazzār., *Kashf al-astār*, vol. III, bb. *Manāqib al-Ḥusayn*, n. 2640: 231, al-Haytamī., *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15129: 223.

¹⁰⁴ In al-Ṭabarānī, the they are named as ‘Alī, Abū Bakr, ‘Umar, Ḥudayfa, ‘Ammār and Abū Ḍarr.

On the authority of ‘Ā’isha, who said: While the Messenger of God was sleeping, al-Ḥusayn came, crawling onto him and he pushed him away. Then I arose for some of my affairs and he was close to him. Then he woke up, weeping. I said: What is making you weep? He replied: “Ġibrīl showed me the dust upon which al-Ḥusayn would be killed, the anger of God will be intense against the one who sheds his blood.” He stretched out his hand and in it was a handful (of dust) from a plain. He said: “‘Ā’isha, by the One in whose hand is my soul, truly it grieves me, for who is it from my community that will kill Ḥusayn after me?”¹⁰⁵

Ibn ‘Asākir adds another element to his accounts, which will be taken up by numerous transmitters; that the same Umm Salama who dreams of the Prophet and witnesses angelic interventions will hear the haunting lament of the *ġimm* over the killing of al-Ḥusayn.¹⁰⁶

Accomplished Shī‘ī jurist, theologian, exegete and historian al-Rāwandī takes up a story, although in shortened form, already told by Ibn Ḥamdān, Ibn Ḥamza al-Ṭūsī and al-Baḥrānī, with al-Ḥusayn heading for Iraq and Umm Salama trying to dissuade him by quoting the prediction:

When he had his mind set on Iraq, Umm Salama said to him: “Do not go to Iraq! I heard the Messenger of God saying: My son al-Ḥusayn will be killed in the land of Iraq, and I have dust which he gave to me in a glass vial!” He replied: “By God, so I will be killed, but if I do not go to Iraq, they will kill me anyway. If you like, I will show you the place where I will lie and the site of my companions’ death!” He rubbed her face with his hand and God broadened her vision so that he could show it all to her. Then he took dust and gave some of it to her also, in another glass vial, saying: “When it overflows with blood,

¹⁰⁵ Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 195.

¹⁰⁶ Taken up also by the 8th/14th century Sunnī scholar Ġamāl al-Dīn al-Zarandī in his *Naẓm durar al-simṭayn fī faḍā’il al-muṣṭafā wa-l-murtaḍā wa-l-batūl wa-l-sibṭayn*, and detailed in another chapter of this book.

know that I have been killed!" Umm Salama said: "On the day of 'Āshūrā I looked at the two glass vials in the afternoon and they were overflowing with blood." She cried out; and on that day, one could not turn over a stone or a clod of earth but that one would find fresh blood under it.¹⁰⁷

He introduces two points of interest: followed by al-Bayyādī, al-Iṣfahānī and al-Maḡlisī, he augments the details of the mystical vision given to Umm Salama by having al-Ḥusayn rub her face with his hand, and introduces a second glass vial (taken up by al-Ḥillī al-ʿAllāma, al-Maḡlisī and al-Iṣfahānī).¹⁰⁸ The narrative ends with the prediction coming true on the day of 'Āshūrā, adding that under every upturned pebble or stone, blood was found.

Besides referencing Umm Salama's dream of Muḥammad with dirt on his head and his beard, Ibn Shahrāshūb retells a number of stories, including, from al-Ṭabarānī, the white dust of Karbalā' and from al-Ṭūsī, Ibn 'Abbās hearing Umm Salama's cries of distress; he makes only a further brief mention of the glass vial.¹⁰⁹ By now, the scholars are merely repeating stories already told, occasionally shortening them, making minor changes in the details or combining the elements of more than one story into a single narrative.

¹⁰⁷ al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarā'ig wa-l-ḡarā'ib fi-l-mu'ḡiẓāt*, vol. I, bb. 4, n. 7: 253, al-Bayyādī, *al-Ṣirāt al-mustaḡim ilā mustaḡaqqī al-taqdīm fi-l-imāma*, vol. II, n. 6: 179, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 27: 89 (cf. also vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 332), al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 12, bb. 4, n. 7: 157, ch. 13, bb. 1, n. 2: 181, al-Ġazā'irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fi manāqib al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 207–208.

¹⁰⁸ al-Ḥillī al-ʿAllāma., *Iḥbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣṣ wa-l-mu'ḡiẓāt*, vol. IV, bb. 15, n. 32: 46, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 332, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 27: 89, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 12, bb. 4, n. 7: 157, ch. 13, bb. 1, n. 2: 181, al-Ġazā'irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fi manāqib al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 207–208.

¹⁰⁹ Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. I, bb. *Diker sayyidi-nā rasūl Allāb*: 140.

The 7th/13th century al-Shāmī, a pupil of Ibn Ṭāʾūs,¹¹⁰ adds to a well-known story Umm Salama's question found in al-Kashshī and Ibn 'Asākir; told to guard the door, and hearing loud sobbing from within, she goes in (rather than looking from the door) only to find al-Ḥusayn standing in front of Muḥammad. "By God, Messenger of God," she exclaims, "what have to come to know about him since he entered?"¹¹¹ He also returns to al-Ṭabarānī's use of 'coarse sand' to describe the dust of Karbalā' as he relates the angel of the rain asking permission to visit Muḥammad and, like Ibn 'Asākir, notes that it took place on the day of Umm Salama. He takes another famous scene from Shahr b. Ḥawshab in which Ğibraʾīl was with the Messenger of God and al-Ḥusayn with Umm Salama. The boy is crying, so she lets him go, but as soon as he seems to be approaching the Prophet, she picks him up again. Again he cries, again she lets him go and this time he goes in to Muḥammad, provoking from Ğibraʾīl the question: do you love him?¹¹² Sibṭ al-Ġawzī will take up this narrative, but making al-Ḥusayn the narrator and putting onto the lips of Muḥammad the words 'let my son be' when he hears him crying in Umm Salama's arms.¹¹³

On the authority of Umm Salama that an angel asked permission of his Lord, powerful and great, to come to the Prophet who was in the house of Umm Salama. He greeted him with peace, secluded himself with the Prophet and began whispering to him. He said: "Umm Salama, guard the door for us." She said that al-Ḥusayn was with her, and while she was not paying attention, he went in to the Prophet and she followed him. The Messenger of God was turning something over and over in his hand, his eyes streaming with tears. I said: "I seek

¹¹⁰ Cf. KOHLBERG E., *A Medieval Scholar at Work: Ibn Ṭāwūs and his Library*, 1992: 302.

¹¹¹ al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī dīkr al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 536.

¹¹² Ibid.

¹¹³ Sibṭ al-Ġawzī., *Tadkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkr ḥaṣā'is al-a'imma*, bb. *Dīkr maqtalī-hi*: 250.

refuge in God from the anger of God and the anger of His Messenger!" He answered: "Neither God nor His Messenger is angry with you!" I replied: "I saw you turning something over and over in your hand, your eyes streaming with tears!" He replied: "You saw this when he came to me and said: Your son will be killed." I said: "Who will kill him?" He answered: "My community." I said: "Even though they submit themselves to the *ṣalāḥ*?" He answered: "Even though they submit themselves to the *ṣalāḥ*!" Then I said: "And in which place?" He answered: "He named for me the place in which he will be killed and showed me a handful of dust, which I am turning over and over in my hand while my eyes are streaming with tears." Umm Salama said: "I took that dust and took it away with me. When al-Husayn was killed I heard the *ǧinn* lamenting over him – I had not heard them lamenting over him before that. The dust had become fresh blood."¹¹⁴

This narrative, with its abrupt change of narrator, combines a number of longstanding elements with some details that are new; Umm Salama being momentarily distracted was reported by al-Kashshī, while Ibn Abī Shayba first made mention of something unidentifiable in Muḥammad's hand. The guarding of the door is found in Ibn Ḥanbal, as is the unknown angel (although al-Shāmī adds no further clarification). What is new is the angel secluding himself with Muḥammad and whispering to him, as well as the extended dialogue in which Umm Salama has to draw out details one by one. The transmitter also introduces the lament of the *ǧinn*, and although this will be taken up in another chapter, it is of note that it is precisely their lament that causes her to notice the change from dust to blood.

The 12th/17th century Shī'ī jurist, exegete and *muhaddith*, al-Sayyid Hāshim al-Baḥrānī, in his work chronicling the miracles attributed to the twelve Imāms, reproduces two extensive texts with details drawn in and amalgamated from a number of diverse

¹¹⁴ al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'imma al-lahābim*, bb. 5, *Fī dīkr al-Husayn b. 'Alī*: 536–537.

sources, but into which he introduces a number of unfamiliar elements:

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: The Messenger of God was with me one day. A fierce heat had broken out, so he had come to my house and I spread out a mat for him, and he had thrown himself down, reclining, when al-Ḥusayn came and entered as he was lying on his back. He said: "Here, Ḥusayn!" So, he lay on his chest and began to play with him and move about on his stomach. Umm Salama said: I looked through the crack in the door; he was on his chest, playing with him.

Missing from this narrative are many of the stock details; here, Muḥammad's visit appears random rather than normative (as on 'the day of Umm Salama'), and the fierce heat (which could also be understood as Muḥammad having a fever) is an unusual addition, as if to justify why the Prophet should be lying down:

Then I withdrew from him for an hour, and returning to the door, and I saw the Prophet, distressed, his eyes closed and on his face a type of gloom. I said (to myself): No doubt al-Ḥusayn has exceeded proper bounds with the Prophet by his youthfulness. I went to him and in his hand was something he was looking at and weeping. I said: Messenger of God, you are as dear to me as my own father and mother! May I be your ransom! How is it that I see you weeping and sorrowing? What is the news? He answered: "Ġibraʾīl came down to me in this hour and informed me that this son of mine will be killed!" I said: But how and where? He replied: "After his father and his mother, in a land named Karbalāʾ. If you choose, I will show you a handful of its dust. He withdrew from me, and then came to me with this handful, and said: This is (some of) its dust." Then (Muḥammad) said: "Take it and keep it with you in this glass bottle; watch over it, and when you see it becoming fresh blood you will know that my son al-Ḥusayn has been killed in that hour." Umm Salama said: I did what he had told me and kept in a section of the house until the Prophet died and what happened, happened.

The mention of "after his father and his mother" alludes strongly to the prospect that Fāṭima herself must be counted among the martyrs, her death resulting immediately from the various forms of

ill-treatment to which she was subject after her father's death. The narrative telescopes into a few lines an extensive period of time, from the boy al-Ḥusayn on his grandfather's chest, to the death of Muḥammad and then, thirty or so years later, the fateful departure to Iraq of an al-Ḥusayn already ostensibly fully cognizant of the vial (in this text referred to as a 'bottle') of dust:

When al-Ḥusayn departed from Medina to Iraq, I came to him to bid him farewell, and he said: "Umm Salama, take care of the glass bottle!" So, I kept looking at it; I observed it two or three times a day, and when it was the tenth day of Muḥarram, towards noon, I took a short nap and slept a little while. I saw the Messenger of God in my dream, dishevelled and dust covered, and with dust on his head. I said: Messenger of God, you are as dear to me as my own father and mother! How is it that I see you dust covered and dishevelled? What is this dust that I see on your head and your face? He said to me: "Umm Salama, I have this night been digging the grave of my son al-Ḥusayn and the graves of his companions, and at this time I have finished with the preparation of my son al-Ḥusayn and his companions, killed at Karbalā'!" She awoke, dismayed and frightened, and got up and looked at the glass vial, and in it was fresh blood. She knew that al-Ḥusayn had been killed, and she said: By God, the revelation did not lie to me and the Messenger of God did not lie to me. She said: I began to cry out: O, son! O consolation of his eye! O beloved! O Ḥusayn! O loss after you, Abū 'Abd Allāh! She said: Eventually the people gathered with me and asked: "What is the news?" and I informed them. They began to call out: "O master! O tyrannised! By God, you did not lie!" He mentioned the date of that day and it was the day al-Ḥusayn was killed.¹¹⁵

Al-Baḥrānī now introduces a further narrative, once again comprising recognizable features intermingled with fresh details:

¹¹⁵ al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma'āğiz fi mu'ğizāt al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, n. 271/1218: 192–194. At this point, the text drops the theme of the dream and the glass vial and turns to the lament of the *ğinn*.

It was also reported, on the authority of Umm Salama, who said: The Messenger of God was with me one day, and while he was sleeping on the bed, crossing his leg right over left – he was on his back – al-Ḥusayn, a boy of just three years and some months came to him. When he saw him, he said: “Welcome to the consolation of my eye and the fruit of my heart!” The boy continued to draw near until he climbed onto the chest of his grandfather and stayed there. I was afraid that the Prophet was tired, and I wanted to move him from his chest, but he said: “Leave him, Umm Salama! When he wants to go down, he will go down!” Then he advised me: “Whoever harms a single hair of his, harms me!” She said: I left him and went, and when I returned, the Messenger of God was weeping. I wondered at that, after the laughter and the joy, so I approached him and said: “Messenger of God, what is making you weep? May God not cause your eyes to weep!” He was looking at something in his hand and weeping. He said: “What do you see?” I looked, and in his hand was dust, and I asked: “What is that?” He replied: “Ġibraʿīl came to me with it this very hour and said: Messenger of God! This is mud from Karbalāʾ, the mud of your son al-Ḥusayn and his dust in which he will be buried. I have committed it to you in a glass vial, and when you see it has changed into fresh blood you will know that my son al-Ḥusayn has been killed. This will happen after me, and after his mother, his father and his brother.” She said: I wept, and took it from his hand, and I set about doing what he had ordered me. It had a smell like pungent musk. The days and months had barely elapsed¹¹⁶ before al-Ḥusayn departed for the land of Karbalāʾ, and my heart lamented on account of the iniquity. I went every day to observe the glass vial, and while I was doing this, suddenly, there was fresh blood in the glass vial, and I knew that al-Ḥusayn had been killed. I began to lament and weep throughout the day until night. I had no desire for food or drink or sleep, until a part of the night when drowsiness took me and I had a vision of the Messenger of

¹¹⁶ In fact, more than fifty years.

God approaching, with a quantity of dust¹¹⁷ on his head and his beard. I began to dust him off him, and I wept, saying: “My soul as the ransom for your soul, how long have you neglected yourself in this way, Messenger of God? From where do you have this dust?” He replied: “This is the hour when I have finished burying my son al-Ḥusayn.” Umm Salama said: I awoke, alarmed and could not stop myself from crying out: O Ḥusayn! O son! O the heart’s lifeblood – until my wailing ascended and the Hāshimī and other women of Medina approached me, and said: “Mother of the faithful, what is the news?” I related the story to them and the wailing and the crying ascended and the lamenting rose – that day became like the day on which the Messenger of God died – and they walked to his grave, hearts torn and stricken¹¹⁸ at the loss of the beloved.¹¹⁹

Umm Salama’s profound involvement with the Karbalā’ event does not terminate with her dreams or her private grief; she will also be witness to another, more haunting anguish, and come to the realization that the lament she is hearing on the night air is no ordinary dirge, but belongs to the dark and hidden world of the *gḥim*.

¹¹⁷ Editor’s note: In the source, ‘much blood’.

¹¹⁸ Editor’s note: In the source, ‘bareheaded’.

¹¹⁹ al-Bahrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āz̧i fi mu‘āz̧āt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 272/1219: 194–196.

VOICES IN THE NIGHT. A MEDLEY OF DREAMS AND VISIONS

A verse in the 7th *sūrat* of the Qurʾān issues a terse warning, not to believers alone but to humanity in its entirety, about the menace of Shayṭān: «O Children of Adam! Let not Satan seduce you». Viewed through the prism of mysticism, he may well be in tragic and lonely exile, the over-zealous monotheist now eternally irredeemable;¹ but

¹ The proposal of Satan as the ‘desolate mystic’ is found in the sphere of the Ṣūfī mystics as an attempt to reinterpret his dissent, although without necessarily redeeming him. Such arcane strands of thought are certainly found in al-Ḥallāğ (MASON H., *Al-Hallaj*, Curzon Press, Surrey 1995: 21–22), whose Satan clings to his monotheism and his determination to bow before God alone, even in the face of disobeying a Divine ordinance, and so ends in despondent isolation. Aḥmad al-Ġazālī, younger brother of the more famous Abū Ḥāmid, expresses a similar theology (SCHIMMEL A., *Mystical Dimensions of Islam*, University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill 1975: 95) as does Persian mystic Farīd al-Dīn ‘Aṭṭār, who envisages Satan as the perfect monotheist and lover, accepting

Shayṭān remains an antagonistic figure who looms large in the Islamic psyche. He stalks with purposeful gait through the centuries, an open enemy of mankind,² whose footsteps ought never to be followed,³ causing sin and wrongdoing to seem reasonable and fair⁴ and provoking forgetfulness of God.⁵ It is not surprising that the Qurʾānic text labels him ‘the furtive whisperer’, in consequence of his tendency to withdraw into the shadows after his wicked suggestions. There, concealed from view, he is not alone, for the same verse continues: «Indeed, he sees you, he and his tribe, from where you do not see them».⁶ This is, according to the scholars, at least in part a reference to that enigmatic group of creatures called the *ġinn*. According to lexicographers, the root of the Arabic collective term *ġinn* (with *ġinnī* or *ġinnīyya* representing a single individual, male or female) gives rise to diverse meanings, but most commonly with the implication of ‘concealment’ or ‘the confusion of intense darkness’; therefore, the word for ‘garden’ (*ġanna*) as a place concealed by trees, and that for ‘grave’ (*ġanan*) as a place that conceals a body, or for ‘shelter’ or ‘shield’ (*ġunna*) by which a person is veiled or concealed.⁷

It is with the *ġinn* that we are here concerned; those spiritual beings, concealed from the senses, interfering harmfully or helpfully, a middle class, suggests Lane, between angels and demons. The voices of the *ġinn* are not infrequently heard; Ibn Saʿd and al-Balāḍurī, for example, mention the rumour that the death of ‘Ubāda b. Saʿd was at the hands of the *ġinn*, who are overheard

God’s curse as a ‘robe of honour’: “To be cursed by Thee is a thousand times dearer to me than to turn my head away from Thee to anything else” (SCHIMMEL A., *Mystical Dimensions of Islam*, 1975: 95).

² Q. 2:36, Q. 12:5, Q. 17:53, Q. 35:8, Q. 36:60 et al.

³ Q. 24:21, Q. 2:208 et al.

⁴ Q. 6:43, Q. 8:48, Q. 16:63, Q. 27:24, Q. 29:38, Q. 35:8 et al.

⁵ Q. 12:42, Q. 58:19, Q. 18:63, Q. 6:68, 142 et al.

⁶ Q. 7:27.

⁷ Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. II, 1968: 402–403, WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 164.

boasting of what they have done,⁸ al-Baġdādī reports Bashr b. al-Ḥārīt hearing them lamenting the death of his uncle⁹ and al-Ṭabarī, Ibn ‘Asākir, Ibn Kaṭīr and Ibn Ḥaġar al-‘Asqalānī recount their mourning the death of the second Caliph, ‘Umar b. al-Ḥaṭṭāb.¹⁰

It is the voice of these *ġinn* that Umm Salama, and not her alone,¹¹ will hear on the night air, lamenting the killing of al-Ḥusayn. The reports that narrate these events are quite often repetitive and contradictory; consequently, there are several individuals or groups who are recipients of these auricular intrusions. At the head of these stands, once again, Umm Salama. In its most undorned form, going back as far as Ibn Ḥanbal, Umm Salama reports that she heard the *ġinn* lamenting or weeping over al-Ḥusayn:¹²

⁸ Ibn Sa‘d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. VII, n. 3697: 274, al-Balādhurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asraf*, vol. I, bb. *al-Qawl fī-l-sīrat al-nabawīyya al-sharīfa*, n. 1193: 589, al-Dāraquṭnī., *al-Muṭalīf wa-l-muḥtalīf*, vol. II: 912, 935, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Ḍiker ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*, n. 5167: 307, al-Dahabī., *Sīyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. I, n. 55 (*Sa‘d b. ‘Abāda*): 277–278.

⁹ al-Baġdādī., *Tārīḥ Baġdād*, vol. VII, n. 3517: 80.

¹⁰ al-Ṭabarī., *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. IV, n. 2764/1: 219, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XLIV, bb. 5206 (*‘Umar b. al-Ḥaṭṭāb*): 480, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. VII, bb. *Ḍiker ba‘d mā ru‘ya bi-bi*: 140 (Ibn Kaṭīr records it as ‘the women of the district’ [*al-ḥayy*] rather than ‘the women of the *ġinn*’), al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 3938: 280). According to al-Dāraquṭnī, ‘Ā’isha claimed that the *ġinn* wept over ‘Umar for three days before he died (al-Dāraquṭnī., *al-Muṭalīf wa-l-muḥtalīf*, vol. III: 183).

¹¹ Ibn al-Ġawzī notes that it was said that the *ġinn* lamented the killing of al-Ḥasan for seven days so that they were heard under the seven regions, and that the angels too all wept over him. Since this is reported in a chapter about the death of al-Ḥusayn, it is almost certainly a misprint in the text, and it is over al-Ḥusayn, rather than his brother, that they wept (Ibn al-Ġawzī., *Bustān al-wa‘iẓīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmī‘īn*, bb. 414: 262). For a description of the seven regions cf. NASR S.H., *The Islamic Intellectual Tradition in Persia*, Curzon Press, Surrey 1996: 20–21.

¹² Ibn Ḥanbal., *Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā’il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, n. 1373: 776, Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim., *al-Āḥād wa-l-maṭānī*, vol. I, bb. 36

On the authority of Umm Salama, who said: I heard the *ġinn* lamenting over al-Ḥusayn on the day he was killed. They¹³ were saying: “You killers, in ignorance, of Ḥusayn, rejoice¹⁴ in torment and maltreatment; all the people of the heavens call down curses on you, from the Prophet and those sent, and the fallen. You have been cursed by the tongue of Ibn Dāwud, and Mūsā and the one entrusted with the gospel.”¹⁵

Ibn Kaṭīr notes that another path, also on the authority of Umm Salama, reports verses different from these, and that ‘only God knows’.¹⁶ He is quite correct; not only will a completely different set of verses be placed on the lips of the *ġinn* in Umm Salama’s hearing, but the verses addressed to those who had killed al-Ḥusayn are heard in a number of other settings, by recipients other

(*Min ḍikri al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 425: 308, al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Mu‘ġam al-kabīr*, vol. III, bb. *al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 2862: 121, n. 2867: 122, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 239–240, al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqbā*, bb. 9: 255–256 (adding that Umm Salama said: “It rained blood on us”), al-Dahabī, *Sīyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 316, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 575, al-Haytamī, *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), nn. 15179, 15180: 234, al-‘Asqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, n. 1729: 72, *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Ḥā’*, n. 615: 355.

¹³ Feminine, plural.

¹⁴ I have taken this as a 4th form, masculine plural imperative, used in the same sense as when, at Karbalā’, al-Ḥusayn is told to ‘hurry’ to the fire

¹⁵ Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 62, Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Tadkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-ḍikr ḥaṣā’is al-a’imma*: 241, Ibn al-‘Adīm, *Buḡyat al-talab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*: 2650, Ibn Namā, *Muṭīr al-abḥzān*, bb. *Nūḥ al-ġinn ‘alā al-Ḥusayn*: 108, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 240, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 575.

¹⁶ Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 575.

than Umm Salama and recited by a variety of voices. Ibn al-Aṭīr, for example, places these verses not in the mouths of the *ǧinn*, but of some of the residents of Medina,¹⁷ while a number of transmitters write of Umm Salama hearing them spoken by a voice or voices not identified as the *ǧinn*:

On the night al-Ḥusayn was killed, Umm Salama said: I heard a speaker saying: “You killers, in ignorance, of Ḥusayn, rejoice in torment and maltreatment. You have been cursed by the tongue of Ibn Dāwud, and Mūsā and the one entrusted with the gospel.” She said: I wept...I opened the glass vial and there had begun to be blood in it.¹⁸

However, far more frequently, as early as al-Ṭabarī and al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, the verses are disassociated from the Umm Salama narratives around Karbalā’, and are attributed to an unknown voice or voices, sometimes attributed to the *ǧinn*, and heard by the people of Medina.¹⁹ A proportion of these is on the authority of ‘Amr b.

¹⁷ Ibn al-Aṭīr., *al-Kāmil fī-t-tārīḥ*, vol. III: 441.

¹⁸ al-Shaḡarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamisiyya*, vol. II, bb. *Fī ḍiker ‘Ashbūrā*, n. 1800: 115, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 33: 107–108, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 34: 241, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 11, bb. 6, n. 9: 128.

¹⁹ al-Ṭabarī., *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. V, n. 385: 467, al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1107: 167, al-Shaḡarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamisiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 807: 228, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III, *Ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 301, Ibn Tā’ūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatla al-ṭufūf*: 99, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 568, al-Mūsawī., *Tasḥīyat al-muḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II, Part Two, *maḡlis* 8: 372, al-Bahrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘ājiḥ fī mu‘ǧiẓāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 254/1201: 178, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 123, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 6: 238, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 3, n. 3: 391, al-Ġazā’irī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 244. Ibn al-Aṭīr moves the location from Medina to Karbalā’ (Ibn al-Aṭīr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III: 301).

‘Ikrima, who describes waking up in Medina the morning after al-Ḥusayn’s killing to be told by an associate or a servant that in the night a group of them had heard an unknown herald calling out the verses.²⁰ Al-Shāmī attributes to such an unknown herald a less well-attested verse:

It was said: I heard a reciter on the air in Medina, saying: “Let he who professes the merit of the family of Muḥammad convey our message without delay! The wicked of the Umayyads have killed the master, the best of creation, the noble one, the man in charge, son of the Chosen in heaven and its earth, grandson of the Prophet and destroyer of idols. The places of sunrise and the places of sunset wept after it; living creatures wept for him in every place. They were made to weep for the stranger to Karbalā’ and its sands, the son of the Prophet and of the best of the women!” They came and told Umm Salama about him, and she noticed that the glass vial and become blood.²¹

Ibn Namā takes note of the disembodied nature of the voice:

The *ǧinn* lamented over him. There was a band of the Prophet’s companions, among them al-Miswar b. Maḥrama; the men listened closely to the lament and wept...on the authority of ‘Ikrima, that he heard in Medina, on the night (al-Ḥusayn) was killed, a herald, whose person they could hear but not see: “You killers, in ignorance, of Ḥusayn, rejoice²² in torment and maltreatment: all the people of the heavens call down curses

²⁰ al-Ṭabarī., *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. V, n. 385: 467, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 10: 197, Ibn Aṣṭam., *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 134, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 568, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 6: 238, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 2: 481.

²¹ al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-labābīm*, bb. 5 (*Faṣl fī ḍikr maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*): 536.

²² By my reading, *abshir* is the imperative of the 4th form of the verb (*abshara-yubshiru*) meaning ‘to rejoice’.

on you, from the Prophet and those sent and the fallen. You have been cursed by the tongue of Ibn Dāwud, and Mūsā and the one entrusted with the gospel.”²³

Not all transmitters follow this narrative; the verses are also placed on the lips of an unseen herald calling out from a local cemetery,²⁴ or simply as a voice heard from the heavens.²⁵ In Ibn A‘tam, the men carrying the head of al-Ḥusayn hear the dirge recited by an unidentified voice as they arrive in Damascus,²⁶ while Ibn Shahrāshūb, followed by al-Iṣfahānī and al-Maḡlisī, and reporting from al-Ṭabarī, places the verses into the mouths of the angels rather than the *ḡinn*.²⁷

²³ Ibn Namā, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān*, bb. *Nūḥ al-ḡinn ‘alā al-Ḥusayn*: 107–108, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 2: 235–236, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 12: 486.

²⁴ al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fi faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1109: 168.

²⁵ Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Tadkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkar ḥaṣā’iṣ al-a’imma*: 242 (who narrates that it was specifically al-Ḥusayn’s killers who heard the voice), al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 39: 199 (an unidentified voice from heaven).

²⁶ Ibn A‘tam, *Kitāb al-futūḥ*, vol. V: 134. Cf. also Ibn Bābawayh, *Kitāb al-amālī fi al-aḥādīṯ wa-l-aḥbār*, maḡlis 31, n. 3: 165, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl, *Rawḍat al-wā’iẓīn wa-taḥsīrat al-mutta’iẓīn*, vol. I: 190, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡīz fi mu’ḡīzāt al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 246/1193: 172, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 3: 155, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 1: 395.

²⁷ Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 63, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 3: 236, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 4, n. 23: 481. Ibn Ġarīr al-Ṭabarī certainly mentions the lines in his history (*Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. V, n. 385: 467), but places them on the lips of an unknown herald in Medina rather than of the angels. The verses are not recorded by either by Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī in his *Dalā’il al-imāma* or in his *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*, or by Muḥib al-Dīn al-Ṭabarī in his *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqbā*.

A further set of verses, with slight variations in the vocabulary used, is placed upon the lips of the *ġinn*, specified in this instance in a number of texts as a female member of that species, and most popularly heard by Umm Salama:

On the authority of Ḥabīb b. Abī Tābit, who said: Umm Salama said: I never heard the lament of the *ġinn* since the Prophet died except for tonight, and I never dreamt of my son except when he had been killed – she had in mind al-Ḥusayn – and she said to a maid: Go out and ask. She was informed that he had been killed, and then a (female) *ġinn* lamented: “O eye, shed tears in effort, for who will weep over the martyrs after me, over a band led by fate, to a tyrant in the possession of a servant?”²⁸

According to al-Ḥawārizmī however, Umm Salama is not the first to report these words; at a place called al-Ḥuzamiyya, on the way to Karbalā’, an unnerved Zaynab bt. ‘Alī, who is accompanying al-Ḥusayn, informs her brother that she has heard a voice or voices calling in the night in a lament she does not understand: “O eye,

²⁸ Or, in some texts, “to a tyrant in power”; cf. al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Mu’ġam al-kabīr*, vol. III, bb. *al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 2869: 122, al-Qāḍī al-Nu’mān., *Sharḥ al-ahbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1107: 167. Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 1: 189, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī al-aḥādīth wa-l-ahbār*, maḡlis 19, n. 2: 139, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā’iẓīn wa-taḥsirat al-mutta’iẓīn*, vol. I: 170, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. *al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*: 241, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 34: 108, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 62, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buġyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*: 2651, Ibn Namā., *Muṭīr al-ahzān*, bb. *Nūḥ al-ġinn ‘alā al-Ḥusayn*: 108, al-Ṭabarī., *Daḡā’ir al-‘uqūba*, Part One, bb. 9: 256, al-Hayṭamī., *Maġma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15175: 575, al-Bahrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡīz fī mu’ġizat al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 245/1192, al-Maġlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 8: 238–239, vol. LX, bb. 2, n. 3: 65, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-ahbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 4: 482.

truly, be extravagant in effort, for who will weep over the martyrs after me, over a people whom fate drove, by decree, to the discharge of a promise?²⁹ A further discrepancy is that a handful of transmitters report these verses as being heard by an unspecified group of people in Medina (“Just before daybreak, the people of Medina heard the lament of the *ǧinn* over al-Ḥusayn, and from them came one female *ǧinn* saying: O eye, truly, be bathed in tears...”),³⁰ while some of the Shīʿī scholars provide an expanded version of the lament:

O eye, be generous in tears and weep, for the news has turned out to be true!

Weep for the son of Fāṭima, who reached the Euphrates and never returned!

The *ǧinn* wept in their distress when the news of him came!

Al-Ḥusayn and his band have been killed! What appalling news!

Surely, I will weep for you, tormented, in the evening and at daybreak!

Surely, I will weep for you as long as a vein flows and as long as the trees bear.³¹

²⁹ al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part One, bb. *Fī ḥurūǧ al-Ḥusayn min Makka ilā al-ʿIrāq*: 323–4, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 95, al-Maǧlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 37, n. 2: 372 al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XI, ch. 25, bb. 2: 961, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 1: 232, al-Ḡazāʾirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 217.

³⁰ al-Shaǧarī, *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamīsīyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*, n. 807: 228, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāǧiẓ fī muʿǧiẓāt al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, n. 271/1218: 192–194, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*: 575.

³¹ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 11: 197, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāǧiẓ fī muʿǧiẓāt al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 255/1202: 179, al-Maǧlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 7: 238, al-

Other individuals aside from Zaynab and Umm Salama are said to have heard the dirge; while Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim reports the words from Maymūna,³² another transmitter traces the lines back to a woman named Laylā (“I heard Laylā saying: I heard the lament of the *ġinn* over al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī”),³³ but also contends that he himself heard the words:

On the authority of ‘Alī b. al-Ḥazawwar, who said: I heard the lament of the *ġinn* over al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī. They were saying: “O eye, be generous in tears, for the sorrowing weep with burning and agony! O eye, sleep with its goodness distracts you from remembrance of the family of Muḥammad and lament! Their bodies passed three nights on the plateau, between wild beasts, all of them in the place of death.”³⁴

An entirely dissimilar lament is heard by a group of plasterers (*al-ġaṣṣāṣūm*), going out to the cemetery on the night that al-Ḥusayn is killed:

On the authority of Abū Ḥubāb al-Kalbī, who said: I was told by the plasterers, who said: “When we were going out to the cemetery on the night when al-Ḥusayn was killed, we heard the *ġinn* lamenting him and saying: ‘The Messenger stroked his brow; he had a lustre in his cheeks. His parents are from the

Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘ayāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 3: 482.

³² Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim., *al-Āḥād wa-l-matānī*, vol. I, bb. 36 (*Min dīkri al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 426: 308, al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Mu‘ġam al-kaḥbīr*, vol. III, bb. *al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*, n. 2868: 122.

³³ al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āğīz fī mu‘ġizāt al-a‘imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 249/1196: 174, al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 13: 241, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘ayāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 9: 485.

³⁴ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 5: 192–193, al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 13: 241.

loftiest of the Quraysh, his grandfather the best of grandfathers’.”³⁵

As with all the other verses, this lament too is said to have been heard by others aside from this group of workmen. This is sometimes Abū Ḥubāb al-Kalbī himself, or a poet, or at times a nobleman who is questioned about what he and others heard:

On the authority of Abū Ḥubāb al-Kalbī, who said: I came to Karbalāʾ, and said to man among the eminent Arabs there: It has come to me that you heard the lament of the *ḡinn*? He replied: “You will encounter neither a freeman nor a servant but that he will inform you he had heard it.” He said [I said]: Inform me of what you yourself heard? He answered: “I heard them saying: ‘The Messenger stroked his brow; he had a lustre

³⁵ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muḡam al-kabīr*, vol. III, bb. *al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*, nn. 2865, 2866: 121–122, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 3: 191–192, al-Shaḡarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamīsiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*, n. 793: 217, n. 807: 228, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ʿuqūba qāṭil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 35: 108–109, Sibṭ al-Ḡawzī., *Taḍkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkar ḥaṣāʾiṣ al-aʿimma*: 242, Ibn al-ʿAdīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*: 2651–2652 (from Abū Ḡanāb), Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *Kitāb al-luḥūf fī qatḷā al-ṭufūf*: 114, Ibn Namā., *Muṭīr al-abḡān*, bb. *Nūḥ al-ḡinn ʿalā al-Ḥusayn*: 108, al-Zarandī., *Naẓm durar al-simṭayn fī faḍāʾil al-muṣṭafā wa-l-murtaḍā wa-l-batūl wa-l-sibṭayn*: 223 (from Abū Ḡanāb al-Kalbī), al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡmaʿ al-zawāʿid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʿid*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*), n. 15176: 575, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-muḡālīs wa-zīnat al-maḡālīs*, vol. II, Part Two, *maḡlīs* 9: 459 (from Abū Ḡanāb al-Kalbī), al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-maʿāḡiẓ fī muḡiẓāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 247/1194: 174, n. 271/1218: 192 (a female *ḡinn* replying to the verse “O eye, shed tears...”), al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 146–7, bb. 43, n. 11: 241, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 19: 446, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 7: 484, al-Ḡazāʾirī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 252, 337.

in his cheeks. His parents are from the loftiest of the Quraysh, his grandfather the best of grandfathers.’”³⁶

In al-Suyūṭī’s *al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ*, this dirge is heard by Ḥabīb b. Abī Ṭābit and by the mother of Ibn Ḡābir al-Ḥaḍramī,³⁷ while Ibn Kaṭīr situates it in Karbalā’,³⁸ and in a lengthy transmission, al-Baḥrānī proposes it as a response by a (female) *ḡinn* to the lament of a fellow-*ḡinn*, which urged the eye to be generous in its weeping:

Another *ḡinn* answered her: “The Messenger stroked his brow; he had a lustre in the cheeks. His father is from the loftiest of the Quraysh, his grandfather the best of grandfathers. They marched against him with spears, the vilest of creatures and envoys; they killed him unjustly! Woe to them, they have dwelt in the fire of eternity.” When the people of Medina heard that, they strew dust on their heads and cried out: Ḥusayn! Son of the Prophet’s daughter! They went to the grave of the Messenger of God, consoling him over his son al-Ḥusayn; then they remained consoling him for three days.³⁹

³⁶ Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 241, Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. III, bb. *Fī imāmat al-sibtayn*: 390, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-labābīm*, bb. 5, *Fī dīkar al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 569, al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II: 57 (who, on the authority of Abū Ḥabbāb, puts the story on the lips of a man from the Ṭayy tribe; cf. TRIMINGHAM J.S., *Christianity among the Arabs in Pre-Islamic Times*, Longman Group Unlimited, London 1979: 312), al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar a’lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 316–317, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLV, bb. 44, n. 15: 288.

³⁷ al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ al-kubrā*, vol. II: 454–455. The latter hears the *ḡinn* saying: “I announce the death of Ḥusayn as a bereaved mother! Ḥusayn was a mountain.”

³⁸ Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 572.

³⁹ al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma’āḡiẓ fī mu’ḡiẓāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 272/1219: 194–196. The first of al-Baḥrānī’s two transmissions is probably older, by virtue of its more arcane language, as well as a change in the

A small group of transmitters report the verse entirely apart from the *ġinn* and from the Karbalāʾ event, putting the lines instead into the mouth of an Arab who has been asking questions of al-Ḥusayn about his lineage, and who then recites the verses in homage.⁴⁰

Scattered throughout the Sunnī and Shīʿī books is a selection of less well-attested elegies attributed to the *ġinn* as they lament al-Ḥusayn, or to unspecified mourners. Ibn Namā records an unidentified voice in Baṣra crying out: “The arriving lances, proceeding in the direction of al-Ḥusayn to combat the revelation, are jubilant that you have been killed! But with you they have killed the *takbīr* and the *tablīl*!”⁴¹ It is as if they had killed your father Muḥammad, or Ġibrīl!”⁴² The same Ibn Namā, and al-Maġlisī after him, transmitting from Ibn al-Ġawzī,⁴³ conveys words which contrast the shine on the faces of the female *ġinn* with their sepulchral clothing: “The best of the women of the *ġinn* weep, distressed, beat cheeks like pure dinars, and dress in black garments.” The historians al-Ṭabarī, Ibn ʿAsākir and Ibn Kaṭīr narrate verses almost identical to these; but in all three cases they refer to a lament sung at the death of the

language used and certain strands (like the *ġinn*) omitted in the second narration.

⁴⁰ al-Ḥazzāz., *Kifāyat al-aṭar fī-l-nuṣūṣ ʿalā al-aʾimma al-iṭnā ʿasbarī*, bb. *Ma ḡāʾ ʿan al-Ḥusayn*: 234, al-Baḥrānī., *al-Inṣāf fī-l-naṣṣ ʿalā al-aʾimma*: 260, al-Maġlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XXXVI, bb. 43, n. 5: 385.

⁴¹ The declarations that ‘God is the greatest’ (*allāhu akbar*) and that ‘there is no god but God’ (*lā ilāha illā llāh*).

⁴² Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān*, bb. *Nūḥ al-ġinn ʿalā al-Ḥusayn*: 108, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-maʿāḡīz fī muʿġīzāt al-aʾimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 272/1219: 194–196 (words heard by Umm Salama during a sleepless night), al-Maġlisī., *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 2: 235, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 12: 486, al-Ġazāʾirī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʾimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 274 (a voice heard in Baṣra), 324.

⁴³ Apparently from Ibn al-Ġawzī’s *Kitāb al-nūr fī faḍāʾil al-ayyām wa-l-shubūr*; but as it stands, this transmission is found in Sibṭ al-Ġawzī’s *Tadkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkr ḥaṣāʾiṣ al-aʾimma*: 242 (“The best of the women of the *ġinn* weep, distressed, beat cheeks like pure dinars, and dress in black garments”).

second Caliph, ‘Umar b. al-Ḥaṭṭāb, and while Ibn ‘Asākir keeps the lines on the lips of a female *ǧinn* (“The women of the *ǧinn* weep over you, distressed, scratching faces over you, like pure dinars...”), al-Ṭabarī and Ibn Kaṭīr put them into the mouth of unnamed (Muslim) women (“The women of the tribe will weep for you, grieving, they will weep, scratching their faces [previously] like [shining] dinars [all] pure, and wearing clothes of grief after their finery”).⁴⁴ Al-Ḥawārizmī and Ibn Shahrāshūb document Sa‘dā⁴⁵ bt. Mālik al-Ḥuzā‘ī claiming that she heard the *ǧinn* lamenting the over al-Ḥusayn with the words: “Son of the martyr, and martyr whose uncle, Ġa‘far al-Ṭayyār, is the best of uncles, astonishing the burnished cutting edge, hitting you in that face of yours, and you, already covered in dust.”⁴⁶

Ibn Qūlawayh and Ibn Kaṭīr record a lament which finds its way onto the lips of a variety of people in diverse situations – Zaynab bt. ‘Alī, or one of the daughters of ‘Aqīl, or ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn, or a bareheaded and unnamed woman from the women of ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib, or the *ǧinn*: “The *ǧinn* wept over al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī

⁴⁴ Cf. al-Ṭabarī, *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*, vol. IV, n. 2764/1: 219, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XLIV, bb. 5206 (‘Umar b. al-Ḥaṭṭāb): 480, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. VII, bb. *Ḍiker ba‘d mā ru‘ya bi-hi*: 140. Cf. also al-‘Asqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 3938: 280, al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ al-kubrā*, vol. II: 445 (without the verse as part of their lament).

⁴⁵ In other places, Sa‘ida.

⁴⁶ al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 44: 113, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 62, al-Mūsawī, *Tashīyat al-maḡālīs wa zīnat al-maḡālīs*, vol. II, *maḡlīs* 9: 472, al-Bahrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡiz fī mu‘ǧizāt al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 270/1217: 191, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 3: 236, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 8, n. 1: 498, al-Ġazā’irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 275. In a second, expanded version from Dī‘bil in al-Ḥawārizmī, al-Mūsawī, al-Bahrānī, al-Iṣfahānī and al-Maḡlisī, which brands as ‘donkeys’ those who prohibit visits to the tomb of al-Ḥusayn, “that face of yours, already covered in dust.”

b. Abī Ṭālib, saying: What will you say if the Prophet says to you; what have you, the last of the communities, done with the people of my house and my brothers and my honour after my departure, from among the prisoners and the killed, reddened with blood?⁴⁷ One of al-Ḥusayn's aunts in Medina – although others are also reported as hearing these words – tells him that she too has heard the *ḡinn* lamenting with loud weeping for him, saying:

The slain one of al-Ṭaff, from the family of Hāshim, subdued Qurayshī necks and they were humbled. Beloved of the Messenger of God, never immoderate, your misfortune distinguishes and goes beyond the lofty. They also said: Weep for Ḥusayn, master! Because of his killing, hair has turned white, and because of his killing you have been shaken and because of his killing the moon was eclipsed and the horizons of the sky turned red at early morning and nightfall. The sun of the countries has become dust-covered, the districts grown dark. That is the son of Fāṭima; all created things and humankind are stricken on his account. We have drawn down ignominy on his account, what is lofty cut off by peril.⁴⁸

⁴⁷ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 6: 193, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḫ*, vol. III, *Ḍikr maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 300, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 243, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*: 567, al-ʿAsqalānī., *Tabḏīb al-tabḏīb*, vol. II, bb. *al-Ḥāʾ*, n. 615: 353, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 4: 237, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 1: 481. Cf. also al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 71, 84, CLOHESSY C., *Half of My Heart. The Narratives of Zaynab, Daughter of ʿAlī*, 2018: 204–206.

⁴⁸ al-Qāḏī al-Nuʿmān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḏāʾil al-aʿimma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1108: 167–168, Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 8: 194–196, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 62, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-maʿāḡīz fī muʿḡīzāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 253/1200: 177–178, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 26: 88–89, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 13, bb. 2, n. 6: 316.

From al-Mağlisī come an assortment of long narratives; the first concerns an Asadī⁴⁹ man, a farmer working on the banks of the al-‘Alqamī river in Karbalā’ after the departure of al-Yazīd’s forces and who, as part of an extended vision which includes the scent of musk and ambergris on the air and also prominently features a lion, encounters the *ǧinn*:

I began to watch until the darkness grew intense and confusing. Suddenly, the earth was filled with suspended wax candles and weeping, sobbing and a harrowing beating of chests. I made for the sounds, and behold, they were under the earth. I understood from an announcer of death among them, who was saying: “Alas, Ḥusayn! Alas, Imām!” I got goose flesh, and I drew closer to the one weeping and entreated him by God and His Messenger as to who he was. He⁵⁰ answered: “I am a woman of the *ǧinn*.” I said: What is your business?⁵¹ They replied: “Every day and night, this is our ceremony of mourning for the slaughtered and thirsty al-Ḥusayn.” I said: Is that al-Ḥusayn by whom the lion is sitting? They replied: “Yes, and do you know this lion?” I said: No, and they replied: “This is his father, ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib.” I returned, and my tears were flowing down my cheek.⁵²

The next, from Hind bt. Ğawn, is about a boxthorn tree outside the tent of her aunt Umm Ma‘bad upon which Muḥammad discharges the water of his ablutions. In fulfilment of his prediction, the tree grows tall, with deep roots and miraculous fruit, its thorns removed by God.⁵³ With the death of Muḥammad, its now famous

⁴⁹ al-Ṭabarī and others report that it was members of the Banū Asad who had buried the bodies of al-Ḥusayn and his companions the day after ‘Āshūrā. Cf. HOWARD I.K.A., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, vol. XIX, 1990: 163. Cf. also Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 111.

⁵⁰ The Arabic is still in the masculine form.

⁵¹ Now in the feminine plural.

⁵² al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 36: 194.

⁵³ The description of the tree is reminiscent of the trees the prophet Ezekiel describes in Ez. 47:12 («Fruit trees of all kinds will grow on both banks

fruit is diminished in taste and size and the leaves fade. Thirty years later, with the death of ‘Alī, the thorns grow back and the fruits cease. With the death of al-Ḥusayn, fresh blood flows from the trunk and increasing and often unintelligible wailing is heard at the base of the tree at night, with the lament: “Son of the Prophet, son of the Trustee, the best⁵⁴ of our honourable masters.” The story continues with the lament transmitted al-Ḥawārizmī and Ibn Shahrāshūb from Sa’dā bt. Mālik al-Ḥuzā‘ī, who has heard the *ḡinn* singing of the burnish of the sword that struck al-Ḥusayn even as he already lay in the dust.⁵⁵

From al-Maḡlisī comes another narrative involving five men of Kūfa who, unlike the majority of their fellow citizens, are keen to assist al-Ḥusayn, and who meet two men of the *ḡinn*. In order that the Kūfans might ‘travel with foresight’, one of the *ḡinn* flies off to bring news to the men:

He disappeared for a day and a night, and when morning came, they heard a voice but without seeing anyone, and it was saying: “By God, I did not come to you until I saw him in al-Ṭaff, the cheeks dust-covered, butchered, around him young men, their chests blood-smearing, like lamps, unflagging light in the gloom. I spurred on my young she-camel so as to encounter them before they met the maidens of Paradise.”⁵⁶ Al-Ḥusayn

of the river. Their leaves will not wither, nor will their fruit fail. Every month they will bear fruit, because the water from the sanctuary flows to them. Their fruit will serve for food and their leaves for healing). Ezekiel’s trees are miraculous in their fruits and foliage because they are nourished by the water that flows from the sanctuary of God. In the *ḥadīṡ*, the fruits are large, with a distinct taste and odour, and are able to satisfy thirst and hunger and increase the health and the yield of animals. The whole area becomes fertile and a place in which desert dwellers find nourishment and rest.

⁵⁴ Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. I, 1968: 238.

⁵⁵ al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 44: 114, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡīz fī mu‘ḡīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 270/1217: 189–191, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 1: 233–235.

⁵⁶ The word *ḥurud*, the plural of *ḥarīda*, means ‘virgins’; the Arabic singular *ḥawra’* (with its plural *ḥūr*) has come to mean the ‘maidens of Par-

was a lamp by which to be illumined – God knows that I do not speak falsehood – delighting in chambers close to the Messenger of God, the Virgin⁵⁷ and the one who flies.”⁵⁸

adise’, the large black pupils of whose eyes are in sharp and beautiful contrast to the whiteness of the irises and the paleness of their skin. A similar text in al-Mağlīsī adds: “but divine decree prevented me, an order determined and decreed by God.”

⁵⁷ Fāṭima, mother of al-Ḥusayn. The designation ‘virgin’ is expressed by the Arabic *al-‘aḍrā’* and more frequently by *al-batūl*.

⁵⁸ The ‘one entrusted’ (*waṣī*) is ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib and the ‘one who flies’ (*al-ṭayyār*) Ğa‘far b. Abī Ṭālib. A reference to ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib and Ğa‘far b. Abī Ṭālib. Ğa‘far (d. 8/629), a companion to Muḥammad and an older brother of ‘Alī, was known as *al-ṭayyār* (‘the flyer’) or *dū al-ḡanāḥayn* (‘possessor of wings’) because after his death at the 8/629 Battle of Muṭa, Muḥammad, as he prayed and wept for him, received a vision in which Ġibrīl promised that God had given Ğa‘far a pair of wings in place of his arms which had been cut off in battle. Cf. for e.g. al-Hilālī, *Kitāb Sulaym b. Qays*, vol. II, *al-ḥadīṭ al-awwal*: 567, Abū Miḥnaf., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 206, Ibn Furāt al-Kūfī, *Tafsīr Furāt b. Ibrāhīm al-Kūfī, sūrat al-Nisā’*: 112–113, *sūrat al-Shūrā*: 397, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fi-l-aḥādīṭ wa-l-aḥbār, mağlīs* 72, n. 15: 476, *Ikmāl (kamāl) al-dīn wa-itmām (tamām) al-ni‘ma fi iṭbāt al-ḡayba wa-kashf al-ḥayra*, vol. I, bb. 24, n. 10: 264, al-Ṭabarī., *Dalā’il al-imāma*, n. 74/470: 479, *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*, Part Three: 115, Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl., *Rawḍat al-wā‘iẓīn wa-taḥṣīrat al-mutta‘iẓīn*, vol. II: 269, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. II, *faṣl fi-l-iḥwa*: 189, vol. III, *faṣl fi marākibi-hi*: 233, al-Ṭabarsī., *Kitāb al-iḥtiḡāḡ ‘alā ahl al-liḡāḡ*, vol. I: 177, vol. II: 301, Ibn al-Ḥadīd., *Sharḥ naḡ al-balāḡa*, vol. I, Part One: 69, vol. XI, Part Two: 118, vol. XV, Part Fifteen: 62, 182, 278–280, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fi ma‘rifat al-a‘imma*, vol. I, Part One, n. 13: 5, n. 17: 30, al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma., *Kashf al-yaqīn fi faḍā’il amīr al-mu’minīn*, Part Three, bb. 3: 192, 315, *Naḡ al-ḥaqq wa-kashf al-ṣiḡḡ*: 253, 391, al-Daylamī., *Irshād al-qulūb*, vol. II, Part Three: 320, 330, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-maḡālīs wa ḡināt al-maḡālīs*, vol. II, *mağlīs* 7: 316, al-Baḥrānī., *al-Burbān fi tafsīr al-qur’ān*, vol. II, *sūrat al-An‘ām*: 495, *sūrat al-A‘rāf*: 554, *Ḥilyat al-abrār fi aḥwāl Muḥammad wa āli-hi al-aṭḥār*, vol. II, bb. 16: 145, bb. 45: 401. Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ḡiyārāt*, bb. 29, n. 2: 189–191.

Al-Mağlisī relates an almost identical story from a *shayḥ* of the Banū Tamīm who claims not to have been aware of the killing of al-Ḥusayn until the night of ‘Āshūrā. He and a companion hear a similar lament, which ends:

God bless the body enclosed by the grave of al-Ḥusayn, ally of the good, buried in chambers near to the Messenger of God and happy with the Trustee and the one who flies. We said: Who are you, may God have mercy on you? He replied: “I and my family are from among the *ǧinn*. We desired to support al-Ḥusayn and console him ourselves, so we relinquished the Pilgrimage and found him killed.”⁵⁹

This is a book about encounters; asleep or awake, with angels or *ǧinn* or exalted Islamic personalities returning in visions to warn, lament or chastise. All of the encounters centre around the person and fate of al-Ḥusayn; but long before his death on the field of Karbalā’, there was another member of Muḥammad’s community glimpsing angels, and that was ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās. The texts agree that Ibn ‘Abbās was born three years before the 622 *hiǧra*, so that the discrepancies (ranging from ten years to fifteen years) over how old he was when Muḥammad died in 11/632 are puzzling. He would have been thirteen at the Prophet’s death, and so even younger when he first began to sight angels.⁶⁰ The double claim of

⁵⁹ al-Mufid., *al-Amālī, maǧlis* 38, n. 7: 320, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī-l-aḥādīṯ wa-l-aḥbār, maǧlis* 3, n. 50–141: 90, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 9: 239–240, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 5: 483.

⁶⁰ al-Balāḍurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asbāf*, vol. IV, bb. ‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*: 39, al-Ḥākim al-Nisābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Diḳr ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*, nn. 6354, 6365, 6356: 656–657, Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr., *al-Istī‘āb fī ma‘rifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 933–934, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XXIX, bb. 3363 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 285, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Usd al-ǧāba fī ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāb*, vol. III, n. 3035 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 189, al-Ṭabarī., *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqba*, bb. 3: 373, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a‘lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 51 (‘*Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās*): 332, 335, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa fī-hi tawaffā ‘Abd*

Ibn ‘Abbās is that Muḥammad twice invoked a blessing on him (diversely expressed in the texts) and that twice he saw Ġibrīl. The blessing that Muḥammad invokes, invariably in prayer but accompanied by rubbing the boy’s head or putting his hand between the boy’s shoulder blades, is that God would endow Ibn ‘Abbās with wisdom (*al-ḥikma*) and interpretation (*ta’wīl*), instruct him in religion (*dīn*), fill him with knowledge and understanding, and count him among the people of faith (*imān*).⁶¹

Ibn ‘Abbās certainly claims to have seen Ġibrīl with Muḥammad. The incident is diversely narrated; in its most modest form, the boy Ibn ‘Abbās visits with Muḥammad. There is a man

Allāb b. ‘Abbās: 85, al-‘Asqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 4779: 229–230, *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. V, n. 474: 276.

⁶¹ Ibn Abī Shayba, *Muṣannaḥ*, vol. X, bb. 27, n. 32813: 514, nn. 32818, 32820: 515–516, Ibn Ḥanbal, *Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā’il ‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*, nn. 1560, 1561: 846, al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 4 (*Kitāb al-wuḍū’*), bb. 10, n. 143: 140, vol. V. bk. 62 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-nabī*), bb. 24, n. 3765: 70, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 30, n. [6368] 138 - (2477): 342–343, Ibn Ḥanbal, *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad ‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*: 266, 314, 328, 335, al-Tirmidī, *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqib*), bb. 42, n. 3823–3824: 460–461, Ibn Sa’d., *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, vol. II, (*Ibn ‘Abbās*): 365, 370, al-Balāḍurī, *Kitāb ansāb al-asraḥ*, vol. IV, bb. *‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*: 39–42, al-Bazzār, *Musnad*, vol. XI, *Musnad Ibn ‘Abbās*, n. 4921: 180–181, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī, *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb ma’rifat al-ṣaḥāba*), nn. 6358, 6359: 658, Abū Nu‘aym., *Ḥilyat al-awliyā’ wa-ṭabaqāt al-aṣṣiyā’*, vol. I, bb. 45 (*‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*), nn. 1111–1113: 389–390, n. 1119: 391, Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr., *al-Istī‘āb fī ma’rifat al-aṣḥāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 935, Ibn al-Ġawzī, *Ṣifāt al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. I: 314, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *Usd al-ġāba fī ma’rifat al-ṣaḥāb*, vol. III, n. 3035 (*‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*): 186, al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥā’ir al-‘uqbā*, bb. 3: 376/379, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar a’lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 51 (*‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*): 334, 336–339, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa fī-hi tawaffā ‘Abd Allāb b. ‘Abbās*: 81–83, al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 155, nn. 15515, 15516 (where it is Ġibrīl, not Muḥammad, who twice invokes blessing on him; cf. also al-Ṭabarānī., *Mu‘ġam al-kabīr*, vol. XI, n. 11108: 80), 15517: 330, al-‘Asqalānī., *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. III, n. 4779: 229, 230–231, *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. V, n. 474: 279.

with the Prophet, and when the boy, who in some texts waits for an hour before being noticed by Muḥammad (who is sometimes also portrayed as being asleep) asks who it is, he is told that it is Ġibrīl. In more detailed accounts, the boy goes to see Muḥammad with his father al-ʿAbbās, who is perplexed that Muḥammad appears to be ignoring him. When they leave and he mentions this to his son, Ibn ʿAbbās answers that there had been a man, unseen by al-ʿAbbās, whispering to Muḥammad. They return to Muḥammad and al-ʿAbbās asks for clarification; Muḥammad asks the boy if he saw the man, and when Ibn ʿAbbās replies in the affirmative, they are told that it was Ġibrīl. In one or two rare accounts, Ġibrīl himself speaks, asking the identity of the boy and making a declaration about him as the ‘learned man’ of the community;⁶² and in one report, Ibn ʿAbbās passes by Muḥammad, who is dressed in white garments, and is whispering to a man named Diḥya b. Ḥalīfa al-Kalbī. What Ibn ʿAbbās fails to realise that it is not in fact Diḥya but Ġibrīl and so fails to greet him – he only discovers the truth when challenged by Muḥammad for his failure to acknowledge the Prophet’s interlocutor.⁶³ Diḥya b. Ḥalīfa al-Kalbī was a companion, but Ġibrīl also used his appearance as one of his disguises. On one

⁶² Ibn Hanbal., *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*: 293–294, al-Balāḍurī., *Kitāb ansāb al-asbrāf*, vol. IV, bb. *ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*: 41, al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Muʿġam al-kabīr*, vol. XII, n. 12836: 185, al-Ḥākim al-Nīsābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak ʿalā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. III, bk. 31 (*Kitāb maʿrifat al-ṣaḥāba*), *Dīkār ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*, 6366: 660, Abū Nuʿaym., *Ḥilyat al-anwīyāʾ wa-ṭabaqāt al-aṣṫiyāʾ*, vol. I, bb. 45 (*ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*), n. 1118: 391, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr., *al-Iṣṫīʿāb fī maʿrifat al-aṣḫāb*, vol. III, n. 1588: 938, al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 51 (*ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*): 339 (and denounced by al-Ḍahabī), Ibn Kaṫīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XII, bb. *Wa fī-hī tawaffā ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*: 84–85, al-Hayṫamī., *Maġmaʿ al-ṣawāʾid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʾid*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 155, 15518: 331.

⁶³ al-Ḍahabī., *Siyar aʿlām al-nubalāʾ*, vol. III, n. 51 (*ʿAbd Allāh b. ʿAbbās*): 339–340 (and denounced by al-Ḍahabī as having a weakness in the chain), al-Hayṫamī., *Maġmaʿ al-ṣawāʾid wa-manbaʿ al-fawāʾid*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 155, 15519: 331.

occasion, Umm Salama too fails to recognise Ġibrīl in the form of Diḥya because of the angel's resemblance to the man.⁶⁴

Ibn 'Abbās is therefore no stranger to the mystical strands that run through the faith of Islam, and his dark vision of Muḥammad in a dream is carried by numerous Sunnī and Shi'ī transmitters:

On the authority of Ibn 'Abbās who said: In the middle of the day I saw the Messenger of God in a dream, dishevelled and dust-covered, and in his hand a glass vial containing blood. I said: Messenger of God, you are as dear to me as my own father and mother! What is this? He replied: "This is the blood of al-Ḥusayn and his companions: I have been gathering it since that day!" He calculated that day and found that on that day, he had been killed.⁶⁵

⁶⁴ Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 74, n. [423] 271 - (167): 273, vol. VI, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍā'il al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 16, n. [6315] 100 - (2451): 312, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi'* *al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqib*), n. 3629: 340.

⁶⁵ Ibn Ḥanbal., *Musnad*, vol. I, *Musnad 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās*: 242, 243, *Kitāb faḍā'il al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, bb. *Faḍā'il al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*, nn. 1380, 1381: 778–779, n. 1389: 781, n. 1396: 784, al-Ṭabarānī., *al-Mu'ğam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2822: 110, vol. XII, n. 12837: 185, al-Qāḍī al-Nu'mān., *Sharḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā'il al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1110: 168, al-Ḥākim al-Nisābūrī., *Kitāb al-mustadrak 'alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*, vol. IV, bk. 47 (*Kitāb ta'bir al-ru'yā*), n. 8281: 553, al-Ṭūsī., *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṡ*, maḡlis 11, n. 87–640: 314–315, al-Bayhaqī., *Dalā'il al-nubuwwa*, vol. VI, bb. *Ma ruwīya fī iḥbāri-bi bi-qatli ibn ibnati-bi 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 471, al-Baḡdādī., *Tārīḥ Baḡdād*, vol. I, n. 3: 142, al-Ṭabarsī., *I'lām al-warā bi-a'lām al-budā*, Part Three, bb. 2: 220, 430, al-Shaḡarī., *Tartīb al-amālī al-ḥamisiyya*, vol. I, bb. *Fī faḍl al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*, n. 773: 210, al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 32: 107, Ibn 'Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Ḥāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 237, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 84, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'imma al-labāḥim*, bb. 5, *Faṣl fī ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 536–537, Ibn al-Aṭīr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. III, *Ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 303, Ibn Abī al-

As with the dreams of Umm Salama, so too the dream of Ibn ‘Abbās is of particular note, not merely because he is highly regarded both by the Sunnī and by the Shī‘a as a transmitter, but also by reason of some of the elements his vision introduces into this genre.

Both Ibn ‘Asākir and Ibn Kaṭīr preface the dream with Ibn ‘Abbās awaking from his sleep and proclaiming the words of Q. 2:156, the *al-istirgā‘*: «Lo! we are Allah’s and lo! unto Him we are returning». Then he declaims: “By God, al-Ḥusayn has been killed!” When his companions interrogate him, he tells them of his vision of Muḥammad, who is carrying a bottle of blood and who says to him: “Do you know what my community after me have done? They have killed al-Ḥusayn, and this is his blood and the blood of his companions.”⁶⁶ His rhetorical question echoes the constant refrain in the visits by Ġibrīl – “your community after you will kill him.”

Dam., *al-Muḥtaṣar fī tāriḥ al-Islām*: 93, Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, *Taḍkirat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkar ḥaṣā’iṣ al-a’imma*: 241, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2635, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *al-Taṣrīf bi-l-minan fī-l-ta’rīf bi-l-fitan*, bb. 34, n. 487: 334, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-aḥgān*: 80, al-Irbilī, *Kaṣf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 56, al-Ṭabarī, *Ḍaḥā’ir al-‘uqūbā*, Part One, bb. 9: 253, al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar a’lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, n. 48 (*al-Ḥusayn al-Shahīd Abū ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib*): 315, *Bustān al-wa’iẓīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmi‘in*, bb. 413: 261, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. IX, bb. *Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 238–239, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār bi-maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 573–574, al-Hayṭamī, *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15141: 225–226, al-‘Asqalānī, *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba*, vol. II, n. 1729: 71, *Tabḍīb al-tabḍīb*, vol. II, *maḡlis 2*, bb. *al-Ḥā’*, n. 615: 355, al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-muḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II: 441, al-Suyūṭī, *al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ al-kubrā*, vol. II: 452, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 42, n. 3: 231–232, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma’ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 18, bb. 4, n. 1: 510.

⁶⁶ Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tāriḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 237, Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nibāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 573–4.

Although the majority of transmitters situate the dream in the middle of the day,⁶⁷ both Ibn ‘Asākir and Ibn al-A‘īr offer reports which modify this detail. Ibn ‘Asākir stations Ibn ‘Abbās in *al-masǧid al-ḥarām*, where he sits, anticipating news about al-Ḥusayn, and omits any reference to a dream:

Ibn ‘Abbās was sitting in *al-masǧid al-ḥarām*, anticipating news about al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī, until the one coming came to him and confided something to him and he proclaimed «*Lo! we are Allah’s and lo! unto Him we are returning*». We said: Abū al-‘Abbās,⁶⁸ what has happened? He replied: “A calamity terrible for us to believe! My associate tells me that he heard Ibn al-Zubayr saying: al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī has been killed.” We did not move until Ibn al-Zubayr came to him, offered him condolences and then departed. Ibn ‘Abbās arose and went to his house; the people went to him and offered him condolences.⁶⁹

Conversely, Ibn al-A‘īr records Ibn ‘Abbās as saying: “I saw the Prophet the night on which al-Ḥusayn was killed.” Ibn ‘Abbās awakes the next morning and informs the local populace that day of the killing of al-Ḥusayn. Both transmitters, followed by al-Shāmī, record Muḥammad saying: “This is the blood of al-Ḥusayn and his companions which I am lifting up to God Most High.”⁷⁰

⁶⁷ Ibn Sīrīn notes that dreams during the day are similar to dreams at night (al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta‘bīr*), bb. 12: 95); such ‘day visions’ are found in other, more ancient cultures and civilizations, seen, for example, in the midday dream of Pharaoh Thutmose IV (d. c. 1391 BC) in which the sun god addresses him.

⁶⁸ Ibn ‘Abbās was himself the father of a firstborn son named al-‘Abbās.

⁶⁹ Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 238–239. Ibn ‘Abbās goes on to highlight the *schadenfreude* of Ibn Zubayr, even in the condolences he has offered, since, as Abū Miḥnaf notes, he had, for his own political interests, encouraged al-Ḥusayn to go to Kūfa in an attempt to get him out of the way. Cf. Abū Miḥnaf, *Maqtal al-Ḥusayn*: 89.

⁷⁰ Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Ḥāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 238–239,

While Ibn Kaṭīr and al-Shāmī put into the hands of Muḥammad a glass bottle (*zuḡāḡā*), the majority use the word for a glass vial (*qārīra*), so that it makes its first appearance in the hands of Muḥammad rather than of Umm Salama. Al-Irbilī doubles the number and gives the Prophet two vials: “I saw the Messenger of God in a dream, dishevelled and dust-covered, and he had two glass vials containing blood.”⁷¹ Al-Irbilī, al-Shāmī and Ibn ‘Asākir before him, but few others beside them, also introduces the curiously extended waiting period of twenty-four days between the dream of al-‘Abbās and the confirmation of the news of al-Ḥusayn’s death – “and they did not wait for more than twenty-four days until the news came to them that he had been killed on that day and at that hour.”⁷² Other texts, especially those concerning Umm Salama, suggest the news arrived more speedily in Medina (the next morning, according to al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān and Ibn Ṭā’ūs).⁷³

Ibn al-Aṭīr., *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḫ*, vol. III, *Ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*: 303, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5: 536–537. Cf. also Ibn Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī*, *maḡlis* 11, n. 87–640: 315.

⁷¹ Al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three, *Faṣṭ fī ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 56. For the idea of two glass vials instead of one, cf. also al-Rāwandī., *al-Ḥarā’iḡ wa-l-ḡarā’iḡ fī-l-mu’ḡīzāt*, vol. I, bb. 4, n. 7: 253, al-Hillī al-‘Allāma., *Iṭbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-mu’ḡīzāt*, vol. III, Part Eight, n. 31: 46, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 37, n. 27: 89, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma’ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 12, bb. 4, n. 7: 157. Al-Hayṭamī seems uncertain of the content of the container: “He had a glass vial containing blood he had collected, or it contained something he had zealously sought.” Cf. al-Hayṭamī., *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*), n. 15141: 225–226.

⁷² Ibn Kaṭīr., *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 573–4, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5: 536–537, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three, *Faṣṭ fī ḍiker maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*: 56.

⁷³ al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1106: 167, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *al-Ṭarā’iḡ fī ma’rifat maḍāhib al-*

While Ibn Ṭāʾūs highlights Muḥammad's psychological state ("It has disquieted me since the day I gathered it"),⁷⁴ Ibn Shahrāshūb, for his part, emphasizes the Prophet's bedraggled state and adds the theme of litigation:

In the report of Ibn ʿAbbās: He saw the Prophet in his dream following the killing of al-Ḥusayn, his face dusty, barefoot and weeping, hugging the opening of his shirt around himself and reciting this verse: «*Deem not that Allah is unaware of what the wicked do*». ⁷⁵ He said: "I went to Karbalāʾ and collected the blood of al-Ḥusayn from the ground. It is in my protection and I am on my way to litigate with them before my Lord."⁷⁶

If the dream of Ibn ʿAbbās is portentous, a less conventional genre, found chiefly although not exclusively among the Shīʿī transmitters, concerns dreams of torturous punishment and the recurring plea of the dreamer that he or she had nothing directly to do with al-Ḥusayn's death. The recipients of these visions are almost always soldiers from Yazīd's army, or camp followers, tradesmen and artisans who worked for the military machine. This category of dreams is dominated by four harrowing castigations: being made to drink pitch, the temporary or permanent loss of one's sight, an alteration in one's physical appearance and a loss of hope in God's forgiveness.

Al-Ṭūsī, Ibn ʿAsākir and Ibn Shahrāshūb record the first of these uncommon tales, of a man whose body odour is a clue to the crime he has committed:

ṭawāʾif, vol. I, n. 292: 203. The number twenty-four holds some significance for the recommended fast in Raḡab and and Shaʿban.

⁷⁴ Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *al-Tashrīf bi-l-minan fī-l-taʿrīf bi-l-ḥitan*, bb. 34, n. 487: 334. This work, which is not listed by Brockelmann in his entry on Ibn Ṭāʾūs, has also been published under the title *Malāḥim wal-l-ḥitan (fī zuḥūr al-ḡāʾib al-muntazar)*. Cf. KOHLBERG E., *A Medieval Scholar at Work: Ibn Ṭāwūs and his Library*, Brill, Leiden 1992: 60–61.

⁷⁵ Q. 14:42.

⁷⁶ Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 84, al-Mūsawī., *Tashīyat al-muḡālis wa ḡinat al-maḡālis*, vol. II: 441.

We were informed by al-Faḍl b. Zubayr, who said: I was sitting [with someone] when a man approached and sat down with him; his odour was that of pitch, so he said to him: “Have you been selling pitch?” He replied: “I have never sold it!” So he said: “Then what is that smell?” He answered: “I was one of those who was present with the army of ‘Umar b. Sa’d. I was selling them iron pegs, and when night fell, I went to bed and in my dream, I saw the Messenger of God, and ‘Alī with him: ‘Alī was giving a drink to those killed among the companions of al-Ḥusayn. I said to him: Give me a drink, but he refused. So, I said: Messenger of God, command him to give me to drink, and he replied: But you are not one of those who assisted us! I answered: Messenger of God! By God, I neither struck with a sword, not stabbed with a lance, nor pierced with an arrow, but I sold iron pegs to them! He said: ‘Alī, give him to drink, and he gave me a bowl full of pitch. I drank pitch from it, and I did not stop urinating pitch for days! Even when the urine ceased, the odour continued in my body!”⁷⁷

The refrain “I neither struck with a sword, not stabbed with a lance, nor pierced with an arrow,” or, in some texts “by God I did not fight them” reverberates through all these stories; the actual crime will, eventually, be highlighted: that this seller of nails or iron pegs, even though he did not physically fight, augmented the numbers of those arrayed against al-Ḥusayn, and is thereby guilty of his death. It creates the concept of ‘guilt by association’, the notion of a widely shared guilt, not restricted to those who struck a physical blow, in a sense parallel to the aspect of Shī‘ī piety that enables the

⁷⁷ al-Ṭūsī., *al-Ṭāqīb fī-l-manāqīb*, bb. 6, n. 6/278: 335–336, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 258, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqīb āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 58, Ibn al-Ġawzī., *Bustān al-wa‘iẓīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmi‘īn*, bb. 416: 263, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buġyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2642–2643, al-Bahrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āğīz fī mu‘ğīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 171/1118: 111.

faithful adherent to share al-Ḥusayn's struggle often simply by the desire to have fought alongside him.

In his *Maqṭal*, al-Ḥawārizmī draws attention to a different crime:

Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī said: Sitting with us was a distressed elder, from whom came an odour of pitch. We asked him about this, and he said: "I was among those who blocked al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī from the water. I saw in a dream as though the people had congregated.⁷⁸ I was stricken with a severe thirst, and looked for water, and there were the Prophet, 'Alī, Fāṭima, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn at the Pool. I asked a drink from the Messenger of God, and he said: Give him a drink! But no one gave me a drink. He said it a second time, but no one gave me a drink. He said it a third time, and was told: Messenger of God! He was among those who blocked al-Ḥusayn from the water! So, he said: Give him pitch to drink! And I awoke, urinating pitch. I cannot even eat food without experiencing the odour of pitch from it, or drink without the taste of pitch in my mouth!"⁷⁹

Al-Ḥawārizmī combines a number of elements to underscore not only the severity of a particular crime, and one that characterized the day of Karbalā', but also the ruthlessness of the penalty; not merely banishment from life-giving waters of the Pool but being forced to drink something radically different from those vivifying waters. In Shī'ī eschatology, the Pool (*al-ḥawḍ*) is *al-Kawṭar*, the great symbol of the authority of Muḥammad and his descendants over all creation. On the Day of Resurrection, the 'day of great thirst', 'Alī will be given authority over this Pool, to give its enlivening waters to his adherents and supporters, and to send his enemies away thirsty. This pool of *al-Kawṭar*, a concept drawn not as much from the Qur'ān as from the corpus of *ahādīth*, is the concrete symbol of the prerogatives given by God to the Prophet's family. Its waters

⁷⁸ On the Day of Congregation (*yawm al-ḥaṣbr*).

⁷⁹ al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 50: 116–117.

cleanse the blood and tears of the People of the House and of all who cling faithfully to them.⁸⁰

However, a punishment more vehement than being forced to drink pitch has its roots in earlier texts, with Ibn ‘Asākir offering the version with the sparsest detail:

On the authority of Abū al-Naḍr al-Ġarmī, who said: I saw a man devoid of sight and I asked him about the reason for the loss of his vision. He answered: “I was one of those who was present with the army of ‘Umar b. Sa’d. When night came, I went to sleep, and I saw the Messenger of God in a dream; in his hands was a brass vessel full of blood, with a quill pen in the blood. He came to the companions of ‘Umar b. Sa’d, took the quill pen and wrote with it between their eyes. He came to me, and I said: Messenger of God, by God I have neither struck with a sword, not stabbed with a lance, nor pierced with an arrow. He replied: Did you not increase the number of our enemies? He inserted his finger into the blood – the index and the middle finger – and reached out with them for my eyes, and when I awoke in the morning my sight was gone.”⁸¹

⁸⁰ Cf. AYOUB M., *Redemptive Suffering in Islam. A Study of the Devotional Aspects of ‘Ashura in Twelver Shi‘ism*, 1978: 198, 200–201, CLOHESSY C., “The Face of Islām Flushed. The Karbalā’ Event” in *Encounter*, n. 285, Pontificio Istituto di Studi Arabi e d’Islamistica, Rome, June 2002.

⁸¹ Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 259. Cf. also al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sharḥ al-aybār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1120: 171, n. 1123: 174, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 259, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abi Ṭālib*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 58, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-tuḥf*: 80–81, Ibn al-‘Adīm., *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2642, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-abḡān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 80, al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 57, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma’āḡiḡ fī mu’ḡiḡāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 154/1101: 83, n. 158/1105: 86, n. 167/1114: 100–101, n. 168/1115: 101–102, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 3:

All the transmitters follow the same pattern, with a varying degree of detail. The loss of sight is portrayed in these instances as unanticipated and precipitous, leading to the questions about the cause which initiate the narrative: “A man entered the evening healthy of life and reached the morning blind,” writes al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān, while Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī emphasizes that the sight once enjoyed by the interrogee had disappeared.⁸² While in Ibn ‘Asākir the victim merely establishes that he had been present at Karbalā’ (“present with the army of ‘Umar b. Sa‘d,” he notes, but with no further detail, and in Ibn Ṭā’ūs and al-Baḥrānī after him he claims nothing more than to have witnessed the killing of al-Ḥusayn), in the majority of transmitters he immediately protests his innocence (“I neither struck with a sword, not thrust with a lance, nor shot with an arrow”), before having been accused of anything. Central to the vision is the person of Muḥammad, either holding, or seated in front of, a container of blood. While Ibn Ṭā’ūs, Ibn Namā and al-Baḥrānī identify this as the blood of al-Ḥusayn,⁸³ in al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān the basin seems to contain the blood of al-Ḥusayn’s killers who are themselves now being killed in retaliation.⁸⁴ While in Ibn ‘Asākir, Ibn Shahrāshūb, al-Irbilī and al-Baḥrānī the dreamer protests his innocence without provocation,⁸⁵ in most other texts he is

302–303, n. 5: 306, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Anwālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārīf al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 22, bb. 4, n. 1: 624–625.

⁸² al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1120: 171, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashgān*: 80.

⁸³ Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-tufūf*: 80–81, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashgān*: 80, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āgīz fī mu‘gīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 158/1105: 86 n. 168/1115: 101–102, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-annār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 5: 306.

⁸⁴ al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1120: 171.

⁸⁵ al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma‘rifāt al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 57, Ibn ‘Asākir., *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalīb b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 259, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālīb*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 58, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āgīz fī mu‘gīzāt al-*

interrogated (“were you among those who killed al-Ḥusayn?”)⁸⁶ or accused (“enemy of God, you have violated my sanctity, killed my family and have not respected my right! You did what you did!”).⁸⁷ The nature of the dreamer’s crime – that he increased the numbers arrayed against al-Ḥusayn – is clearly underscored by most transmitters, although in al-Irbilī he anticipates this charge and denies it before it is made (“nor did I augment their number”)⁸⁸ while in al-Baḥrānī, his very origins are a problem (“are you not a Kūfan? Why did you not support my son? Why did you not answer his call?”).⁸⁹

Using either a quill pen or his finger,⁹⁰ Muḥammad then anoints or smears the eyes of the dreamer, or simply motions towards them, leading to blindness, quite patently a lesser punishment than having one’s throat slit, which is apparent in a transmission from al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān.⁹¹ Transmitting from Ibn Rimāḥ, al-Ḥawārizmī narrates an epic tale about a blind man:

I met a blind man who had witnessed the killing of al-Ḥusayn. People were coming to him and asking him the reason for the disappearance of his sight. He said: “I witnessed his killing as

a’imma al-aṭḥbār, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 154/1101: 83, n. 158/1105: 86, n. 167/1114: 100–101, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 3: 302–303.

⁸⁶ al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1120: 171, n. 1123: 174

⁸⁷ Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 80, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma’āḡīz fī mu’ḡīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 168/1115: 101–102, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 5: 306.

⁸⁸ al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 57.

⁸⁹ al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma’āḡīz fī mu’ḡīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 167/1114: 100–101.

⁹⁰ In a dream “as though the resurrection had already happened and the people were already gathered,” al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān records one man who says that Muḥammad “put his finger into my eyes and I became blind” (al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān., *Sbarḥ al-aḥbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1123: 174).

⁹¹ Op. cit., vol. III, Part Twelve, n. 1120: 171.

the tenth of ten,⁹² except that I did not strike or thrust or shoot. After he had been killed, I returned to my house and prayed late in the evening, and then I went to sleep. Someone came to me in my dream and said to me: Answer the Messenger of God!⁹³ And there was the Prophet, sitting in the desert,⁹⁴ his forearms uncovered, grasping a lance and with a leather mat in front of him.⁹⁵ An angel, standing in front of him, in his hand a sword of fire, killed my companions;⁹⁶ each time he struck one of them a blow, his soul burst into flame. I approached the Prophet, knelt in front of him and said: Peace

⁹² Possibly a reference to the soldiers around al-Ḥusayn at the moment of his death. A number of transmitters report a general reluctance, with few of the soldiers attacking al-Ḥusayn particularly eager to kill him, and holding back in the hope that this task would fall to someone else.

⁹³ Ibn Ṭāʾūs adds: “for he is calling you,” while Ibn al-Ġawzī, Ibn Ṭāʾūs, Ibn Namā, al-Baḥrānī and al-Maḡlisī all add: “What do I have to do with him?” and “he seized me (by my collar)” or “he pulled at me fiercely” or “he dragged me.” In al-Baḥrānī, this fierce seizing hold of the dreamer is repeated and then “he rushed me along with him” (al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāḡiz fi muʿāḡizāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 158/1105: 86).

⁹⁴ Ibn al-Ġawzī and al-Baḥrānī read ‘prayer niche’ (Ibn al-Ġawzī, *Bustān al-waʿiẓīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmiʿīn*, bb. 415: 262, al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāḡiz fi muʿāḡizāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 158/1105: 86).

⁹⁵ Both Wehr and Lane associate such a leather mat (*naṭʿ*) with death; it could be used as a tablecloth upon which to spread food, or as mat upon which to play chess or, in the case of executions, a place to receive the head of a person. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VIII, 1968: 3034, WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 1142. Al-Baḥrānī replaces “grasping a lance” with “overcome by distress” and in another transmission adds of Muḥammad “his face reddened and frowning” (al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāḡiz fi muʿāḡizāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 158/1105: 86, n. 168/1115: 101–102).

⁹⁶ In Ibn Ṭāʾūs and al-Baḥrānī number these companions as nine. In al-Baḥrānī they keep coming back to life and are killed seven times (al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-maʿāḡiz fi muʿāḡizāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 158/1105: 86).

be with you, Messenger of God! He did not reply to me, but waited for a long time. Then he raised his head and said: ‘Abd Allāh,⁹⁷ you have violated my honour, killed my family, not respected my right, and have done what you have done! I said to him: Messenger of God, by God, I neither struck with a sword, nor thrust with a lance, nor shot with an arrow! He replied: What you say is true,⁹⁸ but you increased the multitude. Come close to me! I drew near to him, there was a basin filled with blood, and he said: This is the blood of my son al-Ḥusayn. He smeared (my eyelids) with it and I awoke, unable to see a thing since then.”⁹⁹

Referring their readers to Ibn Ḥanbal’s *Faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*, Ibn Baṭṭa’s *al-Ibāna* and al-Dāraquṭnī’s *Ġāmi’*, Ibn Shahrāshūb and al-Maḡlisī transmit a narrative in which the angel standing near Muḥammad plays a violent and retributive role:

It was reported by Qurra b. A‘yan, on the authority of his maternal uncle who said: I was with Abū Raḡā’ al-‘Uṭāridī, who said: “Do not make mention the People of the House except to speak well. A man came to us after being present at Karbalā’; he was cursing al-Ḥusayn, when God dropped two stars on him and his eyes became blind. ‘Abd Allāh b. Rayyāh al-

⁹⁷ Or, in other texts, “enemy of God” (Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-ṭufūf*: 81, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 5: 306).

⁹⁸ In al-Irbilī, “You lie! You took pleasure in the killing of al-Ḥusayn” (al-Irbilī., *Kashf al-ghumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II, Part Three: 57).

⁹⁹ al-Ḥawārizmī., *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 52: 117–118, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib āl Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. *Fī imāma Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 59, Ibn al-Ġawzī., *Bustān al-wa‘iẓīn wa-riyāḍ al-sāmi‘īn*, bb. 415: 262, Ibn Ṭā’ūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-ṭufūf*: 80–81, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 80, al-Bahrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āḡiẓ fī mu’ḡiẓāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 158/1105: 86, n. 168/1115: 101–102, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 5: 306, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma’ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 22, bb. 4, n. 1: 625.

Qāḍī¹⁰⁰ asked what had blinded him and he answered: I was present at Karbalā' but I did not fight. I went to sleep and I saw a formidable person, who said to me: Answer the Messenger of God! I replied: I am not able. So he dragged me to the Messenger of God, whom I found sad and holding a lance in his hand. Spread out in front of him was a leather mat and standing in front of him was an angel holding a sword of fire. He struck the necks of the people, and fire fell among them and burnt them; then they were brought to life and he killed them again. Then I said: Peace be upon you, Messenger of God! By God, I neither struck with a sword, nor thrust with a lance, nor shot an arrow! The Prophet said: Did you not increase the multitude? He greeted me with peace and took blood from a basin and smeared me with it. My eyes burned, and when I awoke, I was blind."¹⁰¹

In the landscape of Islamic eschatology, with its range of prescribed punishments, the drinking of pitch or loss of sight pales in comparison to the chastisements of the Fire, from which, says the Qurʾān of those condemned there, «*they will not come forth*».¹⁰² These appalling penalties include festering water (Q. 14:16), garments of fire, boiling fluids and iron hooks (Q. 22:19, 21), fetters and chains around the neck, raging fire and choking food (Q. 73:12–13). In the Qurʾān, these punishments are threatened specifically against unbe-

¹⁰⁰ The name of Qurra b. Aʿyan is not diffused throughout the Shīʿī texts, but is found specifically in this story of burning eyes going blind. It may be a small play on words with the Qurʾānic phrase to 'gladden' or 'console' or 'cool' 'the eye' (cf. Q. 19:26, Q. 20:40, Q. 28:9, 13). The man whom Ibn Shahrāshūb calls 'Abd Allāh b. Rayyāh al-Qāḍī is variously named Ibn Riyāh by Ibn Ṭāʾūs and Ibn Namā, as al-Ḥurr b. Riyāh al-Qāḍī by al-Bahrānī, as al-Ḥaddā' b. Rabāh al-Qāḍī by Ibn al-Ġawzī, as 'Abd Allāh b. Rabāh al-Qāḍī by al-Maġlisī and as Ibn Rimāh by al-Ḥawārizmī.

¹⁰¹ Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. *Fī imāma Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 58, al-Bahrānī., *Madīnat al-ma'āḡiẓ fī mu'āḡiẓāt al-a'imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 154/1101: 83 (in much reduced form), al-Maġlisī., *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 3: 302–303.

¹⁰² Q. 5:37.

lievers, further defined as those who reject God's revelation and His messengers, as distinct from the killers of al-Ḥusayn and those associated with them (who are, in Ḡibrīl's constant refrain, members of Muḥammad's own community). Whoever the dreamer turns out to be – ironmonger or merchant, blocker of water, non-combatant soldier, transporter of heads or killer of a companion – his punishment seems to fall into an entirely different category, not delayed until the Afterlife but already begun. A third physical chastisement concerns the blackening of the face,¹⁰³ in a tale told by Ibn Bābawayh:

On the authority of al-Qāsim b. al-Aṣbaḡ b. Nubāta who said: There came to us a man from the Banū Dārim, who was among those who had witnessed the killing of al-Ḥusayn. Even though he was a handsome man and was very white, he had a very black face. I said to him: I barely recognise you because of the change of your colour! He answered: "I killed a man from among the companions of al-Ḥusayn, the white mark of prostration perceptible between his eyes, and I brought his head. Al-Qāsim said: I saw him on a horse of his, exuberant; he had affixed the head to its breast but it was hitting against its knee. He continued: I said to my father that if he had lifted the head a little, one would not have seen what the horse was doing to it. He replied to me: My son, what is being done to him¹⁰⁴ is worse: for he told me: I have not slept at night since I killed him, but that he comes to me in my dream, seizing me by my

¹⁰³ It is of note, in the context of the blackening of the face, that Lamoreaux records that the caliph al-Mahdī (d. 169/785) had a dream in which his face turned black, only to be told by Ibrāhīm b. 'Abd Allāh al-Kirmānī (c. late 2nd/8th century), a famous dabbler in the meaning of dreams, that it meant the birth of a daughter (LAMOREAUX J.C., *The Early Muslim Tradition of Dream Interpretation*, State University of New York Press, Albany 2002: 25).

¹⁰⁴ While Ibn Bābawayh's text reads *ma yuṣna'u bī*, al-Maḡlisī's reads *ma yuṣna'u bi-bī*; the latter seems to make more sense. In his *ʿAnwālim*, al-Iṣfahānī follows al-Maḡlisī. Cf. al-Maḡlisī, *Biḡār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 8: 308, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAnwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-ʿyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. IV, bb. 4, n. 5: 627.

shoulder and leading me, saying: Hurry! Then he dashes along with me to Hell and flings me down and I scream.”¹⁰⁵ The narrator went on: A female neighbour¹⁰⁶ of his heard this and said: “His screams stop us from sleeping at night!” He said: I went in the company of some of the youth of the city; we came to his wife and asked her. She said: “He revealed himself openly and told you the truth.”¹⁰⁷

Purportedly transmitting from Abū al-Farağ al-Iṣfahānī’s *al-Maqātil*, al-Mağlisī repeats this story of a very handsome and pale man of the Banū Abān b. Dārim, adding that the companion he killed was al-‘Abbās b. ‘Alī.¹⁰⁸ Al-Baḥrānī also tells of a man who is interrogated about his white head, which contrasts starkly with his black body and face. The man turns out to have been part of Ibn Ziyād’s army and one of those who helped to transport the heads of the companions of al-Ḥusayn; he is punished not only with this physical deformity, but also, “I see in my dream that the head speaks to me all night and flings me in the Fire.”¹⁰⁹ For his part, al-Ṭūsī moves the reader away from the field of Karbalā’ and introduces

¹⁰⁵ Al-Mağlisī substitutes ‘I scream’ with ‘until I awake in the morning’.

¹⁰⁶ While Ibn Bābawayh’s text, like those of Ibn Shahrāshūb and al-Baḥrānī, reads ‘female neighbour’ (*ġāra*), al-Mağlisī transmits it as ‘servant girl’ (*ġārīyya*). Subsequently, Ibn Bābawayh’s transmission then reads in error ‘he said’ instead of ‘she said’.

¹⁰⁷ Ibn Bābawayh., *Tawāb al-a‘māl wa i‘qāb al-a‘māl*: 219, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn, faṣl fī āyāti-hi ba‘da wafāti-hi*: 58, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āğiz fī mu‘āğizāt al-a‘imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 153/1100: 83, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 8: 308, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 22, bb. 4, n. 5: 627.

¹⁰⁸ al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 5: 306. The editor refers the reader to *Maqātil al-ṭālibīyyīn*: 86, as well as to Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn, faṣl fī āyāti-hi ba‘da wafāti-hi*: 58).

¹⁰⁹ al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma‘āğiz fī mu‘āğizāt al-a‘imma al-aḥbār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 182/1129: 120.

into the narrative a fresh element, the story of ‘Ubayd Allāh b. Yaḥyā b. Ḥāqān who becomes secretary to Hārūn al-Mu‘arrī, one of the Sulṭān’s commanders. Of his master, Ibn Ḥāqān says:

His whole body was intensely white, as were his hands and his feet, but his face was intensely black, as if it were pitch, and on account of that it would burst with stinking pus. The narrator said: When we were on intimate terms, I asked him about the blackness of his face, but he refused to inform me. Then he fell ill with a sickness that would kill him. I sat down and asked him, and I noticed that he would have preferred to keep the matter secret. So, I guaranteed the secrecy, and he told me, saying: “Al-Mutawakkil sent al-Dīğaz¹¹⁰ and I to excavate the grave of al-Ḥusayn and to channel water through it. When I had decided to depart and make the journey to the region, I saw the Messenger of God in a dream, and he said: Do not depart with al-Dīğaz, and do not do what you have been ordered to the grave of al-Ḥusayn. In the morning they came to

¹¹⁰ Ibrāhīm al-Dīğaz receives substantial commentary in the texts as one who interfered with the grave of al-Ḥusayn, although the motif of a dream does not always occur as part of the narrative. Cf. for e.g. al-Ḥaṣībī, *al-Hidāya al-Kubrā*, bb. 13: 323, al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṯ, mağlis* 11, n. 100–653: 326, nn. 100–654, 102–655: 327, Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn, faṣl fī āyāti-hi ba‘da wafāti-hi*: 64–65, al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-mağālīs wa zīmat al-mağālīs*, vol. II, Part Three, *mağlis* 9: 475–477, al-Hillī al-‘Allāma., *Iṭbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-mu‘ğīzāt*, vol. I, Part One, bb. 8, n. 225: 362, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 15, nn. 13–14: 40–41, al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 50, nn. 2–4: 394–396, al-Ğazā‘irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a‘imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 304–305, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 27, bb. 3, nn. 1–3: 724–726. As a contrast to al-Dīğaz’s behaviour, al-Mağlisī transmits on the authority of Ğa‘far al-Ṣādiq: “Whoever, in the middle of Ramaḍān, visits Imām al-Ḥusayn and, after the night prayer (*al-‘ishā’*) prays ten *rak‘āt* at his grave, saying in each *rak‘a*, after *al-ḥamd*, *sūrat al-tawḥīd* ten times, will not die before he sees in his dream a number of angels bringing him the good tidings of the Garden and a number of angels safeguarding him from the Fire” (al-Mağlisī, *Zād al-ma‘ād*, bb. 3: 121).

impel me to make the journey; so I journeyed with them until Karbalāʾ and did what al-Mutawakkil had ordered. I saw the Prophet in a dream and he said: Did I not order you not to depart with them and not to do their work? But you did not assent, and you have done what they have done! Then he beat me and spat in my face, and my face became black as you see and my body to its first state!”¹¹¹

Finally, there are other punishments dreamt of besides physical ones, and a handful of transmitters introduce a story that has its beginnings in Mecca:

Al-Shaʿbī said: I saw a man clinging to the curtain of the *kaʿba* and saying: “O God, forgive me, though I do not think You will!” I asked him about his sin, and he said: “I was among those commissioned with the head of al-Ḥusayn; with me were fifty men. I saw a white cloud of light, which descended from heaven to the tent; in it was enclosed a great gathering, among them Ādam, Nūḥ, Ibrāhīm, Mūsā and ʿĪsā. Then another one descended; in it was the Prophet, Ǧabrāʾīl, Mikāʾīl and the angel of death.¹¹² The Prophet was weeping and the gathering wept with him. The angel of death approached and took in death forty-nine, and then pounced on me; I leapt to my feet

¹¹¹ al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī fī-l-ḥadīṯ, maǧlis* 11, n. 101–654: 327, al-Maǧlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 50, n. 3: 395.

¹¹² Although the angel of death is mentioned once, without being named, in the Qurʾān («*Say: The angel of death, who hath charge concerning you, will gather you, and afterward unto your Lord ye will be returned*», Q. 32:11) some scholars, as noted by Nasr, see reference to a number of angels associated with death in Q. 79 (NASR S.E., (ed.), *The Study Quran*, 2015: 1469). Nonetheless, the angel of death, popularly identified with ʿIzrāʾīl and who is one of the chief angels, is important enough, as noted by Burge, to be given one of the longest chapters in al-Suyūṭī’s *al-Ḥabāʾik fī aḥbār al-malāʾik*, comprising sixty-five *ahādīṯ* (BURGE S.R., *Angels in Islam*, 2012: 137). Cf. also MACDONALD J “The Angel of Death in Late Islamic Tradition” in *Islamic Studies* 3/4 (1964): 485–519, WENSINCK A.J., “ʿIzrāʾīl” in E. van Donzel et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 1997: 292–293.

and said: Messenger of God, clemency! Clemency! Because, by God, I neither complied with nor consented to his killing. He said: Alas for you, for you looked upon what was happening! I replied: Yes. He said: Angel of death, desist from taking his soul in death, because certainly, he will die one day. He let me be, and I left for this place, repenting over what I had done.”¹¹³

As part of this lengthy *ḥadīth*, al-Maḡlisī transmits, on the authority of Saʿīd b. Musayyib, another version of a recurring narrative, describing an incident while he was fulfilling the *ḥaḡḡ*, that occurs as he is performing his *ṭawāf* around the Kaʿba. He sees as man

whose hands had been cut off and whose face was like a piece of dark night. He was clinging to the curtain of the *kaʿba* and saying: “O God, Lord of this Sacred House, forgive me, though, because of the greatness of my offense, I do not suppose You will do so, even if the inhabitants of Your heavens and Your earth and all You have created made intercession for me!” Saʿīd b. Musayyib said: I was distracted, as were the people performing *ṭawāf*, until finally the people surrounded him; we gathered around him and said: “Alas for you! Even if you were Iblīs, it would not be seemly for you to despair of God’s mercy!¹¹⁴ Who are you? What is your sin?”

The man recounts that he was a camel driver for al-Ḥusayn and had accompanied him to Karbalāʾ. During the journey, he notices and covets al-Ḥusayn’s shining belt. After the Imām’s death, he

¹¹³ al-Hawārizmī, *Maqatal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ʿuqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 12: 99–100, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 3: 303.

¹¹⁴ Alternatively, some scholars suggest that the very name Iblīs, although of disputed etymology, derives from the Arabic root *b-l-s* (in the fourth form), the connotation being that Satan has nothing to expect – *ublīsa* – from God. *Balas* refers to a person of desperate character or in despair because of grief or fear, while *ablāsa* means to be overcome with grief, to be desperate, to be struck with despair. Cf. PENRICE J., *A Dictionary and Glossary of the Kor-an*, Asian Educational Services, New Delhi 1995: 19, LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. I, 1968: 248.

hides until nightfall and then emerges, puzzled by the strange light emanating from the battlefield. Remembering the belt he so desires, he sets out to find al-Ḥusayn's body and when he does so, mutilates it, cutting off the hands in a vain attempt to retrieve the desired object, until he is interrupted by a loud quake:

Suddenly, the earth shook and the sky trembled with great force, with weeping and shouting and a voice saying: Alas, my son! Alas, killed one, alas, my slaughtered one, alas Ḥusayn, alas, one distant from home! My son, they killed you, for they did not know you, and they forbade you a drink of water! When I saw this, I was stupefied and threw myself down among the slain, and suddenly there were three persons and a woman and creatures standing around them; the earth was filled with the figures of people and the wings of angels.

An extensive narrative follows in the form of a lament shared between al-Ḥusayn, Muḥammad and Fāṭima. In the course of the elegy, Muḥammad asks who it was cut off his grandson's hands, and al-Ḥusayn draws attention to the actions of his faithless camel driver, now hiding among the dead:

When Muḥammad heard the words of al-Ḥusayn, he wept bitterly; then he came to me, among the dead bodies, and stood before me. He said: "What is between you and I, camel driver? You cut off the hands long kissed by Ġabraʿīl and all the angels of God and by which the people of the heavens and the earths were blessed! Was it not enough for you what the cursed did to him in ignominy and degradation?"

The camel driver than receives his punishment:

"God blacken your face, camel driver, in this world and the Hereafter! God cut off your hands and your feet, putting you among the group which shed our blood and ventured against God!"¹¹⁵ His prayer was not finished when my hands became

¹¹⁵ Cf. al-Ḥaṣībī., *al-Hidāya al-Kubrā*, bb. 5: 209, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-maʿāziz fī muʿāzāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. III, Part Three, n. 743: 83, al-Ġazāʾirī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 281,

paralyzed and I perceived in my face as if it were clothed in a piece of dark night. I remained in this state, so I came to this House to seek intercession, but I know that He will never forgive me.¹¹⁶

Some last sundry dreams remain; in a lengthy *ḥadīth*, and which fuses in one narrative a selection of features underscored in other transmissions, al-Ḥawārizmī and al-Maḡlisī transmit the story of a severely crippled man (without hands, legs or eyes) who prays to be saved from the Fire. He admits to having been among those who killed al-Ḥusayn; after the death of the Imām, the man catches sight of his trousers and waistband. In a failed attempt to remove the waistband, he badly mutilates the body of al-Ḥusayn and, frightened by a sudden quake, is made to fall asleep by God there among the dead. The narrative continues:

I saw as if Muḥammad was approaching, and with him ‘Alī and Fāṭima. They took hold of the head of al-Ḥusayn and Fāṭima kissed it. Then she said: “My son, they killed you! God kill them, who have done this to you!” He replied: “Shimr killed me and this one sleeping” – he motioned towards me – “cut off my hands!” Fāṭima said to me: “God cut your hands and feet, blind your sight and make you enter the Fire!” I awoke, and I can see nothing! My hands and my feet have dropped from me, and now nothing remains of her prayer¹¹⁷ but the Fire!¹¹⁸

al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Avālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 22, bb. 4, n. 8: 631.

¹¹⁶ al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 14: 316–319.

¹¹⁷ This prayer forms part of a genre which might be termed Fāṭima’s ‘negative intercession’, in which her pleas for justice before God on behalf of her son include a request that his killers be punished. Cf. CLOHESSY C., *Fāṭima, Daughter of Muḥammad*, 2018: 200–202.

¹¹⁸ al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān ‘uqūba qāṭil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 48: 115, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 13: 310–313.

As part of this lengthy *ḥadīth*, in which the deceased al-Ḥusayn points out his killer, al-Maḡlisī transmits another narrative, hardly identifiable but containing well-known elements. It concerns a Kūfan blacksmith in Ibn Ziyād's army, who pitches his tent on banks of the al-ʿAlqamī and makes a variety of implements for the soldiers. He is still there when al-Ḥusayn is killed, and returns home a rich man. Lying on his bed one night he sees a vision, as if the Resurrection had happened, with people surging chaotically over the earth. The narrator describes the intense thirst, a common element in Shīʿī eschatology, with people's tongues hanging out and he himself barely able to hear or to see from the heat of the sun; so thirsty that he describes how his brain appears to be boiling and how, given the choice, he would drink his own blood for relief. Suddenly, he catches sight of a bearded horseman, whose light encompasses the plain and in whose image the universe takes delight. The rider is surrounded by a crowd of thousands, every prophet and all the virtuous, the martyrs and the devout. The rider passes by like a wind or the movement of a celestial sphere. An hour passes and the narrator now sees, on a noble charger, a terrifying horseman with a face like the full moon, who, without warning, stands on his mount and signals to his companions. The narrator hears him say 'seize him' and one of them seizes hold of his upper arm as though by pincer just out of the fire, so forcibly that he feels as if his right shoulder has been torn off, and he begs him to decrease the pressure; instead, it is increased. The narrator asks the one who has seized hold of him who has given him the authority to do so:

I said to him: Who are you? He replied: "One of the angels of the Compeller."¹¹⁹ So I said: And who is that one? He replied: "ʿAlī the Valiant."¹²⁰ I said: And the one before him? He answered: "Muḥammad the Chosen." I said: And around him? He replied: "The prophets and the virtuous, the martyrs, the devout and the believers." Then I said: What I have done that

¹¹⁹ One of the names of God (*al-Ġabbār*); cf. Q. 59:23.

¹²⁰ The Arabic *al-karrār*, an honorific nickname given to ʿAlī at the Battle of Ḥaybar, reflecting the valiant manner in which he fought.

he invested you with authority over me? He answered: “*«Unto Him the whole matter will be returned»*;¹²¹ your situation is the same situation as these.” I looked closely, and there was ‘Umar b. Sa’d, commander of the army and a people I did not know. There was a chain of iron around his neck and fire coming from his eyes and ears, and I was certain of perishing. The remainder of the people among them were shackled; some of them were fettered and some of them were being pulled down by their upper arm, like me. While we were walking, there was the Messenger of God whom the angel had described, sitting on a high chair – shining brightly, from pearls I think, and two men with radiant white hair on his right. I asked the angel about them, and he said: Nūḥ and Ibrāhīm. Then the Messenger of God was saying: “What have you done, ‘Alī?” He replied: “I have not missed one of the killers of al-Ḥusayn, but have brought them!” I praised God Most High that I was not one of them, and my reason returned to me. Suddenly the Messenger of God was saying: “Bring them,” and they brought them to him; he began questioning them and weeping, and everyone on the plain wept for his tears, because he was saying to (each) man: “What did you do at Ṭaff, Karbalā’ to my son al-Ḥusayn?” And he would answer: Messenger of God, I guarded the water from him, or another would say: I killed him, or another, I trampled his chest with my horse. Among them was one who was saying: I beat his ailing son. The Messenger of God cried out: “Alas, my son! Alas, the small number of helpers! Alas, Ḥusayn! Alas, ‘Alī! This is what befell you after me, people of my house! Look, my father Ādam, look my brother Nūḥ, how they have seized me from behind in my descendants!”

At this point in the vision, amidst much weeping and all those on the plain rendered speechless, a man who was a carpenter is brought forward; he claims to have done nothing more than repair a tent pole, but is nonetheless condemned to the Fire for having added to the numbers against al-Ḥusayn. As he is condemned to

¹²¹ Q. 11:123.

the Fire, the narrator realizes that he himself will receive no immunity for not having taken part in the actually killing of al-Ḥusayn. He is brought before Muḥammad and condemned to the Fire, but wakes from his dream before being dragged there, telling his dream to everyone around him.¹²²

A similar transmission has as its context a group of believers at the shrine of ‘Alī b. Mūsā al-Riḍā’;¹²³ on the day of ‘Āshūrā, a man from among them began to recite the killing of al-Ḥusayn, quoting from al-Bāqir,¹²⁴ who said: “The one whose eyes shed tears over the affliction of al-Ḥusayn, even if they are the size of a gnat’s wing, God will forgive him his sins, even if they should be like the foam of the ocean.” In the gathering was one man described as ‘foolishly ignorant’, who was persistently obstinate in refuting al-Bāqir’s words. That night this man was sleeping and he saw in his dream:

...as if the resurrection had taken place; the people were gathered on a level plateau, «wherein thou seest neither curve nor ruggedness». ¹²⁵ The balances were set up, the Bridge¹²⁶ extended, the reckoning started, the books were opened, the fires were kindled and the Gardens adorned. The heat became unbearable for him, so that he became intensely thirsty, and he kept looking for water but did not find it. He turned left and right, and he was at a pool, great in height and in width. He continued: I said to myself: this is *al-Kawṭar*, and indeed, in it was water, colder than ice, sweeter than sweet. At the Pool were two men

¹²² al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 14: 319–321. Cf. al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 22, bb. 4, n. 9: 633.

¹²³ The eighth Imam, Abū al-Ḥasan ‘Alī b. Mūsā al-Riḍā (d. 202/818).

¹²⁴ The fifth Imām, Abū Ḡa‘far Muḥammad b. ‘Alī al-Bāqir (d. between 113/732 and 124/743).

¹²⁵ Cf. Q. 20:107.

¹²⁶ The bridge (*al-ṣirāt*) denotes the bridge that traverses Hell. This concept abounds in the Shī‘ī and Sunnī books of *aḥādīth*. Cf. MONNOT G., “Ṣirāt” in H.R. Gibb et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 1997, vol. IX: 670–671.

and a woman, whose lights shone on the created beings, while their own clothing was black, and they were weeping and sorrowful. I said: Who are they? I was told: "This is Muḥammad the Chosen One, and this is Imām ʿAlī the Approved One and this is the Pure One, Fāṭima the Radiant." I said: Why do I see them dressed in black, weeping and sorrowful. I was told: "Is this not the day of ʿĀshūrā, that day of the killing of al-Ḥusayn? They are sorrowful for that reason." He said: I approached the Mistress of the women, Fāṭima, and I said to her: Daughter of the Messenger of God, I am thirsty! She looked askance at me and said to me: "You are the one who disavowed the benefit of weeping over the affliction of my son al-Ḥusayn, the lifeblood of my heart and consolation of my eye, the martyr, killed unjustly and in enmity, may God curse his killers, his oppressors and those who prevented him drinking water." The man said: I awoke from my dream terrified and appalled and asked forgiveness from God.¹²⁷

Moving away from apocalyptic dreams and the threat of punishment, Ibn Namā recalls the dream of a Christian Byzantine official; in doing so, he not only boosts the widespread indictment of Yazīd, but also adds a polemical constituent, something which became ubiquitous in early Christian-Muslim disputatious works, frequently involving the adherent of one religious group forsaking his own creed and enlisting with the other. The text, coming from ʿAlī b. al-Ḥusayn, details a Byzantine noble and messenger of the king of the Byzantines¹²⁸ present at one of Yazīd's customary drinking

¹²⁷ al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-annwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 34, n. 38: 294–296. Both al-Ġazāʾirī and al-Iṣfahānī carry this *ḥadīth* after al-Maḡlisī, but in the form in which al-Maḡlisī transmits it, it is untraceable in any earlier source. Cf. al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 20, bb. 2, n. 9: 535, al-Ġazāʾirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 191.

¹²⁸ Almost certainly, the reference here (*al-rūm*) is to the Byzantine king; the Byzantines, however, mostly Greek-speaking Christians, would not have referred to themselves as such, a much later term, but would have called themselves 'Romans', since they understood Byzantium as an

parties in front of the head of al-Ḥusayn. “King of the Arabs,” he says, “whose head is this?” Yazīd replies: “What is it to you, whose head this is?” and the Byzantine justifies himself: “When I go back to our king, he will ask me about everything that I have seen; I would like to inform him of the story of this head and its owner so that he can share with you in joy and delight!” When Yazīd identifies the head, the Byzantine asks who the mother of the victim is and is told that she is Fāṭima, daughter of the Messenger of God. The Byzantine reacts vehemently:

Shame on you and your religion! I have a better religion than yours! My father is of the descendants of Dāwud – between me and him there are numerous forefathers, and the Christians extol me and take up the dust of my feet as a blessing by means of my father and of the descendants of Dāwud! But you have killed the son of the daughter of the Messenger of God, and there is only one generation between him and your Prophet!

The messenger then asks Yazīd whether he has heard about the ‘Church of the Hoof’, and recounts the tale of a city, measuring eighty by eighty leagues¹²⁹ in the middle of an otherwise deserted ocean between Oman and China: “There is no city greater than it on the face of the earth,” he tells Yazīd, this city dominated and ruled over by Christians, its exports including camphor, precious stones, and trees of aloe and ambergris. The Byzantine now turns his attention to the crux of his story:

In that city there are many churches, but the greatest of them is the Church of the Hoof, and in its sanctuary is suspended a receptacle of gold containing a hoof. They say that this is the hoof of the donkey which ‘Īsā used to ride, and they have

extension of the Roman Empire. The Byzantines practiced Roman law and culture, but with a conspicuous Greek flavour.

¹²⁹ A *farsab*, according to Lane’s entry on this Arabicized Persian word, remains indefinable; somewhere between ten and twelve thousand cubits, or roughly three to six miles. Cf. LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. VI, 1968: 2369.

adorned the receptacle with gold and silk brocade. Every year the erudite among the Christians go to it, walk around it, kiss it and lift their needs to God Most High. This is their regard and their assiduity for a donkey's hoof, alleging that is the hoof of the donkey on which their Prophet ʿĪsā used to ride! But you have killed the son of the daughter of your Prophet! God Most High will bless neither you nor your religion!

Yazīd is outraged and orders the execution of the messenger "lest he compromise me in his city!" It is at this juncture that the Byzantine reveals his dream:

Know that last night I saw your Prophet in a dream and he said to me: "Christian, you are of the Inhabitants of the Garden!" I was astonished at his words! I bear witness that there is no god but God and that Muḥammad is the Messenger of God! Then he jumped up and ran to the head of al-Ḥusayn and pressed it against his breast, and began to kiss it and weep until he was killed.¹³⁰

This narrative is transmitted by al-Maḡlisī, but in a very altered form;¹³¹ it is not a Byzantine Christian but rather the head of the Jewish community who approaches Yazīd, asks the identity of the head, enumerates the generations between himself and Dāwud and then converts to Islam and is killed. Al-Maḡlisī then relates the story a third time,¹³² again with substantially different details. Upon seeing the head, the Christian, now a merchant, tells Yazīd that he had visited Muḥammad during his lifetime with gifts of musk and ambergris. He had visited him in the house of Umm Salama, and there has seen the boy al-Ḥusayn, and had listened to Muḥammad

¹³⁰ Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 103–104, al-Mūsawī, *Tasliyat al-muḡālis wa zīnat al-maḡālis*, vol. II: 398, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 142–143, al-Iṣfahānī, *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-ahbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4: 442–443, al-Ḡazāʿirī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭhār*, vol. I: Part One: 249.

¹³¹ al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 31: 187.

¹³² Op. cit., n. 36: 189–191.

cursing those who would kill him. The merchant converts to Islam in front of Muḥammad (rather than in front of al-Ḥusayn's head) but keeps his conversion a secret when he returns to Rome, (the secret conversion of Christians another prevalent theme in early Islamic polemical texts). There is no mention of a dream or of the predictions usually associated with Ġibrīl in the house of Umm Salama.

The dreams of three disparate women, each in her own way linked, even tenuously, with the tragedy of al-Ḥusayn, bring this miscellany of visions to an end. The texts narrate that al-Ḥusayn's daughter Sukayna, imprisoned in Damascus with the other surviving women and children from Karbalā', dreams a numinous dream of five noble mounts of light, an elder on each of them, with angels encircling them and a servant walking with them. Ibn Namā relates the *ḥadīth* length:

The mounts passed by, and the servant approached me, drew near to me and said: "Sukayna! Your grandfather greets you with peace." I replied: And peace upon the Messenger of God; but messenger, who are you? He answered: "One of the servants of the Garden." Then I said: Who are those elders who passed by on the noble mounts? He replied: "The first is Ādam, God's choicest, the second is Ibrāhīm, the friend of God,¹³³ the third is Mūsā, the one who spoke with God,¹³⁴ the fourth is 'Īsā, the spirit of God."¹³⁵ I asked: Who is this, clutching at his beard, who falls and then rises again? He replied: "Your grandfather, the Messenger of God." So, I asked: Where are they bound? He replied: "To your father al-Ḥusayn." I approached, running in pursuit of him, to inform him what the tyrants after him had done to us, and while I was doing so, five camel litters of light drew near, with a woman in each camel litter. I said: Who are these women who have drawn near? He answered: "The first is Ḥawwā', mother of humankind, the second is Āsiya, daughter of Muzāhim, the

¹³³ Title for Ibrāhīm derived from Q. 4:125 (*ḥalīl Allāh*).

¹³⁴ Title given to Mūsā (*kalīm Allāh*).

¹³⁵ Q. 4:121.

third is Maryam, daughter of ʿImrān and the fourth is Ḥadīġa, daughter of Ḥuwaylid.”¹³⁶ I asked: Who is the fifth, the one placing her hand on her head, who falls and then rises again? He answered: “Your grandmother Fāṭima, daughter of Muḥammad, mother of your father.” I said: By God, I will certainly inform her of what has been done to us. I caught up with her and stood in front of her, weeping, and saying: Beloved mother, by God, they have denied our rights! Beloved mother, by God, they have eliminated our unity! Beloved mother, by God, they have regarded our women as fair game! Beloved mother, by God they have killed our father al-Ḥusayn! She said to me: “Sukayna, your cry is enough! My heart has been set on fire and has been broken! This is the shirt of your father al-Ḥusayn, which I have with me and which will not be separated from me until I meet God with it.”¹³⁷ Then I awoke

¹³⁶ The band of heavenly visitors on camel litters is noteworthy; although unnamed in the Qurʾānic text (with the exception of Maryam), some of them are intimately connected with personalities found there. Sāra is the wife of Ibrāhīm who, insists Q. 2:135, was neither Jew nor Christian, but an upright man (*ḥanīf*). Āsiya, the daughter of Muzāḥim and wife of Pharaoh, in contrast with the unfaithful wives of the prophets Nūḥ and Lūt, is offered as a model of faith (Q. 66:11). Ḥadīġa is the wife of Muḥammad. These women play an intimate role in other events closely associated with the People of the House. They serve as heavenly midwives for Ḥadīġa as she gives birth to Fāṭima (missing from Sukayna’s dream, but present in the texts of the heavenly midwives, are Kulṭūm, sister of Mūsā, and Ṣafraʾ, daughter of Shuʿayb, the messenger to the people of Midian named in Q. 7:85, Q. 11:84, Q. 26:177 and Q. 29:36). Their names also appear in random order, together with that of Fāṭima, as the mistresses of the women of the world. In later accounts of this story in *Bihār al-anwār*, the names change. Cf. CLOHESSY C., *Fāṭima, Daughter of Muḥammad*, 2018: 62–70, 104–106.

¹³⁷ The theme of al-Ḥusayn’s blood-stained shirt held before God by Fāṭima is found in a number of *ahādīth*, in a moment that marks the pinnacle of her grief: “She will take the shirt of al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī, stained with his blood, in her hand and she will say: Lord, this is the shirt of my son. You know what was done to him.” Cf. for e.g. al-Mufid., *al-Amālī*, n. 6:

and wanted to conceal that dream; but I told it to my family and it spread among the people.¹³⁸

Ibn Ṭāʾūs¹³⁹ relates the same dream in a much-shortened version, while al-Maḡlisī relays an account in which Sukayna repeats to Yazīd, the man who has imprisoned her, the vision she has seen:

Sukayna bt. al-Ḥusayn said: “Yazīd, I saw a vision last night: if you would hear it from me, I will tell it to you.” Yazīd replied: “Let me have what you saw!” So she said: “While I was unable to sleep and had grown fatigued from weeping, after I had made *ṣalāt* and called upon God with pleas, when my eyes were going to sleep, I saw the doors of heaven opened and I was in a light, spreading from heaven to earth; then I was with servant girls from among the servant girls of the Garden; then I was in a green garden, in which there was a castle. Then I was with five elders, who entered that castle, accompanied by a servant. I said: Servant, inform me, whose is this castle? He replied: This is your father al-Ḥusayn’s, given him by God Most High, a reward for his patience. I said: And who are these elders? He answered: The first is Ādam, the father of humankind, the second in Nūḥ, prophet of God,¹⁴⁰ the third is Ibrāhīm, the friend of the Merciful, the fourth is Mūsā, the one who spoke with God. I said to him: Who is the fifth, who I see clutching at his beard, weeping sorrowfully among them? He said to me: Sukayna, do you not know him? I replied: No, and he said: This is your great-grandfather, the Messenger of God. Then I

130, Ibn al-Ḥadīd., *Sharḥ naḥḡ al-balāḡa*, vol. III: 226, al-Maḡlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 8, n. 11: 224.

¹³⁸ Ibn Namā al-Ḥilli., *Muṭīr al-abḡān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 105, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39 n. 36: 194–196, n. 1: 140–141, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālīm al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4: 440, al-Ġazāʾirī., *Riḡāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʾimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 248.

¹³⁹ Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qutlā al-ṭufuf*: 109–110, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālīm al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 19: 441.

¹⁴⁰ In the first version, Nūḥ is replaced by ʿĪsā.

said to him: Where do they want to go? He answered: To your father, al-Ḥusayn. I said: By God, I must meet my great-grandfather and inform him of what has befallen us! He went ahead of me, but I did not meet him, and while I was pondering, suddenly I was with my grandfather ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib. He was standing with his sword in his hand, and I called out to him: Grandfather! By God, your son has been killed after you! He wept, pressed me to his breast and said: Patience, daughter, for God is the One whose help is to be sought.”¹⁴¹

Sukayna’s dream ends with a heavenly tableau reminiscent of the dream of Jacob in the Hebrew Scriptures:

“Suddenly I was at a door that had been opened in heaven and there were angels ascending and descending to the head of my father.” He said: When Yazīd heard this, he slapped his face, wept and said: “What have I to do with the killing of al-Ḥusayn?”¹⁴²

In another report, the details of Sukayna’s dream are presented in a diverse way:

¹⁴¹ Cf. Q. 12:18, Q. 21:112.

¹⁴² al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 36: 194–196, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-‘āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 18: 420, al-Ṭabarsī, *Mustadrak al-wasā’il wa mustanbaṭ al-masā’il*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 48, n. 3703: 327. A number of transmitters note that Yazīd ordered that the young Sukayna be seated behind his throne, in an attempt to shield her from catching sight of the head of her father and thereby “causing her kinship with Yazīd to be weakened.” All of this leads Zaynab’s sister Fāṭima to remark on Yazīd’s kindness and Sukayna to say of Yazīd: “I never saw a disbeliever in God more charitable than Yazīd b. Mu‘āwiya!” Cf. HOWARD I.K.A., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, vol. XIX, 1990: 169–176, Ibn ‘Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. LXIX, bb. 9353 (*Zaynab al-Kubrā bt. ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. ‘Abd al-Manāf*): 178, Ibn al-Aṭīr, *al-Kāmil fī al-tārīḥ*, vol. II: 439, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*, Part Three: 99, al-Ḍahabī, *Siyar a’lām al-nubalā’*, vol. III, bb. 48: 319–320, al-Haytamī, *Maḡma‘ al-zawā’id wa-manba‘ al-fawā’id*, vol. IX, bb. 95 (*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn*), n. 15148: 227.

Then a man of brilliant colour approached us, moonlike of face and sad of heart. I said to the servant: Who is this? He answered: “Your great-grandfather, the Messenger of God!” I approached him and said to him: Great-grandfather! By God, our men have been killed, our blood shed, our women unveiled – we were transported on (camel) humps without covering and driven to Yazīd! He took me to himself and pressed me against his breast. Then he approached Ādam, Nūh, Ib-rāhīm and Mūsā and said to them: “How do you see what my community after me has done to my son?” Then the servant said: “Sukayna, lower your voice, for you have made the Messenger of God weep!” The servant then took me by the hand and led me into the castle and behold, there were five women whose creation God has made great and whose light He has intensified. Among them was a woman of imposing character, her hair spread out and in a black dress. In her hand was a shirt smeared with blood. Whenever she stood, they all stood with her and whenever she sat, they all sat with her. I said to the servant: Who are these women whose creation God has made great. He said: “Sukayna, this is Hawwā’, mother of human-kind, and this is Maryam, daughter of ‘Imrān, and this is Ḥadīġa, daughter of Ḥuwaylid, and this is Ḥāġar and this is Sāra;¹⁴³ and this one, in whose hand is the shirt smeared with blood and who, whenever she stands, they stand with her and whenever she sits, they sit with her, is your grandmother Fāṭima the Radiant.” I approached her and said to her: Grandmother! By God, my father has been killed and I have been orphaned at my young age. She pressed me to her breast and wept bitterly. All the other women wept and said to her: “Fāṭima, on the Day of Judgment God will judge between you

¹⁴³ In contraposition to the first list of Hawwā’, Āsiya, Maryam, and Ḥadīġa.

and Yazīd!” At that, Yazīd left her and attached no importance to her words.¹⁴⁴

Yazīd, already chastened by the public rebuke he has earned from al-Ḥusayn’s sister Zaynab, and supposedly distraught, at least in some narrations, by Sukayna’s recital, has also to face a dream recounted to him by his wife Hind. She remains a woman hard to identify; al-Ṭabarī names Yazīd’s wives as Umm Ḥālid bt. Abī Hāshim b. ‘Utba b. Rabī‘a b. ‘Abd Shams and Umm Kulthūm bt. ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Amīr.¹⁴⁵ While one of his wives, the mother of his son Ḥālid, is named as Umm Hāshim Faḥīta bt. Abī Hāshim,¹⁴⁶ the mother of another son, Mu‘āwiya, remains unknown.¹⁴⁷ Hind’s dream is strongly reminiscent of an incident in the Gospel of Matthew when, during the trial of Jesus, an already edgy Pontius Pilate receives a message from his wife: “Have nothing to do with that righteous man, for I have suffered much over him today in a dream.”¹⁴⁸ This same account is recorded in the second chapter of the ‘Gospel of Nicodemus’, sometimes called ‘The Acts of Pilate’, an apocryphal text the origin of which is disputed: “Now when Pilate saw this, he was afraid, and was about to rise from his seat. But while he thought to rise, his own wife who stood at a distance, sent to him, saying, Have thou nothing to do with that just man; for I have suffered much concerning him in a vision this night.” Hind’s dream is far more detailed, as is Yazīd’s reaction to it:

It was reported on the authority of Hind, wife of Yazīd, may God curse him, who said: “I had taken to my bed, when I saw

¹⁴⁴ al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 36: 194–196, al-Isfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 18: 420.

¹⁴⁵ HOWARD I.K.A., (trans.), *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, vol. XIX, 1990, n. 429: 226. Cf. al-Balāḍurī, *Kitāb ansāb al-asbāf*, vol. V, bb. *Amr Yazīd b. Mu‘āwiya*: 299.

¹⁴⁶ ULLMAN M., “Kḥālid b. Yazīd b. Mu‘āwiya” in H.R. Gibb et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 1986, vol. IV: 59.

¹⁴⁷ BOSWORTH C.E., “Mu‘āwiya II b. Yazīd b. Mu‘āwiya I” in H.R. Gibb et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 1993, vol. VII: 268.

¹⁴⁸ Mt. 27:19.

a door from heaven that had been opened and angels descending, squadron after squadron, to the head of al-Ḥusayn and saying: Peace be with you, Abū ‘Abd Allāh, peace be with you, son of the Messenger of God! While I was thus, I looked at the cloud that had descended from heaven; in it were numerous men, and among them was a man whose colour was sparkling and his face moonlike.¹⁴⁹ He drew near, walking until he bent over the teeth of al-Ḥusayn and kissed them, saying: My son, they killed you, you see them, they never knew you, and they stopped you from drinking water. My son, I am your grandfather, the Messenger of God, and this is your father, ‘Alī the Approved One and this is your brother al-Ḥasan, and this is your uncle Ġa‘far and this is ‘Aqīl, and these are Ḥamza and al-‘Abbās. He began to enumerate the people of his house one by one. Hind said: I awoke from my dream, frightened and appalled, as a light spread out over the head of al-Ḥusayn. I set out to look for Yazīd, who had entered a darkened room and turned his face to the wall. He said: What have I to do with al-

¹⁴⁹ The image of the moon is used by a number of transmitters to describe Muḥammad. Certain *aḥādīṭ* tell of his face being like the moon when it is full, or like a piece of the moon (al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, bk. 61 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 23, n. 3552: 460, Muslim, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, bk. 43 (*Kitāb al-ṣaḍā’il*), bb. 30, n. 6084: 190, Abū Dāwūd, *Sunan*, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqib*), bb. 8, n. 3636: 331), or that his face was like the moon whenever he was happy (al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, bk. 61 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 23, n. 3556: 461, bk. 64 (*Kitāb al-maḡāzī*), bb. 80, n. 4418: 432, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), bb. 18, n. 4677: 154, Muslim, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, bk. 49 (*Kitāb al-tawba*), bb. 9, n. 7016: 156, Abū Dāwūd, *Sunan*, bk. 46 (*Abwāb tafsīr al-qur’an*), bb. 9, n. 3102: 409). Others relate that when Muḥammad was seen in the darkness of night, his face had a light ‘like the first splinter of the moon’ (cf. for e.g. al-Kulaynī, *al-Kāfī fī ‘ilm al-dīn*, vol. I, bb. *maḥlūd al-nabī*, n. 20: 446, al-Ṭabarsī, *Maḳārim al-ablāq*: 24, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī, *Kitāb ḡāmi‘ al-wāfī*, vol. III: 904, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XIV, bb. 8, 190). In the course of the famous *ḥadīṭ al-ḳisā’*, Fāṭima herself describes her father’s face as being like the full moon (al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XI, ch. 24, bb. 5: 935).

Ḥusayn? Anguish had befallen him. I recounted the dream to him while he bowed his head.”¹⁵⁰

Hind bt. ‘Utba, the wife of Abū Sufyān b. Ḥarb and grandmother of the embattled Yazīd, is another who recounts a dream, with a complexity of cosmological detail that reminds of some of the dreams of Joseph recorded in the Hebrew Scriptures (Gen. 37:9). In his *Madīnat al-ma‘āğīz*, al-Baḥrānī reports the dream, with slight additions and omissions, noted by the editor, in a simpler and redacted version:

It was reported that Hind [mother of Mu‘āwiya] went to the house of the Messenger of God at daybreak. She entered, sat down next to ‘Ā’isha and said: “Daughter of Abū Bakr, I saw a curious vision, and I want to relate it to you so that you can tell it to the Messenger of God” – this was before her son Mu‘āwiya entered Islam. ‘Ā’isha said [to her]: “Tell me about it, so that I can tell the Messenger of God [about it].” She replied: “In my dream I saw a sun shining over the whole world; from that sun, a moon was generated, the light of which radiated over the whole world. Then, [from] that moon, two brilliant stars were generated: the east and the west glowed by their light. While I was [thus], a dark black cloud appeared, as if it were a dark night. From that black cloud a speckled serpent was generated: the serpent crawled towards the two stars and swallowed them. The people began to weep and lamented over the two stars.” The narrator said: ‘Ā’isha went to the Prophet and related the vision to him [and when] the Prophet heard her words his colour changed, and he shed tears and wept. He said: “‘Ā’isha, I am the shining sun. The moon is my daughter Fāṭima and the two stars are al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn.

¹⁵⁰ al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āğīz fi mu‘ğīzāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 192/1139: 137–138, al-Mağlisī, *Bīḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 36: 194–196, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Avālim al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 18: 422, al-Ṭabarsī, *Mustadrak al-wasā’il wa mustanbaṭ al-masā’il*, vol. III, Part Three, bb. 48, n. 3703: 327.

The black cloud is Mu‘āwiya, may God curse him, and the [speckled] serpent is Yazīd, may God curse him!”¹⁵¹

A final dream comes from an unidentified guardsman, one of a group of forty or fifty accompanying the head of al-Ḥusayn to Damascus. Already having twinges of conscience, his complex dream sees all the great prophets descending in support of al-Ḥusayn’s head. Just as the cosmos unites in grieving over al-Ḥusayn, so in this dream all of heaven and earth gathers in a united indictment against Yazīd:

(Yazīd) gave an order and the head was brought to the dome opposite the one in which he was drinking. He put us in charge of the head; but all of that was in my heart and sleep did not come to me in that dome. When the night came, we were again put in charge of the head. In the deep of night, I heard a sound from heaven; and then there was a herald calling out: “Ādam! Descend!” At that, the father of humankind descended with numerous angels. Then I heard a herald calling out: “Ibrāhīm! Descend!” At that, he descended with numerous angels. Then I heard a herald calling out: “Mūsā! Descend!” At that, he descended with numerous angels. Then I heard a herald calling out: “Īsā! Descend!” At that he descended with numerous angels. Then I heard a tremendous noise and a herald calling out: “Muḥammad! Descend!” At that, he descended with many creatures from among the angels. The angels surrounded the dome. Then the Prophet entered the dome and took the head from it (in another report, Muḥammad sat down under the head and bent the spear so that the head dropped into the lap of the Messenger of God). He took it and went with it to Ādam and said: “My father Ādam, do you see what my community after me have done to my son?” (I got goose flesh because of that). Then Ǧabraʿīl rose and said: “Muḥammad! I am master of earthquakes! Order me to shake the earth with them

¹⁵¹ al-Baḥrānī, *Madīnat al-ma‘āğiz fi mu‘ğizāt al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, bb. 3, n. 138/1085: 58–60. Cf. also Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāmat Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 72, al-Mağlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 31, n. 21: 263.

and to let out one great cry with them in which they will be annihilated!” He replied: “No!” So, he said: “Muḥammad! Consign to me those forty guarding the head!” He said: “Do it.” He began to blow at them one by one, and when he approached me, he said: “(Do) you hear and see?” The Prophet said: “Leave him, leave him. God will not forgive him.” So, he left me, and they took the head, and since that night nothing was known of it.¹⁵²

It is an eschatological vision, its stark realism far removed from the tears of the *ġinn*. The event, once predicted by Ġibrīl with a handful of red dust is now censured by the whole host of heaven – angels, prophets and messengers rise in fulfilment of the augural judgment pronounced over the killers of al-Ḥusayn by a disembodied voice on Medina’s night air: “All the people of the heavens call down curses on you, from the Prophet and those sent and the fallen. You have been cursed by the tongue of Ibn Dāwud, and Mūsā and the one entrusted with the gospel.”¹⁵³ The sounds from heaven, once the beating of an angel’s wings and the whisperings of bad news, are now a roaring chorus of heavenly disapproval. But dreams may not be enough; for the God who, says the Qur’ān, «teacheth by the pen»,¹⁵⁴ will once more use the pen to write a final judgment about those who killed the grandson of His Prophet.

¹⁵² al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 31: 187–188.

¹⁵³ Ibn Namā, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān*, bb. *Nūḥ al-ġinn ‘alā al-Ḥusayn*: 107–108, al-Maġlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 43, n. 2: 235–236, al-Iṣfahānī, *‘Awālīm al-‘ulūm wa-l-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 5, n. 12: 486.

¹⁵⁴ Q. 96:4.

AFTERWORD

“Of all the questions you might want to ask about angels,” muses poet Billy Collins, “the only one you ever hear is how many can dance on the head of a pin. No curiosity about how they pass the eternal time...”¹ Even so, Islam erupted into a world of Christian shrines and places of worship much attached to ideas, some of them heterodox, of a tangible angelic presence, and dedicated to honouring these heavenly beings who toil and intercede on humanity’s behalf. As Padwick remarks: “In a world where these ideas were at work, Islam was born, and the angels have their part in its cosmogony and religious scenery.”² The existence of angels as pure

¹ COLLINS B., “Questions about angels” in B. Collins, *Tasking Off Emily Dickenson’s Clothes*, Picador, London 2000: 37.

² PADWICK C., *Muslim Devotions. A Study of Prayer Manuals in Common Use*, Oneworld, Oxford 1996: 228. As Godwin notes, the term *Angelus Occidentalis* is used for a number of species of angels found in the major monotheistic faiths, all of which share a tripartite cosmology (the division

spiritual beings is patently supported by the Hebrew scriptures (despite rejection by the Sadducees, as noted Acts 23:8) as a truth of faith, one which slipped effortlessly from there into Christian belief. Assisting and ministering to humanity (Heb. 1:14) from their inaccessible world, with a keenness and attention that the Christian scriptures suggest is their all-absorbing task (Mt. 18:10) – Schimmel takes note of Iqbal's line, "though the angels are from the magic of the spheres, their eyes are always directed towards this handful of dust"³ – their final earthly intervention will be to summon all of humanity to judgment.

In reality, most of the Jewish and Christian knowledge of angels comes from sources outside of the canonical scriptures. In Christian theological history, for example, the four great Doctors of the Western Church,⁴ Ambrose (d. 397), Jerome (d. 420), Augustine (d. 430) and Gregory the Great (d. 604) wrote comprehensively on angelology, attempting to give a glimpse of life in the heavenly realms, as did some of the great medieval mystical and scholastic theologians, like Bernard of Clairvaux (d. 1153), Peter Lombard (d. 1160) and Thomas Aquinas (d. 1274).

In the Islamic corpus there is substantially less; but even the relative dearth of Islamic texts focussing on angels does not belie the fact that, as Kassim observes, they are, in contraposition to other monotheistic faith traditions, indispensable to Islamic belief (Q. 2:177), appearing second in the list of Islamic credal articles (Q. 2:285).⁵ The scarcity of written angelology in Islam is conceivably the result of the considerable Islamic emphasis on revelation, for

ino Earth, Heaven and Hell). Cf. GODWIN M., *Angels. An Endangered Species*, 1990: 7

³ SCHIMMEL A., *Gabriel's Wing*, Iqbal Academy, Pakistan 2003: 204.

⁴ The thirty-six or so 'Doctors' are men and women who made significant contributions to the Church through their profound understanding of the faith.

⁵ KASSIM H., "Nothing can be Known or Done without the Involvement of Angels: Angels and Angelology in Islam and Islamic Literature" in F.V. Reiterer et al., (eds.), *Angels: The Concept of Celestial Beings: Origins, Development and Reception*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 2007: 646.

the chief importance of angels is in their function rather than in their nature. While this may be equally so in Christianity, as noted by, among others, St. Augustine, ultimately angels are, for Islam, a more essential ingredient to the divine intervention we call revelation than they are for Judaism or for Christianity.

Comparable to angels, dreams, at least in the Islamic ambit, enfold themselves virtually seamlessly into almost every aspect of human life; and, akin to angels, dreams appear to perform corresponding functions – now warning, now chastising, sometimes commiserating, sometimes commending, at other times informing or commanding. Green suggests it was the very dreams and visions of Muḥammad that announced the beginning of historical Islam, so that the Qurʾān itself may be classified as a visionary text, a point underscored also by Von Grunebaum.⁶ In antithesis to the question of angels, in the case of dream interpretation the Islamic writings are substantially more voluminous than those of the Jewish and Christian corpora. Lamoureaux and Chittick provide us with the names of numerous Muslim savants and scholars who, intrigued by the role of dreams, found themselves dabbling in analysis and clarification. Ibn Sīrīn⁷ was ostensibly (but seemingly erroneously) regarded as the father of Islamic dream interpretation; notwithstanding Ibn Ḥaldūn's fulsome praise and al-Buḥārī's transmitting from him the threefold classification of dreams,⁸ Lamoureaux disputes whether Ibn Sīrīn ever authored a single work about deciphering

⁶ GREEN N., "The Religious and Cultural Roles of Dreams and Visions in Islam" in *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, Third Series, vol. 13, No. 3 (Nov., 2003): 287, VON GRUNEBAUM G.E. "The Cultural Function of the Dream as Illustrated by Classical Islam" in G.E von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 7.

⁷ Muḥammad b. Sīrīn (d. 110/728: cf. GAL S. I: 102). Named by Sezgin as Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Sīrīn al-Baṣrī al-Anṣārī (GAS I: 633–634).

⁸ "Muḥammad b. Sīrīn was one of the most widely-known scholars in this and he wrote basic principles which people have passed on till this age" (Ibn Ḥaldūn., *Tarih*, vol. I: 529), al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IX, bk. 91 (*Kitāb al-ta'bir*), bb. 26, n. 7017: 103–104.

dreams, and judges all the texts attributed to him to be inauthentic.⁹ However, Ibn Sīrīn is only one of an extensive but by no means exhaustive list enumerated by the little-known al-Ḥallāl¹⁰ in his ‘The List of Dream Interpreters’ (*Ṭabaqāt al-mu‘abbirīn*). Others include al-Kirmānī, whose manual of the meaning of dreams is extant¹¹ only in fragmentary form in numerous other works like that of al-Dīnawarī;¹² and Ibn Qutayba, ostensibly the first compiler of a dream text (*Ṭabarāt* [or *Ta‘bīr*] *al-ru’yā*) that is still extant,¹³ as is that of al-Sīgīstānī.¹⁴

Islam is therefore not short of men and women who probed the meaning of dreams, an art seemingly as prevalent now as it was when al-Ḥallāl compiled his *Ṭabaqāt*;¹⁵ but while the dream of

⁹ LAMOREAUX J.C., *The Early Muslim Tradition of Dream Interpretation*, 2002: 19. Cf. also FAHD T. “The Dream in Medieval Islamic Society” in G.E. von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, 1966: 360.

¹⁰ al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥusayn al-Ḥallāl (c. 4th/10th century); in his *Ṭabaqāt*, he lists about 7500 people famous as decipherers of dreams.

¹¹ LAMOREAUX J.C., *The Early Muslim Tradition of Dream Interpretation*, 2002: 25.

¹² Abū Sa‘īd Naṣr b. Ya‘qūb al-Dīnawarī (d. after 400/1010 according to ORFALI B., “al-Dīnawarī, Abū Sa‘d Naṣr b. Ya‘qūb” in K. Fleet, G. Krämer et al., *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 3rd edition, Brill, Leiden 2008: 68–69, cf. also GAL S. I: 433) in his *Kitāb al-ta‘bīr fī-l-ru’yā*, although Orfali names the work as *al-Qādirī fī-l-ta‘bīr* or *Kitāb al-ḡāmi‘ al-kabīr fī-l-ta‘bīr*, completed in 1009 and which quotes authors like Ibn Sīrīn and al-Kirmānī.

¹³ Abū Muḥammad ‘Alī b. Muslim b. Qutayba (or Qutaybī) al-Qutabī al-Dīnawarī al-Marwazī (d. 276/889 or 270/884; cf. GAL S. I: 184). Cf. ALBERTINI T., “Dreams, Visions, and Nightmares in Islam: From the Prophet Muḥammad to the Fundamentalist Mindset” in N. van Deusen (ed.), *Dreams and Visions. An Interdisciplinary Enquiry*, Brill, Leiden 2010: 178. Orfali claims that al-Dīnawarī’s is the oldest surviving authentic Arabic treatise on the interpretation of dreams: cf. ORFALI B., “al-Dīnawarī, Abū Sa‘d Naṣr b. Ya‘qūb” in K. Fleet, G. Krämer et al., *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 3rd edition, Brill, Leiden 2008: 69.

¹⁴ Ḥallāl Abū Aḥmad Ḥalaf b. Aḥmad (d. 399/1008).

¹⁵ EDGAR I.R., *The Dream in Islam*, Berghahn Books, 2011: 17.

Sulaymān b. ‘Abd al-Malik b. Marwān with which this book began needed the interpretation of al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, and the dream Umm al-Faḍl called for clarification by Muḥammad, the majority of dreams concerning al-Ḥusayn’s death at Karbalā’ are almost never enigmatic or impenetrable, or possessed of a symbolism that demands to be disentangled by some sophisticated explanation. They are characterized, instead, by a stark and unmitigated clarity.

Thomas Cahill writes amusingly and eruditely of how the citizens of Rome awoke one morning, early in the 5th century, to find the Visigoth king Alaric and his army camped outside the city. They dispatched a pair of envoys for what they imagined would be an easy negotiation, but Alaric was more cunning than they realized, and the envoys soon found themselves pleading for the city. Alaric promised to decamp as soon as his men had ransacked Rome, removing all the silver and gold, every object of value as well as every barbarian slave. The envoys were beside themselves. “But what will that leave us?” they cried. “Your lives,” answered Alaric quietly. At that moment, remarks Cahill, “Roman security died and a new world was conceived.”¹⁶

Al-Ḥusayn and his seventy-odd companions escaped with less; the murder of the grandson of Islam’s prophet and his supporters was an event so inexplicably horrifying as to flush the face of Islam with shame. Nor would it be imprecise to note that at the moment of his martyrdom, a new world was conceived; the memory of the Karbalā’ plains has embedded itself into the psyche of successive generations of Shī‘ī Muslims, etching itself ever more profoundly into texts, into memories of encounters with the divine, and even into the collective subconscious of al-Ḥusayn’s devotees. While the context and circumstances surrounding the last journey of al-Ḥusayn and his small party from Medina to Kūfa and their death at the hands of Yazīd’s forces have been recounted often enough, the dreams that augured the event and those that ensued after the battle are not always chronicled. The commentators insist that his was a destiny preordained by God, aside from any political motives or circumstances that may have determined it; Iqbal, for example, en-

¹⁶ CAHILL T., *How the Irish Saved Civilization*, Random House, 1996: 52–53.

visages him as the archetype of the ‘warrior saint’ doing battle against a purely temporal caliphate.¹⁷ Having received from Kūfa pledges of support should he lead a revolt, the journey there was filled with unnerving reports of the capriciousness of the Kūfans, the mass of military might opposing him and the desertion of a number of his supporters. At Karbalā’, on the banks of the Euphrates, al-Ḥusayn and his small remnant were deprived of access to the water that flowed so abundantly nearby and finally, on the 10th day of the month of Muḥarram, were massacred by the vast opposing force. The survivors of the carnage – most of the women, including Zaynab, sister of al-Ḥusayn, as well as his young son Zayn al-‘Ābidīn who succeeded his father as Imām, were taken captive by Yazīd’s forces. The women and small children experienced significant physical and psychological agony, forced as they were to follow after the severed heads of their menfolk on the way to Yazīd in Damascus via his governor, Ibn Ziyād, in Kūfa. It was before Yazīd, that Zaynab, sometimes called ‘the tongue of ‘Alī in pursuit’ in homage to the oratorical skills that she had inherited from her father, began the great oral tradition that surrounds Karbalā’. However, the event would also be inscribed into a communal anamnesis by those who passed the dreams down to the succeeding generations.

As they progress, the dream narratives, peopled by a variety of characters, take on a vivid and increasing detail. The dust, for instance, which begins as a sign of bitter sadness on the beard and head of Muḥammad will become the dust that he turns over and over in his hand in mourning; eventually it will be afforded shape and texture, colour and odour; a clod of earth, mud or dust vibrantly red in colour, and ultimately, dust in a glass vial under Umm Salama’s guardianship, which on a day and at an hour will turn to fresh blood.

There is a development not only in the character of the angel, but also in the words and gestures used by this celestial visitor. But this angel, if an angel he is, propitiously shared by the monotheistic faiths, is harder to delineate than is the Karbalā’ dust. He makes more than one appearance in the Hebrew Scriptures, in the apoca-

¹⁷ SCHIMMEL A., *Gabriel’s Wing*, 2003: 167.

lyptic Book of Daniel, where he is named, and in which his own genus and species is hinted at when, in Dan. 8:17, he greets Daniel as ‘O human’, a term of address employed by the divine for human beings (conversely, in the Islamic texts we have studied, he always addressed Muḥammad by his name). According to Alter, his being named ‘Gabriel’ (meaning, in Hebrew, ‘God is my strength’) in the Daniel text is a feature of a late period when names were actually assigned.¹⁸ In the ninth chapter, Daniel refers to him as ‘the man Gabriel’, because he has taken on anthropomorphic form, and then describes how he ‘glided down in flight’ (Dan. 9:21). Again, in Dan. 10:5–6 Daniel describes ‘a man’ who is almost certainly Gabriel, dressed in gold and linen, with a body like chrysolite and eyes like fire, arms and legs like burnished bronze and a voice like a crowd of people. Gabriel will pull Daniel, who has fainted in horror, to his feet, address him once again as ‘man’ and instruct him to understand the words that he, Gabriel, has been sent to speak.

He is only expressly named three times in the Qurʾān (Q. 2:97–98, Q. 66:4) and always as Ġibrīl although, as already noted, in the corpus of *tafsīr* and *aḥādīth* the name varies between Ġibrīl, Ġabraʿīl, Ġabrīl, Ġabraʿil, Ġabrāʿīl, Ġabayīl and Ġabrāʿīl.¹⁹ In a manner reminiscent of Daniel, Q. 53:5–9 describes this imposing bringer of revelation as «*one of mighty powers*» and «*vigorous*» (the Arabic *mirra* conveys the sense of ‘strength’) who «*grew clear to view when he was on the uppermost horizon*» (an element repeated in Q. 81:23) until he hung suspended «*two bows’ length or even nearer*».

The Sunnī corpus of *aḥādīth* provides a disparate and at times inconsistent picture of Ġibrīl. Certainly, Ġibrīl is seen by Muḥammad, at least twice in his original created form (insists ‘Āʿīsha),²⁰ covered with six hundred wings,²¹ at times occupying a

¹⁸ ALTER R., *The Hebrew Bible. A Translation with Commentary*, vol. III, 2019: 9426.

¹⁹ Cf. JEFFERY A., *The Foreign Vocabulary of the Qurʾān*, 2007: 100.

²⁰ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), *sūrat al-Naḡm*, bb. 1, n. 4855: 322–323, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 77, nn. [439] 287 – (177): 282. ‘Āʿīsha insists that Ġibrīl would come to Muḥammad as a man, but on the occasion of Q. 53:8–9 he came in his

seat or a throne between heaven and earth,²² his massive bulk filling that space.²³ More than once ʿĀʿisha describes the mechanics of the first revelation but omits any description of the being who brought it.²⁴ At other times, Muḥammad sees Ğibrīl as a man (not only in his heart, as some texts insist, for there are sufficient narratives to suggest it was more than this);²⁵ at the 6/627 Battle of the Ditch for example, Ğibrīl appears as a soldier, nonchalantly described by the texts as ‘brushing the dust from his hair’.²⁶ Others do not see him: “you see what we do not see,” remarks ʿĀʿisha,²⁷ while Umm

actual figure, big enough to cover the whole horizon: cf. al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʾ al-ḥalq*), bb. 7, n. 3235: 286–287.

²¹ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʾ al-ḥalq*), bb. 7, n. 3232: 285–286, vol. VI, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), *sūrat al-Naḡm*, bb. 1, nn. 4856, 4857: 323–324, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 77, nn. [432] 280 – (174), [433] 281, (in his true form with 600 wings) [434] 282: 280–281.

²² al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʾ al-ḥalq*), bb. 7, n. 3238: 287–288, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 73, n. [406] 255 – (161): 256, n. [409] 257: 257–258. The texts do not always name the angel of revelation, seated on this throne, as Ğibrīl.

²³ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʾ al-ḥalq*), bb. 7, n. 3234: 286, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 77, n. [439] 287 (177): 282.

²⁴ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-waḥy*), bb. 3, n. 3: 46–47, vol. VI, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), *sūrat al-ʿAlaq*, bb. 1, n. 4953: 401, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 73, n. [403] 252 – (160): 252–253.

²⁵ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʾ al-ḥalq*), bb. 6, n. 3215: 279, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 77, nn. [435] 283 (175), [436] 284 (176), [437] 285: 281. One text notes that Ğibrīl experienced by Muḥammad in the receiving of revelation as a voice and a light but not seeing a visible form for seven years: cf. Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 43 (*Kitāb al-faḍāʾil*), bb. 33, n. [6104] 123: 197.

²⁶ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 56 (*Kitāb al-ḡibād*), bb. 18, n. 2813: 61, vol. V, bk. 64 (*Kitāb al-maḡāẓi*), bb. 31, n. 4117: 269, n. 4122: 271, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. V, bk. 32 (*Kitāb al-ḡibād*), bb. 22, n. [4598] 65 – (1769): 61.

²⁷ al-Buḥārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VIII, bk. 78 (*Kitāb al-adab*), n. 6201: 123, bk. 79 (*Kitāb al-istiʿdān*), n. 6249: 150, bk. 59 (*Kitāb badʾ al-ḥalq*), bb. 6, n. 3217: 279, vol. V, bk. 62 (*Kitāb faḍāʾil aṣḥāb al-nabi*), bb. 30, n. 3768: 74 (‘you see

Salama and Ibn ‘Abbās, as already noted, are fooled into thinking that Ġibrīl is in fact Dihya b. Ḥalīfa al-Kalbī, a companion of Muḥammad.²⁸ The famous *ḥadīth Ġibrīl* asserts that a number of Muḥammad’s companions mistake Ġibrīl for a man in a radiantly white garment and with pitch black hair, a traveller who shows none of the weariness of travel, and who then, having explained the fundamentals of Islam, disappears from their sight.²⁹ Ġibrīl is also seen by Sa‘d, who is fighting alongside Muḥammad at the 3/625 Battle of Uḥud, as Ibn ‘Abbās would see him, as a man dressed in white standing by the Prophet.³⁰ Abū Ḍarr hears a noise that turns out to be Ġibrīl’s voice,³¹ the same voice heard by Hāġar, mother of Ismā‘īl, in her frantic desert sojourn,³² although another text suggests that Abū Ḍarr heard no such thing.³³

The word ‘spirit’ (*rūḥ*) occurs twenty-one times in the Qur’ānic text;³⁴ generally, most classical commentators identify the

what we do not’), Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 13, n. [6304] 91: 302.

²⁸ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 61 (*Kitāb al-faḍā’il*), bb. 25, n. 3633: 499, vol. VI, bk. 66 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-qur’ān*), bb. 1, n. 4980: 421, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 74, n. [423] 271 - (167): 273, vol. VI, bk. 44 (*Kitāb faḍā’il al-ṣaḥāba*), bb. 16, n. [6315] 100 - (2451): 312, al-Tirmidī., *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 46 (*Abwāb al-manāqib*), n. 3629: 340.

²⁹ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 2 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), vol. VI, bk. 65 (*Kitāb al-tafsīr*), *sūrat Luqmān*, bb. 2, n. 4777: 260–261, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. I, bk. 1 (*Kitāb al-īmān*), bb. 1, n. [93] 1 - (8): 92–95, n. [97] 5 - (9): 96–97, Abū Dāwud., *Sunan*, vol. V, bk. 39 (*Kitāb al-sunna*), bb. 16, n. 4695: 207–209.

³⁰ Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VI, bk. 43 (*Kitāb al-faḍā’il*), bb. 10, n. [6004] 46 (2306): 160.

³¹ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VIII, bk. 79 (*Kitāb al-isti’dān*), n. 6268: 161–162, vol. VIII, bk. 81 (*Kitāb al-riqāq*), bb. 14, n. 6444: 249, Muslim., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. III, bk. 12 (*Kitāb al-ṣakāt*), bb. 9, n. [23041] 32 - (94): 37–38.

³² al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. IV, bk. 60 (*Kitāb aḥādīth al-anbiyā’*), bb. 9, n. 3365: 356–357.

³³ al-Buḥārī., *Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. VIII, bk. 81 (*Kitāb al-riqāq*), bb. 13, n. 6443: 247–248.

³⁴ Four times as ‘the spirit of holiness’ (*rūḥ al-quḍus*, Q. 2: 87, 253, Q. 5:110, Q. 16:102), three times as ‘Our spirit’ (*rūḥa-nā*, Q. 19:17, Q. 21:91,

‘spirit’ with Ġibrīl and Ġibrīl with the angelic being who descended with revelation, although the text of the Qur’ān does not construe such an identification, which in itself appears somewhat simplistic. The Qur’ān in fact does not classify Ġibrīl as either an angel or a spirit. The drawback with the word ‘spirit’, especially if capitalized, is that it carries the weight of Christian pneumatology. If not capitalised, the word ‘spirit’ could easily and justifiably refer to an angel (remembering that the word ‘angel’ refers to function rather than to nature) or even an angelic rank, and thus to Ġibrīl. However, where the Qur’ān speaks of God ‘breathing’ His spirit (in six references, Q. 21:91, Q. 66:12, Q. 15:29, Q. 38:72, Q. 4:171 and Q. 32:9), the commentators and exegetes would have to separate this ‘spirit’ from ‘angel’ and move towards a less unlikely congruence.

Of particular interest in terms of the apparent equivalence between Ġibrīl and the ‘spirit’ are the two Qur’ānic accounts of the disclosure of ‘Īsā’s conception, comprising a single heavenly messenger in the earlier account (Q. 19:16–21) and a gathering of angels addressing Maryam, seemingly in unison, in the later account (Q. 3:42–47) uncoupling this latter passage both from Q. 19 and from the Lucan narrative in the Christian Scriptures.

In this latter narrative (Q. 3), a group of angels informs Maryam of the conception; in the same *sūrat* it is a group of angels which addresses Zakariyyā, announcing to him the birth of a son, Yaḥyā (in contraposition to the announcements of al-Ḥusayn’s

Q. 66:12), three times as ‘the angels and the Spirit’ (*al-malā’ika wa-l-rūḥ*, Q. 70:4, Q. 78:38 [‘the Spirit and the angels’], Q. 97:4), twice as ‘My Spirit’ (*rūḥī*, Q. 15:29, Q. 38:72), twice as ‘the Spirit of His command’ (*al-rūḥ min amri-hi*, Q. 16:2, Q. 40:15), twice as ‘a Spirit from Him’ (*rūḥ^{um} min-hu*, Q. 4:171, Q. 58:22) and once as ‘the True Spirit’ (*al-rūḥ al-amīn*, Q. 26:193), ‘His Spirit’ (*rūḥi-hi*, Q. 32:9), ‘the Spirit is by command of my Lord’ (*al-rūḥ min amri rabbī*, Q. 17:85), a Spirit of Our command (*rūḥ^{um} min amri-nā*, Q. 42:52) and the Spirit (*al-rūḥ*, Q. 17:85). Pickthall, whom I have here followed, is incorrect in his translation of *rūḥ al-quḍus* as ‘the Holy Spirit’, and is inconsistent in his writing ‘spirit’ with a capital letter. In fact, the interpreters and translators who insist on spelling ‘spirit’ with the uppercase only add to the confusion, leading people to think this must be some sort of divine being.

death rather than birth) who, in popular tradition and parallel with al-Ḥusayn, spends only six months in the womb. In Q. 3:42–45 the angelic group addresses Maryam, making known to her the favour she has with God, instructing her in the elements of a virtuous life and announcing to her a son, albeit it in language more obscure than that used for Zakariyyā; not a son precisely but ‘a word from God’ who will be named, be illustrious and will be one of the *muqarrribūn* – not, in this context, the angels ‘drawn near’ but simply ‘those’ drawn near. In response to the not unexpected questions asked by Zakariyyā and by Maryam, the group of angels becomes one, or at least one angel becomes the spokesperson for the group, making answer.³⁵

In the earlier Qur’ānic narrative (Q. 19:19), the announcement of a son to Maryam is made by a single heavenly visitor, identified in the text as ‘Our spirit’ (*rūḥa-nā*). The visitor nominates himself as a ‘messenger’, but the appellation ‘Our spirit’ is repeated both in Q. 21:91 («*And she who was chaste, therefor We breathed into her (something) of Our Spirits*») and in Q. 66:12 («*And Mary, daughter of Imran, whose body was chaste, therefor We breathed therein something of Our Spirits*»), in which the verbal announcement by a visitor becomes an action on the part of God. Authors like Shellabear³⁶ suggest that in the so-called Meccan period particularly (c. 610–622), the word ‘spirit’ (*rūḥ*) was related to the angels, specifically Ġibrīl, whom the very appellation seems to distinguish from all other angels (Q. 70:4, Q. 78:38 and Q. 97:4 all draw a distinction between «*the angels and the Spirits*» leading Macdonald to suggest the ‘spirit’ as a personality distinct from the angels).³⁷ As already noted, the Qur’ān never actually giving the designation ‘angel’ to Ġibrīl implies that his status and function are

³⁵ ZAHNISER A.H.M., “The Word of God and the Apostleship of ‘Īsā: a Narrative Analysis of Āl ‘Imrān (3): 33–66” in *Journal of Semitic Studies* XXXVII/1 Spring 1991: 101.

³⁶ SHELLABEAR W.G., “The Meaning of the Word ‘Spirit’ as used in the Koran – A Message to Muslims” in *The Muslim World*, 22 (4), 1932: 355–360.

³⁷ MACDONALD D.B., “The Development of the Idea of Spirit in Islam” in *The Muslim World*, 22 (1), 1932: 25–42, 22 (2): 153–168.

indeed different; it is in the corpus of *ahādīth* that this supernatural being and bringer of revelation is called ‘angel’.³⁸

In al-Ḥusayn’s case, the announcement is never made by a group of angels, but always by one who is clearly identified either by name (Ġibrīl), or by title (such as ‘the angel of the rain’) or by some distinguishing characteristic (such as being described as an angel ‘never sent before’). In the stories of the predictions of al-Ḥusayn’s death, it is quite clearly Ġibrīl who takes a singular role, performing distinct gestures in order to retrieve dust from Karbalā’; beating the ground with his hand, his fist or his wing to produce red mud, or extending his hand, or reaching into Karbalā’ to seize a handful of its dust, or simply expanding his wing.

It would be hard to conclude that Muḥammad’s experiences recounted in these dream texts were auditory rather than visual. The language used and the physicality expressed (such as Ġibrīl handing him the dust of Karbalā’) suggest the very opposite; that Muḥammad saw Ġibrīl, perhaps in a simple although unusual anthropomorphic form (he did, after all, have functional wings), while others (like Umm Salama) did not. Even al-Ḥusayn rarely if ever expresses childhood memories of actually seeing his grandfather’s celestial visitor. Seldom does anyone besides Muḥammad see the heavenly messenger, even among those present at the time of the visitation (Fāṭima’s tactile experience does not confirm that she saw her guests, and although sometimes it seems that Umm Salama does, this is never explicitly confirmed).

In an early visitation concerning the death of Muḥammad’s son Ibrāhīm, in a narrative carried by al-Baġdādī, Ġibrīl descended to him with ‘revelation’ from the Lord of the worlds. Al-Baġdādī employs the term *waby*, which as Madigan notes, even when addressed to prophets or messengers, does not necessarily imply scriptural revelation.³⁹ Nonetheless, it is of note that in attempting

³⁸ While the majority commentators easily equate Ġibrīl with ‘Our Spirit’, thus making him the celestial visitor in Q. 19, it is of note that others, such as ‘Īsā, are also referred to as a ‘spirit’ in the Qur’ānic text (cf. for e.g. Q. 4:171).

³⁹ MADIGAN D., “Revelation” in Jane Dammen McAuliffe et al (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of the Qur’ān*, vol. IV, Brill, Leiden 2001: 440.

to clarify Ġibrīl's appearance and method, others like al-Tirmidī take pains to separate Ġibrīl's announcements about al-Ḥusayn from his more usual revelatory messages, to the extent of even re-identifying the angel.

The conversation between Muḥammad and the angel standing before him also grows in emotion and intensity, with Muḥammad intermittently taking the lead. In the early accounts he is a silent recipient; then he begins to express a wish to know the identity of the killers, and eventually asks to be shown the place (or the dust of the place) of the killing. Slowly too, the reader of these accounts becomes aware of the land of Karbalā', which at first is hesitantly described only by certain physical elements; in an early text from al-Ḥawārizmī and Ibn Ṭā'ūs for example, Muḥammad is shown al-Ḥusayn's tomb. More frequently, it is through red dust or soil by which al-Ḥusayn's place of martyrdom is depicted. Sequentially, it is pinpointed more exactly, rather than remaining merely a place from which Ġibrīl retrieves dust, and is named by its description as a land of distress (*karb*) and tribulation (*balā'*).

But at its deepest level, beyond all the details of bloodied soil and the air pulsating with beating wings, beyond the flurry of angelic movement and Umm Salama's ineffectual attempts to guard a door, beyond the proposition of the Ḥusaynian model as an indictment of iniquity and a paradigm of defiant resistance against injustice in its manifold forms, these reports detail the manner in which a very particular group of people encountered the divine. After all, the whole Muslim ethic roots itself in the conviction that the most elemental relationship in life is the relationship with God.

One might be tempted to think that these accounts of al-Ḥusayn are merely an attempt by some Shī'ī scholars to give angelic endorsement to the drama of his martyrdom. In fact, outside of the corpus of Shī'ī *ahādīth* and history, they are quite patently found in the works of non-Shī'ī scholars. Nor are angelic messages about al-Ḥusayn necessarily a later interpolation; the 2nd/8th century Ibn Ṭahmān is only one of a group of scholars already alluding to them a century before al-Tirmidī. Even if, as noted at the very start, we choose to follow a substantial number of non-Muslim academics and regard large parts of the corpus of *ahādīth* as unreliable, we still have to deal with these stories, in a basic narrative form, in the important Islamic historians, most of whom are Sunnī, so that these

reports must be regarded as more than just a Shīʿī reading of history or an attempt at divine endorsement.

In the secularized world, religious and secular history rarely coalesce, but in the great monotheistic faith traditions they do, and in Islam they are inextricably bound, running with little contention along almost parallel lines. For the secular historian, there may be no such objective reality as ‘sacred history’; it has no obvious significance for secular history except insofar as secular history is affected by the way that religious groups or movements impact upon and modify the course of events. For the believer however, Jewish, Christian or Muslim, secular and sacred history journey together, since the sacred is understood as the frequent interventions and eruptions of God into the world, a collection of divine responses to earthly events. As Mahmoud Ayoub asks:

What criterion, then, must the historian, and especially the historian of religion, use in examining the various traditions purporting to relate an event of great significance for the religious life of so many people over so many centuries...? It will not do...to limit ourselves to the bare facts as we see them, because even they have to be arbitrarily chosen. Nor can we, to be sure, take all traditions to be factual data. We must, however, choose our facts, often including those facts which, while to us they do not seem historically valid, were nonetheless considered as such by the community...⁴⁰

Mainstream Christians regard the Resurrection of Jesus as an historical occurrence, backed up at the very least by eyewitness accounts of an empty tomb and startling appearances; and yet this event does not find its way into secular history, in the way, for example, that the assassination of the Archduke Ferdinand, also an historical event supported by eyewitnesses, does. Nonetheless, Christians consistently believe in the historicity of the Resurrection, as also the Incarnation, so that we are bound to read their sacred texts not just academically but also according to the understanding

⁴⁰ AYOUB M., *Redemptive Suffering in Islam. A Study of the Devotional Aspects of ‘Ashura in Twelver Shi‘ism*, Mouton Publishers, The Hague 1978: 137.

of the religious reader; how that text forges, informs and persuades faith. The angelic announcement of an important birth or death is a fairly standard category in the scriptural traditions of the monotheistic faiths. The Annunciation narrated by Luke, for example, is regarded by exegetes as an historical narration, expressed in a genre generally held to be the announcement of a marvellous birth (either by reason of the manner of birth or of the one born), a literary genre that is well known in the Hebrew Scriptures. In those scriptures, there are several instances when a heavenly messenger announces to a woman, already advanced in age and/or sterile, that she will become a mother through a special intervention of God and counter to the laws of nature.⁴¹

It is unsurprising therefore, that historians like Ibn ‘Asākir and Ibn Kaṭīr should include accounts of angelic mediation in their texts; as Von Grunebaum underscores, “the objective validity of the oneiric data” is not questioned: “[T]he cognitive significance of the dream is sufficiently established and explained by the observation that ‘the prophets were wont to consider dreams as revelation to them of the sacred law’.”⁴² Even if later Shī‘ī devotees augmented the stories, adding a certain texture and depth and colour, the basic narrative remains unaltered.

Similarly, aside from purely academic motives, we have to read these texts about al-Ḥusayn – not specifically of Karbalā’, which easily finds its way into secular history, but the angelic announcements of his death – in the same way that religious people read them. Critical textual analysis forms a substantial and crucial part of

⁴¹ Some of the best-known texts are Gen. 18:9–15 (an angelic messenger announces that the aged Sarah is to become the mother of Isaac), Jdg. 13:2–7 (an angelic messenger announces that the sterile wife of Manoah is to become the mother of Samson), I Sam. 1:1–18 (the story of Hannah and her Samuel, admittedly different in that there is no angelic visitor). In the New Testament, in the passage immediately preceding the Annunciation to Mary, an angel announces to Zechariah that his aged and sterile wife Elizabeth will conceive a child (Lk. 1: 11–22).

⁴² VON GRUNEBAUM G.E. “The Cultural Function of the Dream as Illustrated by Classical Islam” in G.E von Grunebaum & R. Caillois. (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, 1966: 7.

academic life; but it is not the only or even primary reason for reading and appreciating a text. If we only read Ḥāfīz⁴³ or Wilfred Owen⁴⁴ for the purpose of critical analysis, we should fail to appreciate the cadences of great literature.

This short work is an attempt to read again the texts of encounter between humans and the divine in an attempt to catch the strains of an authentic angelic voice coming down through the years, as fresh as it was when it rang out in the house of Umm Salama.

⁴³ Ḥwāḡa Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad Ḥāfīz al-Shīrāzī (d. 1390), Persian poet.

⁴⁴ Wildred Owen (d. 1918), British poet.

APPENDIX ONE: THE WRITING ON THE WALL

The texts recorded in this appendix are markedly evocative of a story recorded in the Hebrew Scriptures, in the fifth chapter of the Book of Daniel. It concerns an incident during an irreligious dinner held by the Babylonian regent Belshazzar, in the course of which a hand appears and writes indecipherable words on the palace wall. With his advisors and scholars floundering, Belshazzar, upon the advice of the queen mother,¹ sends for the young Daniel, the decoder of dreams, who unlocks for him the meaning of the doom-laden words.

As it stands, the Daniel story is not without ambiguity. Belshazzar, for example, is portrayed as king and as son of Nebuchad-

¹ Rather, notes Robert Alter than the ‘queen’, as found in the text, who would not have had more information about Daniel than her husband the king. Cf. ALTER R., *The Hebrew Bible. A Translation with Commentary*, vol. III, W. Norton & Company, New York 2019: 9389–9390.

nezzar;² in reality, Belshazzar is quite clearly the eldest son of Nabonidus, the last king of the Babylonian empire, and regent for his father who was regularly absent from the city for prolonged periods. It is for this reason that all he can offer Daniel as a reward for deciphering the words on the wall is the position of third highest ruler in the kingdom, because he himself is in second place after his father, the king *in absentia*. A substantial proportion of scholars suggest that the story of Belshazzar's feast as recounted in the Hebrew Scriptures is a work of historical fiction, since it contains a series of inconsistent details. In and of themselves, the inaccuracies do not detract from the story; even if Belshazzar was never technically king, Daniel referring to him as such is consonant, for example, with Herod Antipas, who in the Gospel of Matthew (14:1, 9) is called 'king' although was only ever a governor (tetrarch). For all intents and purposes, Belshazzar was crown prince and regent of Babylon in his father's absence.

The feast story, in which Belshazzar plays a key role, holds a number of similarities with later, Islamic texts detailing the divine retribution for the ill-treatment and killing of al-Husayn by members of Muḥammad's own community. In the thirty-one verses of the fifth chapter, Daniel recounts the story as follows:

King Belshazzar gave a great banquet for his noblemen, a thousand of them, and, in the presence of this thousand, he drank his wine. Having tasted the wine, Belshazzar gave orders for the gold and silver vessels to be brought which his father Nebuchadnezzar had taken from the sanctuary in Jerusalem, so that the king, his noblemen, his wives and the women who sang for him could drink out of them. The gold and silver vessels taken from the sanctuary of the Temple of God in Jerusalem were brought in, and the king, his noblemen, his wives and the women who sang for him drank out of them. They drank their wine and praised their idols of gold and silver, of bronze

² Five times Nebuchadnezzar is called Belshazzar's father (Dan. 5:2, 11, 13, 18), and on one occasion Belshazzar is called Nebuchadnezzar's son (Dan. 5:22); however, in flexible biblical usage, the terms 'father' and 'son' could be a conventional reference to ancestors or descendants.

and iron, of wood and stone. Suddenly, the fingers of a human hand appeared and began to write on the plaster of the palace wall, directly behind the lamp-stand; and the king could see the hand as it wrote. The king turned pale with alarm: his hip-joints went slack and his knees began to knock. He shouted for his soothsayers, Chaldeans, and exorcists. And the king said to the Babylonian sages: Anyone who can read this writing and tell me what it means shall be dressed in purple, and have a chain of gold put round his neck, and be one of the three men who govern the kingdom. The king's sages all crowded forward, but they could neither read the writing nor explain to the king what it meant.

The Islamic texts, coming both from Sunnī and from Shī'ī transmitters, situate a comparable event in a number of distinct contexts. The first concerns a group of soldiers, on their way to Damascus with the head of the martyred al-Ḥusayn:

On the authority of Abū Qabīl, who said: When al-Ḥusayn was killed, they cut off his head and they sat down on the first leg of the journey, drinking wine and striking the head when there emerged before them from the wall an iron pen, which wrote in a line of blood: 'Does a nation who killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning?' They fled, relinquishing the head, and returned.³

³ al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Muṣṣaḥḥ al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2873: 123, al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqṭal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 28: 105–106, Ibn 'Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dimashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāf*): 244, Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib al-Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb. *Fī imāma Abī 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 61, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a'immah al-lahābīm*, bb. 5 (*Faṣṭ fī dīkr maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*): 570, Ibn Ṭā'ūs, *Kitāb al-luhūf fī qatlā al-tuḥf*: 101 (omits any details of the place in which the group had stopped), Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 96, al-Ṭabarī, *Daḥā'ir al-'uqūba*, Part One, bb. 9: 248, Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār maqṭal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 573 (omits any details of the place in which the group had stopped), al-Hayṭamī, *Maḡma' al-ẓawā'id wa-manba' al-fawā'id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqib*), bb. 95

A number of texts remove these interrogative words from the context of mysterious writing on a wall and count them instead among one of the cosmic consequences of al-Ḥusayn's murder as witnessed in Jerusalem; besides blood under the stones, reddened walls and rain of blood for three days, an unknown voice is heard to say: "Does a nation which killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning?" The verses go on to warn that God will forbid them the intercession of Aḥmad (Muḥammad) or Abū Turāb ('Alī), since they have killed "the best of those who ride mounts and the best of those from old to young."⁴ Nonetheless, they are generally associated with those transporting the head of al-Ḥusayn or with a group of Muslims who find them written on a church wall. In his *Riyāḍ al-abrār*, al-Ġazā'irī associates the words with a large group travelling after Karbalā', although a significant number of transmitters associate

(*Manāqib al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*), n. 15177: 233, al-Suyūṭī., *al-Ḥaṣā'is al-kubrā*, vol. II: 455, al-Baḥrānī *Madīnat al-ma'āğiz fī mu'ğizāt al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 180/1127: 116, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 4: 224, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 125, bb. 46, n. 4: 305, al-Iṣfahānī., *Avālim al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 10, bb. 17, n. 3: 111, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 19: 425, ch. 21, bb. 6, n. 1: 603, al-Ġazā'irī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 245. In his *Madīnat al-ma'āğiz* al-Baḥrānī also records the words as an unidentified voice heard during the journey with the head: "Does a nation which killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning? They have angered and doggedly opposed the Prophet, and have no dread of him for the Day of Torment. Will not God not curse the Banū Ziyād, and settle them in torment in Hell?" (al-Baḥrānī *Madīnat al-ma'āğiz fī mu'ğizāt al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 170/1117: 107).

⁴ Ibn Qūlawayh., *Kāmil al-ziyārāt*, bb. 24, n. 2: 160, al-Baḥrānī., *Madīnat al-ma'āğiz fī mu'ğizāt al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 263/1210: 186, al-Mağlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 40, n. 6: 204, al-Iṣfahānī., *Avālim al-'ulūm wa-l-ma'ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wal-aqwāl*, vol. IV, bb. 7, n. 2: 110, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 1, n. 2: 466, al-Ġazā'irī., *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a'imma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One, 266. Abū Turāb ('the father of dust') is a nickname given 'Alī by Muḥammad.

Mufaḥar b. Taʿlaba with the transportation of al-Ḥusayn's head, but with different verses or no verses at all:⁵

As for Yazīd b. Muʿāwiya, he wrote to Ibn Ziyād ordering him to transport the head of al-Ḥusayn and his companions, his women and his baggage. Ibn Ziyād summoned Mufaḥar b. Taʿlaba, who greeted with peace the heads and the women, and travelled with them, as the unbelievers travel with prisoners, their faces distinct to the people of the regions. They alighted on the first leg of the journey and began to drink, when from the wall a hand emerged with an iron pen and wrote in a line of blood a verse: 'Does a nation which killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning?'⁶

In a second series of transmissions, the stopping place is specified as a Christian monastery:

On the authority of Sulaymān al-Aʿmash, who said: While I was performing *ṭawāf* in the days of the festival, a man said: "O God forgive me, although I know that You will not forgive!" I asked him the reason and he replied: "I was one of the forty who carried the head of al-Ḥusayn to Yazīd. On the way to Syria, we encamped on the first leg of our journey from Karbalāʾ at a monastery (*dayr*) of the Christians; the head was fixed on a spear and food set before us. We were eating when a line in blood was written on the wall of a monastery by a hand with

⁵ Cf. for e.g. al-Mufid., *al-Irshād fī maʿrifat ḥuḡāḡ Allāh ʿalā al-ʿibād*, vol. II, bb. *Tārīḫ al-Imām al-Ḥusayn*: 131, al-Ṭabarsī., *Iʿlām al-narā bi-aʿlām al-budā*: vol. I, bb. 2: 160, Ibn Ṭāʾūs., *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qatlā al-tuḡūf*: 84–85, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muḥīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 90, al-Mūsawī., *Tasliyat al-maḡālīs wa zīnat al-maḡālīs*, vol. II, *maḡlīs* 8: 373, al-Baḥrānī *Madīnat al-maʿāḡīz fī muʿḡīzāt al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. III, n. 744: 84–85, al-Maḡlīsī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 1: 124, 130, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAwālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 17, bb. 4, n. 19: 425, 430.

⁶ al-Ḡazaʿirī., *Riyād al-abrār fī manāqīb al-aʿimma al-aḥbār*, vol. I, Part One, 245.

an iron pen: ‘Does a nation⁷ which killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning?’ We were deeply uneasy; some of us made for the hand to seize it, but it vanished, and my companions came back.’⁸

In his *Iḥbat al-budāt* al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī adds: “We were deeply uneasy at this; some of us made for the hand to seize it, but it vanished, and my companions had come back to the meal when the hand re-appeared and wrote, like the first time: No, by God, there is no intercessor for them; on the Day of Resurrection they will be in torment.”⁹ For his part, al-Baḥrānī adds in *Madīnat al-maʿāǧiẓ*: “My companions went to it but it vanished. Then they went back to the meal, and the pen returned and wrote: They killed al-Ḥusayn by virtue of wanton deviation; their judgment is at variance with the judgment of the Book.”¹⁰ In an extended account in *Bihār al-anwār*, taken from al-Rāwandī, the hand disappears and then appears a second time to write: “No, by God, no one will intercede on their behalf on the Day of Judgment and they will face punishment,”

⁷ Here, the word is ‘nation’ or ‘community’ (*umma*); in other versions, ‘company’ or ‘group’ (*maʿshar*).

⁸ al-Rāwandī., *al-Ḥarāʾiǧ wa-l-ǧarāʾih fī-l-muʿǧiẓāt*, vol. II, Part Three, bb. 14: 578, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī., *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashǧān*: 96–97, al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-labābim*, bb. 5 (*Faṣl fī dīker maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī*): 562, al-Bayyādī., *al-Širāt al-mustaqīm ilā mustaḥaqq al-taqdīm fī al-imāma*, vol. II, n. 8: 179 (who omits the iron pen and simply has a hand emerge from the wall and begin to write), al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī., *Iḥbat al-budāt bi-l-nuṣuṣ wa-l-muʿǧiẓāt*, vol. IV, bb. 15, n. 33: 46 (who also omits mention of a pen), al-Baḥrānī *Madīnat al-maʿāǧiẓ fī muʿǧiẓāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, Part Four, n. 193/1140: 139, al-Maǧlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 31: 180, al-Iṣfahānī., *ʿAvālim al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 16, bb. 4, n. 2: 399, al-Ǧazāʾirī., *Riḡyād al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. I, Part One: 167, 258.

⁹ al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī., *Iḥbat al-budāt bi-l-nuṣuṣ wa-l-muʿǧiẓāt*, vol. IV, n. 33: 46.

¹⁰ al-Baḥrānī in *Madīnat al-maʿāǧiẓ fī muʿǧiẓāt al-aʿimma al-aṭḥār*, vol. IV, n. 193/1140: 138, al-Maǧlisī., *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 4: 305.

then disappearing and reappearing a third time to write: “They have murdered al-Ḥusayn by an oppressive judgment, and their judgment is opposed to the judgment of the Book.”¹¹

The figure of the man praying without hope for mercy echoes through the stories of guilt and retribution after the death of al-Ḥusayn; in al-Rāwandī’s account, al-A‘mash interrupts his *ṭawāf* in order to approach and counsel the man whose desperate prayer he has overheard. In the ensuing conversation, the gravity of even mere association with al-Ḥusayn’s death is underscored, and the account ends not on a note of mercy but on one of hopelessness:

I was doing the *ṭawāf* of the festival when I saw a man praying and saying: “O Lord, forgive me, though I know that You will not.” At that, I got up and approached him and said: You are in the sanctuary of God and the sanctuary of His messenger and these are sacred days in a great month, so do not despair of forgiveness. He replied: “My sin is great” I said: Greater than the Tihāma mountain? He answered: “Yes!” So, I said: Comparable to the mountains of *ranāsī*?¹² He answered: “Yes. If you want, I will inform you.” I said: Inform me, and he replied: “Leave the sanctuary with us,” so we left.¹³

The man tells of his membership of “the accursed army, the army of ‘Umar b. Sa‘d,” and the subsequent events in the monastery, with the hand appearing a second time and writing: “No, by God, there is no intercessor for them; on the Day of Resurrection they will be in torment.” Like al-Bayyāqī and al-Ḥurr al-‘Āmilī, al-Rāwandī omits mention of the pen; nevertheless, the pen hearkens

¹¹ al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarā‘iḡ wa-l-ḡarā’ih fī-l-mu‘ḡīzāt*, vol. II, Part Three, bb. 14: 578, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 39, n. 31: 184–185, al-Ḡazā’irī, *Riḡāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aṭḡbār*, vol. I, Part One: 258.

¹² The Tihāma mountains lie in south-west Saudi Arabia; the Arabic *ranāsī* is a Qur’ānic word used for mountains in general (Q. 13:3, Q. 15:19, Q. 16:15, Q. 21:31, Q. 27:61, Q. 31:10).

¹³ al-Rāwandī, *al-Ḥarā‘iḡ wa-l-ḡarā’ih fī-l-mu‘ḡīzāt*, vol. II, Part Three, bb. 14: 578.

back to Q. 96:4 («*Who teacheth by the pen*»), intimating a forgetfulness of all that God has taught and a need for Him to teach again.

The mention of monasteries and monks leads inevitably into the field of Muslim-Christian polemics; a third series of texts moves the reader away from the monastery and the transportation of al-Ḥusayn's head, to a Byzantine church and a group of Muslim scholars:

I was told by a prayer leader of the mosque of the Banū Sulaym, who said: Scholars from among us made a foray into Byzantium and found in one of their churches: 'Does a nation which killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning?' Then they said: "How long is it since you found this writing in this church?" They replied: "Three hundred years¹⁴ before the emergence of your prophet."¹⁵

¹⁴ While most of the texts read 'three hundred years', Ibn 'Asākir (and from him, Ibn al-'Adīm) in one transmission reads 'six hundred'.

¹⁵ al-Ṭabarī, *Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*, Part Seven: 201, al-Ṭabarānī, *al-Mu'gam al-kabīr*, vol. III, n. 2874: 124, Ibn Bābawayh., *Kitāb al-amālī fī al-ahādīth wa-l-ahbār*, maḡlis 27, n. 6: 131, Ibn 'Alī al-Fattāl, *Rawḍat al-wā'iẓīn wa-taḡsīrat al-mutta'iẓīn*, vol. I: 193, al-Ḥawārizmī, *Maqtal al-Ḥusayn*, Part Two, bb. *Fī bayān 'uqūba qātil al-Ḥusayn*, n. 29: 106, Ibn 'Asākir, *Tārīḥ madīnat Dīmashq*, vol. XIV, bb. 1566 (*al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. Hāshim b. 'Abd al-Manāfj*): 243, al-Shāmī, *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqīb al-a'imma al-lahābīm*, bb. 5 (*Faṣl fī dīkr maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*): 570 (who situates the church 'close to Constantinople' [al-Qusṭanṭīniyya]), Ibn al-'Adīm, *Buḡyat al-ṭalab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*, Part Six: 2653, Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-ahẓān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 96–97 (who situates the church 'close to Constantinople' [al-Qusṭanṭīniyya]), Ibn Kaṭīr, *al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya*, vol. XI, bb. *al-Iḥbār maqtal al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*: 573, al-Hayṭamī, *Maḡma' al-zāwā'id wa-manba' al-jawā'id*, vol. IX, bk. 37 (*Kitāb al-manāqīb*), bb. 95 (*Manāqīb al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī*), n. 15178: 233–234, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 3: 224–225 (who situates the church 'close to Constantinople' [al-Qusṭanṭīniyya]), al-Iṣfahānī, *'Awālim al-'ulūm wa al-ma'ārif al-aḡwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-ahbār wa-l-aḡwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 10, bb. 7, n.

A number of transmitters offer variants in the details; al-Irbilī notes that the writing is in Arabic, and uses ‘the Day of Return’ (*yawm al-ma‘ād*) rather than ‘the Day of Reckoning’ (*yawm al-ḥisāb*). When asked, the Byzantine custodians of the church have no idea when the words were written.¹⁶ Al-Maḡlisī transmits an account in which the words are inscribed in Byzantine Greek (*al-rūmiyya*), and the scholars have to ask someone from among the Syrians who can read the language.¹⁷ Ibn Namā and al-Maḡlisī after him also carry a transmission which puts the writing into Himyaritic script, again leading the scholars to seek help from some Syrians in translating it.¹⁸

Finally, some transmitters relate this story to an unnamed Christian man:

‘Abd Allāh b. al-Ṣaffār, companion of Abū Hamza al-Ṣūfī said: We made a foray and captured prisoners. Among them was an old man from among some judicious Christians; we treated him deferentially and were friendly to him, and he said to us: “My father informed me, on the authority of his father, that they were digging a well in the country of the Byzantines, three hundred years before Muḥammad was sent to the Arabs, and they hit a stone on which was written in *al-musnad* this verse:¹⁹

2: 110, al-Ġazā’irī, *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-a’imma al-aṭḥbār*, vol. I, Part One: 167.

¹⁶ al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II: 54.

¹⁷ Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 97, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 4: 224–225.

¹⁸ Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 96–97, al-Maḡlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. XLIV, bb. 30, n. 4: 225.

¹⁹ In these two passages, the reference is to an ancient south Arabian script (WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980: 507). As one of the texts notes, it is reputed to be the language of the children of Seth (Shayṭ) who lived prior to Nūḥ. (LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, vol. IV, 1968: 1444). It is noted by al-Irbilī that the verse was written in the church in Arabic; cf. al-Irbilī, *Kashf al-ḡumma fī ma’rifat al-a’imma*, vol. II: 54. Ibn Namā calls it the ‘Himyaritic’ script (Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 96–97).

‘Does a band²⁰ which killed al-Ḥusayn hope for the intercession of his grandfather on the Day of Reckoning?’²¹

Ibn Shahrāshūb records a closely related story of a man from the predominantly Christian city of Nağrān:

Anas b. Mālik said: A man from Nağrān dug a hole, and it he found a gold tablet on which was written this verse, and after it: ‘They have come to him with a deviant judgment, but their judgment was at variance with the judgment of the Book. Tomorrow, Yazīd, you will undergo punishment by the Merciful! The punishment that will be yours!’²²

There are striking similarities in the Hebrew and Islamic texts; the sacred vessels, brought to Babylon from the Jerusalem Temple by Nebuchadnezzar and profoundly ridiculed during a banquet could be paralleled with the head of the martyr al-Ḥusayn, the sacrosanctity of which, seen in the numerous miracles recounted about it, is mocked by a group of soldiers. Admittedly, Belshazzar, in spite of his oppressive tyranny, was less malevolent than Nebuchadnezzar, whose wickedness is the catalyst for the story, and more on a level with the crude mockery of the soldiers carrying the head of al-Ḥusayn, who flee in fright at the appearance of the hand.²³ While the writing of the wall of the regent’s palace is directed at him as an indictment of his reign, the verses seen by the soldiers and others associated with al-Ḥusayn’s death are directed at a whole section of Muḥammad’s community. Curiously, the 7th/13th century al-Shāmī in his *al-Durr al-naẓīm* produces a text in which the verses are ad-

²⁰ Here, the word is *‘uṣba* (‘band’).

²¹ Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī, *Muṭīr al-abḥān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*: 96–97, al-Mağlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLIII, bb. 30, n. 4: 224–225.

²² Ibn Shahrāshūb., *Manāqib al Abī Ṭālib*, vol. IV, bb *Fī imāma Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn*: 62, al-Mağlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. XLV, bb. 46, n. 5: 306, al-Iṣfahānī., *‘Awālim al-‘ulūm wa al-ma‘ārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*, vol. XVII, ch. 21, bb. 6, n. 6: 60.

²³ A passage in the prophet Amos (Amos 5: 19) – *«as when someone runs away from a lion only to meet a bear»* – is thought to imply Nebuchadnezzar and the less dauntless Belshazzar.

dressed directly to the ruler himself: Yazīd b. Mu‘āwiya has been drinking heavily when a hand emerges from the wall in front of him and writes the verses in blood.²⁴ Although this relates Yazīd more closely with Belshazzar, it remains an extremely rare account, and out of step with most other transmissions. Nevertheless, in both stories, the consumption of alcohol plays a role, underlined by the Islamic texts as yet another accusation against those associated with the death of the martyr, starting with Yazīd himself; in both cases, whether soldiers eating the food of hospitality in a monastery (and thereby associating the unsuspecting monks with al-Ḥusayn’s killing) or a feast in the crown prince’s citadel, eating and drinking is portrayed in falsely celebratory terms which rapidly turn negative.

On both occasions, the story plays out in a public place, whether a monastery, a church or a regent’s palace, and is witnessed by bystanders. The cryptic language of the writing in the halls of Belshazzar defy interpretation; in some of the Islamic texts, the writing is in a language not understood by those who read it and, as with Belshazzar’s queen mother suggesting the use of Daniel, the scholars have to ask the help of local Syrians. In the end, the writing on Belshazzar’s wall proves to be his end, marking the termination of his reign, his kingdom and his life.

The divine threat in the Islamic texts is one of spiritual retribution. The loss of Muḥammad’s all-important intercession on that day when accounts are reckoned and lives weighed is echoed most clearly in the fateful words read out by Daniel to Belshazzar: “God has measured your sovereignty and put an end to it; you have been weighed in the balance and found wanting.”

²⁴ al-Shāmī., *al-Durr al-naẓīm fī manāqib al-a’imma al-labābīm*, bb. 5 (*Faṣl fī ḍikr maqāṭ al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī*): 570.

**APPENDIX TWO:
TABLE OF SUNNĪ AND SHĪʿĪ ARABIC
SOURCES**

1ST/7TH CENTURY:

Shīʿī:

al-Hilālī,¹ *Kitāb Sulaym b. Qays*

¹ Al-Hilālī died around the year 80/662; while some, like the Shīʿī bibliographer Ibn al-Nadīm (d. between 380/990 and 388/998) thought his the first Shīʿī work, later Shīʿī scholars are more doubtful, some thinking it a fabrication. Cf. KOHLBERG E., “Shīʿī *Ḥadīth*” in A.F.L. Beeston et al., (eds.), *Arabic Literature to the End of the Umayyad Period*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1983: 301. Cf. also MODARRESSI H., *Tradition and Survival. A Bibliographical Survey of Early Shiʿite Literature*, vol. 1, Oneworld, Oxford 2003: 82/424). Considering the text’s antiquity and its tenacious popularity among the Shīʿa, I am disinclined to ignore it entirely.

2ND/8TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Zuhrī.,² *al-Mağāzī al-nabawīyya*

Ibn Ṭahmān.,³ *Mashyāḥat b. Ṭahmān*

3RD/9TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

Ibn Saʿd.,⁴ *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*

Ibn Abī Shayba.,⁵ *al-Muṣannaf*

Ibn Rāhwayh.,⁶ *Musnad*

Ibn Hanbal.,⁷ *Musnad, Kitāb faḍāʾil al-ṣaḥāba*

al-Kashshī.,⁸ *Muntaḥab Musnad ʿAbd b. Ḥamīd*

al-Buḥārī.,⁹ *Ṣaḥīḥ*

Muslim.,¹⁰ *Ṣaḥīḥ*

Ibn Māḡa.,¹¹ *Sunan*

² Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Muslim b. ʿUbayd Allāh b. ʿAbd Allāh b. Shihāb al-Zuhrī (d. 124/721: cf. GAS I: 280).

³ Ibrāhīm b. Ṭahmān b. Shuʿba al-Ḥurāsānī Abū Saʿīd (d. c. 163/780: cf. GAS I: 92–93).

⁴ Muḥammad b. Saʿd Kātib al-Wāqidī (d. 230/845: cf. GAL I: 136, GAL S. I: 208).

⁵ Abū Bakr ʿAbd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm (Abī Shayba) b. ʿUṭmān al-ʿAbsī al-Kūfī (d. 235/849: cf. GAL I: 157, 516).

⁶ Abū Yaʿqūb Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm b. Muḥallad al-Ḥanzalī (Ishāq b. Rāhwayh) (d. 237/852–3: cf. GAL S. I: 257)

⁷ Abū ʿAbd Allāh Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Ḥanbal al-Shaybānī al-Ḍuhlī (d. 241/855: cf. GAL S. I: 309)

⁸ Abū Muḥammad ʿAbd al-Ḥumayd (or ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd) b. Naṣr al-Kashshī (d. 249/865: cf. GAL S. I: 258–259).

⁹ Abū ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad b. Ismāʿīl b. Ibrāhīm b. Muḡira b. Bardizbah al-Buḥārī al-Ġuʿfī (d. 256/870: cf. GAL S. I: 260).

¹⁰ Abū al-Ḥusayn Muslim b. al-Ḥaḡḡāḡ al-Qushayrī al-Nīsābūrī (d. 261/875: cf. GAL S. I: 265).

¹¹ Abū ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad b. Yazīd b. Māḡa al-Qazwīnī (d. 273/886: cf. GAL S. I: 270).

Abū Dāwud.,¹² *Sunan*
 al-Tirmiḏī.,¹³ *al-Ġāmi‘ al-ṣaḥīḥ*
 al-Balāḏurī.,¹⁴ *Kitāb ansāb al-asbraf*
 al-Dīnawarī.,¹⁵ *Kitāb al-aḥbār al-ḥiwāl*
 Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim.,¹⁶ *al-Āḥād wa-l-maṭānī*
 al-Bazzār.,¹⁷ *Musnad*

Shī‘ī:

Ibn Muzāhim.,¹⁸ *Waḡ‘at Ṣiffīn*
 Rustam al-Ṭabarī.,¹⁹ *Dalā’il al-imāma, Bishārat al-muṣṭafā*
 Ibn Abī Ṭāhir Ṭayfūr.,²⁰ *Balāḡāt al-nisā’*

¹² Abū Dāwud Sulaymān b. al-Ash‘aṭ al-Azdī al-Siġistānī (d. 275/889: cf. GAL S. I: 270).

¹³ Abū ‘Īsā Muḥammad b. ‘Īsā b. Sahl al-Tirmiḏī (d. 279/892: cf. GAL S. I: 267).

¹⁴ Abū al-‘Abbās Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā b. Ġābir al-Balāḏurī (d. 279/892: cf. GAL S. I: 216, GAS I: 320).

¹⁵ Abū Ḥanīfa Aḥmad b. Dāwūd al-Dīnawarī (d. 282/895: cf. GAL S. I: 187).

¹⁶ Ibn Abī ‘Āṣim Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. ‘Amr b. al-Ḍaḥḥāk b. Maḥlad al-Shaybānī, d. 287/900: cf. GAL S. I: 952 n. 312). While Brockelmann notes only his *Kitāb al-diyāt*, Sezgin lists seven works.

¹⁷ Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. ‘Amr b. ‘Abd al-Ḥālīq al-Baṣrī al-Bazzār (d. 292–3/904–5: cf. GAL S. I: 258).

¹⁸ Abū al-Faḏl Naṣr b. Muzāhim b. Sayyār al-Minqarī al-‘Aṭṭār (d. 212/827: cf. GAL S.I: 214). Brockelmann identifies him as the oldest Shī‘ī historian and a lifelong inspector of market weights and measures in Kūfa – others sources (like al-Baġdādī in his *Tārīḥ Baġdād*) report that he lived in Baghdad.

¹⁹ Abū Ġa‘far Muḥammad b. Ġarīr b. Rustam al-Ṭabarī (c. 224/839: cf. GAS I: 540). As will be noted later in this text, Ibn Rustam al-Ṭabarī’s dates and authorship are highly disputed.

²⁰ Abū al-Faḏl Aḥmad b. Abī Ṭāhir Ṭayfūr (d. 280/893: cf. GAL S. I: 210). Brockelmann transcribes the work as *Balāḡāt al-nisā’*. It is difficult to pinpoint Ibn Abī Ṭāhir Ṭayfūr’s doctrinal or sectarian affiliation, since he himself in his numerous writings gives us no indication. He certainly wrote an elegy for the martyred Shī‘ī agitator Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar, killed in

al-Ya‘qūbī,²¹ *Tārīḥ*
 al-Şaffār.,²² *Başā’ir al-darağāt fi ‘ulūm āl Muḥammad*

4TH/10TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Nasā’ī,²³ *al-Sunan al-kubrā*
 al-Ṭabarī.,²⁴ *Kitāb aḥbār al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*
 al-Ḥarānī.,²⁵ *Tārīḥ al-Raqqā*
 al-Āğurrī.,²⁶ *Kitāb al-sharī‘a*
 al-Ṭabarānī.,²⁷ *al-Mu‘ğam al-kabīr*
 Abū al-Shayḥ.,²⁸ *Kitāb al-‘aẓama*

Shī‘ī:

al-Qummī.,²⁹ *Tafsīr*

Kūfa in 248/864 on the orders of Caliph al-Musta‘īn, and in which he slates the Sunnī Caliphate for usurping the rights of ‘Alī and Fāṭima, but this in itself is no real proof of his being a Shī‘a. Cf. TOORAWA S.M., “Notes towards a biography of Ibn Abi Tahir Tayfur (820–893)” in *Social Sciences & Humanities and Law & Management Research Journal*, vol. I (1998), University of Mauritius, Réduit, Mauritius: 131.

²¹ Aḥmad b. Abī Ya‘qūb b. Ğa‘far b. Wahb b. Wāḍih al-Kātib al-‘Abbāsī al-Ya‘qūbī (d. 284/897 or 292/905: cf. GAL S. I: 405). If al-Ya‘qūbī was not, in fact, a Shī‘a, and this is a moot point, he evinces a strong Shī‘ī predisposition.

²² Abu Ğa‘far Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Şaffār (d. 290/903).

²³ Abū ‘Abd al-Raḥmān Aḥmad b. ‘Abd al-Raḥmān b. Shu‘ayb al-Nasā’ī (d. 303/915: cf. GAL S. I: 269).

²⁴ Abū Ğa‘far Muḥammad b. Ğarīr b. Yazīd al-Ṭabarī (d. 310/923: cf. GAS I: 232 and GAL S. I: 217).

²⁵ Muḥammad b. Sa‘īd al-Qushayrī., (d. 334/945: cf. GAL. S. I: 210)

²⁶ Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī al-Āğurrī (d. 360/971: cf. GAL S. I: 274)

²⁷ Abū al-Qāsim Sulaymān b. Aḥmad b. Ayyūb b. Muṭayr al-Laḥmī al-Shāmī al-Ṭabarānī (d. 360/971: cf. GAL S. I: 279).

²⁸ Abu Muḥammad ‘Alī b. Muḥammad b. Ḥayyān al-Anşārī al-Işfahānī Abū al-Shayḥ (d. 369/980: cf. GAL S. I: 347, GAS I: 200).

Ibn Furāt al-Kūfī,³⁰ *Tafsīr Furāt b. Ibrāhīm*
 Ibn A‘tam.,³¹ *Kitāb al-futūb*
 Ibn Ḥamdān.,³² *al-Hidāya al-Kubrā*
 al-Mas‘ūdī,³³ *Iṭbāt al-waṣīyya, Murūğ al-dahab*
 al-Iṣfahānī,³⁴ *Maqātil al-ṭalībīyyīn*
 al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān.,³⁵ *Sharḥ al-ahbār fī faḍā’il al-a’imma al-aṭḥār*
 Ibn Qūlawayh.,³⁶ *Kāmil al-zīyārāt*
 Ibn Bābawayh.,³⁷ *Kitāb al-amālī, Man lā yahḍuru-hu al-faqīh, ‘Uyūn*
ahbār al-Riḍā, Ma‘ānī al-ahbār, Kitāb al-ḥiṣāl, Ikṃāl (kamāl) al-dīn wa-
itmām (tamām) al-ni‘ma fī iṭbāt al-ḡayba wa-kashf al-ḥayra, ‘Ulal al-sharā’i
wa-l-ahkām

²⁹ ‘Alī b. Ibrāhīm al-Qummī (d. 307/980).

³⁰ Furāt b. Ibrāhīm b. Furāt al-Kūfī (d. 310/992: cf. GAS I: 539).

³¹ Abū Muḥammad Aḥmad b. ‘Alī b. A‘tam al-Kūfī al-Kindī al-Aḥbārī (d. 314/926).

³² Abū ‘Abd Allāh al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ḥamdān al-Ḥaṣībī Zayn al-Dīn (d. 346/957 or 365/968: cf. GAS I: 584). I have chosen to keep al-Ḥaṣībī among the sources for this work although his transmissions are distrusted by a sizable number Shī‘ī scholars. He is denounced by al-Naḡāshī as having corrupt beliefs (al-Naḡāshī., *Kitāb al-riḡāl*, n. 159: 67) and he seems to have had a substantial connection with the heterodox Nuṣayrī sect.

³³ Abū al-Ḥasan ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī al-Mas‘ūdī (d. 345/956: cf. GAS I: 333).

³⁴ Abū al-Farağ ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. al-Qurashī al-Iṣfahānī (d. 356/967: cf. GAL S.I: 225 and GAS I: 378). Modarressi notes that he was a Zaydī (cf. MODARRESSI H., *Tradition and Survival. A Bibliographical Survey of Early Shī‘ite Literature*, vol. 1, Oneworld, Oxford 2003: 276).

³⁵ al-Nuḥmān b. Muḥammad b. Maṣūir b. Aḥmad b. Ḥayyūn al-Tamīmī al-Qāḍī Abū Ḥanīfa al-Shīca (d. 363/974: cf. GAL S. I: 324, where Brockelmann names the work differently).

³⁶ Abū al-Qāsim Ğa‘far b. Muḥammad b. Ğa‘far b. Mūsā b. Qūlūya al-Qummī (d. 369/979: cf. GAL S. I: 953).

³⁷ Abū Ğa‘far Muḥammad b. ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Mūsā b. Bābawayh al-Qummī al-Ṣadūq (d. 381/991: cf. GAL S. I: 321 and GAS I: 544).

al-Ḥazzāz.,³⁸ *Kifāyat al-aṭar fī-l-nuṣūṣ ‘alā al-a’imma al-iṭnā ‘asbarī*

5TH/11TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Ḥākīm al-Nīsābūrī.,³⁹ *Kitāb al-mustadrak ‘alā al-ṣaḥīḥayn*

Abū Nu‘aym.,⁴⁰ *Ḥilyat al-awliyā’ wa-tabaqāt al-aṣfiyā’*

Abū Ya‘lā.,⁴¹ *Musnad*

al-Bağdādī.,⁴² *Tārīḥ Bağdād*

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr.,⁴³ *al-Istī‘āb fī ma‘rifat al-aṣḥāb*

al-Bayhaqī.,⁴⁴ *Dalā’il al-nubuwwa, al-Ġāmi‘ al-muṣannaf fī shu‘ab al-īmān*

al-Ḥaskānī.,⁴⁵ *Shawāhid al-tanzīl li-qawā’id al-tafḍīl*

Shī‘ī:

al-‘Ayyāshī.,⁴⁶ *Tafsīr*

³⁸ ‘Alī b. Muḥammad b. ‘Alī al-Ḥazzāz al-Rāzī al-Qummī (d. 381/991: cf. GAL S. I: 322).

³⁹ Muḥammad b. ‘Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Ḥākīm al-Nīsābūrī b. al-Bayyī‘ (d. 404/1014: cf. GAL S. I: 276. Muḥammad b. ‘Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad al-Ḥākīm al-Nīsābūrī b. al-Bayyī‘ (d. 404/1014: cf. GAL S. I: 276. Brockelmann incorrectly reports his death at 404/914 and names him al-Nīsābūrī as opposed to al-Naysābūrī, found in other texts).

⁴⁰ Abū Nu‘aym Aḥmad b. ‘Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Ishāq al-Iṣbahānī (or al-Iṣfahānī) al-Shāfi‘ī (d. 430/1038: cf. GAL S. I: 616).

⁴¹ Abū Ya‘lā Muḥammad b. al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad b. al-Farrā’ al-Bağdādī (d. 458/1065: cf. GAL S. I: 686).

⁴² Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. ‘Alī b. Tābit al-Ḥaṭīb al-Bağdādī (d. 463/1071: cf. GAL I: 329).

⁴³ Abū ‘Umar Yūsuf b. ‘Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. ‘Abd al-Barr al-Namarī al-Qurṭubī (d. 463/1071: cf. GAL S. I: 628).

⁴⁴ Abū Bakr Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Mūsā al-Bayhaqī (d. 458/1066: cf. GAL S. I: 618).

⁴⁵ ‘Ubayd Allāh b. ‘Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad al-Ḥaskānī al-Nīsābūrī (d. 490/1096)

⁴⁶ Abū al-Naḍr Muḥammad b. Mas‘ūd al-‘Ayyāshī al-Sulamī (d. 401/1009: cf. MODARRESSI H., *Tradition and Survival*, 2003: 410 and

al-Mufīd,⁴⁷ *al-Irshād fī maʿrifat huḡaḡ Allāb ʿalā al-ʿibād*, *al-Amālī li-l-Mufīd*

al-Naḡāshī,⁴⁸ *Kitāb asmāʾ al-riḡāl*

al-Ṭūsī,⁴⁹ *Miṣbah al-mutabaḡḡid*, *al-Amālī fī al-ḡadīṭ*

Ibn ʿAlī al-Fattāl,⁵⁰ *Rawḡat al-wāʿiẓīn*

al-Shaḡarī,⁵¹ *Kitāb al-iʿtibār*

6TH/12TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

Ibn ʿAṭīyya,⁵² *Muḡarrar al-waḡīẓ fī tafsīr al-kitāb al-ʿarīẓ*

al-Ḥawārizmī,⁵³ *Maḡtal al-Ḥusayn*, *al-Manāqib*

Ibn ʿAsākīr,⁵⁴ *Tārīḡ madīnat Dimashq*

Shīʿī:

al-Ṭabarsī,⁵⁵ *Iʿlām al-warā bi-aʿlām al-hudā*, *Kitāb al-iḡtiḡāḡ ʿalā abl al-liḡāḡ*

GAS I: 42). Brockelmann gives his date of death as 401/1009; Modarressi thinks the end of the 3rd/9th and beginning of the 4th/10th century.

⁴⁷ Muḡammad b. Muḡammad b. Nuʿmān al-Baḡdādī al-Karḡī al-Mufīd (d. 413/1022. cf. GAL I: 188 and GAL S. I: 322).

⁴⁸ Abū al-ʿAbbās Aḡmad b. ʿAlī al-Naḡāshī (d. 450/1085: cf. GAL S I: 556).

⁴⁹ Abū Ḡaʿfar Muḡammad b. al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī al-Ṭūsī *Shayḡ* al-Ṭāʾifa (d. 458/1066: cf. GAL I: 405 and GAL S. I: 706).

⁵⁰ ʿAlī Muḡammad b. Aḡmad b. ʿAlī al-Fattāl al-Nīsābūrī al-Farīsī (c. mid-5th/11th century: cf. GAL S. I: 708).

⁵¹ Yaḡyā b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl b. Zayd al-Ḥasanī al-Shaḡarī al-Ḡurḡānī (d. 499/1105: cf. GAL S. II: 1004, GAS I: 521–522)

⁵² ʿAbd al-Ḥaqq b. Ḡālib b. ʿAbd al-Raḡmān (d. 546/1147).

⁵³ Abū al-Muʾayyad Muwaffaq Aḡmad b. Abī Saʿīd Iṣṡāq al-Ḥawārizmī (d. 568/1172. cf. GAL S. I: 623).

⁵⁴ Abū al-Qāsim ʿAlī b. al-Ḥasan b. Hibat Allāḡ Ṭīqat al-Dīn b. ʿAsākīr al-Shāfiʿī (d. 571/1176: cf. GAL S. I: 566).

⁵⁵ Raḡī al-Dīn Abū ʿAlī al-Faḡl b. al-Ḥasan Amīn al-Dīn al-Ṭabarsī (or al-Ṭabrisī) (d. 548/1153: cf. GAL S. I: 708). There remains a disparity among scholars as to whether someone from Ṭabristān should be called

Ibn Ḥamza al-Ṭūsī,⁵⁶ *al-Ṭāqīb fī-l-manāqīb*
 al-Rāwandī,⁵⁷ *al-Ḥarāʾiḡ wa-l-ḡarāʾiḡ fī-l-muʿḡiẓāt*
 Ibn Shahrāshūb,⁵⁸ *Manāqīb al-Abī Ṭālib*
 al-Shuʿayrī,⁵⁹ *Ġāmiʿ al-aḡbar*

7TH/13TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

Ibn al-Ġawzī,⁶⁰ *Ṣifat al-ṣafwa, Bustān al-waʿiẓīn wa-riyād al-sāmiʿin, Kitāb al-mawḏūʿāt*

Ibn al-Aṭīr,⁶¹ *al-Kāmīl fī-l-tārīḡ, Usd al-ḡāba fī maʿrifat al-ṣaḡāba*

al-Ṭabarsī or al-Ṭabrisī. I have chosen to follow Brockelmann and use al-Ṭabarsī; this notwithstanding, Bruce Fudge provides excellent argumentation for al-Ṭabrisī (FUDGE B., *Qurʾānic Hermeneutics: Al-Ṭabrisī and the craft of commentary*, Routledge, London 2014: 36). Brockelmann imputes to this author eight works, including *Iʿlām al-warā bi-aʿlām al-budā*, *Kitāb al-iḡtibāḡ ʿalā abl al-liḡāḡ*, *Makārim al-aḡlāq* and *Maḡmaʿ al-bayān fī tafsīr al-qurʾān*. It is to be noted that his authorship of *Makārim al-aḡlāq* and *Kitāb al-iḡtibāḡ ʿalā abl al-liḡāḡ* is disputed. Brockelmann himself remarks that some authors attribute *Makārim al-aḡlāq* to his son, Abū Naṣr al-Ḥasan, and *Kitāb al-iḡtibāḡ* to Abū Manṣūr Aḡmad b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib al-Ṭabarsī. Cf. also KOHLBERG E., “al-Ṭabrisī” in P.J. Bearman et al., (eds.), *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, vol. X, 2000: 40–41, FUDGE B., *Qurʾānic Hermeneutics: Al-Ṭabrisī and the craft of commentary*, 2014: 32–33.

⁵⁶ ʿImād al-Dīn Abū Ġaʿfar Muḡammad b. ʿAlī b. Ḥamza al-Ṭūsī (d. 560/1164: cf. GAL S. II: 969, 971).

⁵⁷ Quṭb al-Dīn Abū al-Ḥusayn Saʿīd b. Hibat Allāh b. Abī al-Ḥasan al-Rāwandī (d. 573/1177: cf. GAL S. I: 624).

⁵⁸ Abū Ġaʿfar Muḡammad b. ʿAlī b. Shaḡrāshūb al-Māzandarānī al-Surrī Rashīd al-Dīn (d. 588/1192: cf. GAL S. I: 710).

⁵⁹ Ṭāḡ al-Dīn Muḡammad b. Muḡammad al-Shuʿayrī (c. 6th/12th century).

⁶⁰ Abū al-Faḡāʾil Ġamāl al-Dīn Abū al-Faraḡ ʿAbd al-Raḡmān b. al-Ḥasan ʿAlī b. Muḡammad b. ʿUmar b. al-Ġawzī (d. 597/1200: cf. GAL S. I: 914, GAL I: 500).

Ibn Abī al-Dam.,⁶² *al-Muḥtaṣar fī tāriḥ al-islām*
 Sibṭ al-Ġawzī.,⁶³ *Tadkīrat al-ḥawāṣṣ al-umma bi-dīkr ḥaṣā'is al-a'imma*
 Ibn al-ʿAdīm.,⁶⁴ *Buġyat al-talab fī tāriḥ Ḥalab*
 al-Ṭabarī.,⁶⁵ *Dahā'ir al-ʿuqbā* (d. 684/1295)

Shīʿī:

Ibn al-Biṭrīq.,⁶⁶ *al-ʿUmda fī ʿuyūn al-ahbār fī manāqib amīr al-muʿminīn, faṣl fī manāqib al-Ḥasan wa-l-Ḥusayn*
 Ibn Abī Firās.,⁶⁷ *Tanbīh al-ḥawāṭir wa nuṣḥat al-nawāzīr*
 Ibn al-Ḥadīd.,⁶⁸ *Sharḥ nahḡ al-balāġa*
 Ibn Ṭāʿūs.,⁶⁹ *Kitāb al-lubūf fī qutlā al-ṭufūf, Kitāb al-iqbāl, al-Ṭarāʿif fī maʿrifat maḍhab al-ṭawāʿif, Kitāb al-iqbāl bi-l-aʿmāl al-ḥasana*
 Ibn Namā al-Ḥillī.,⁷⁰ *Muṭīr al-aḥzān wa munīr subul al-ashḡān*

⁶¹ Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī b. Abī al-Karam Aṭīr al-Dīn Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Karīm ʿIzz al-Dīn Muḥammad b. al-Aṭīr al-Shaybānī (d. 630/1233: cf. GAL I: 345).

⁶² Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm b. ʿAlī b. ʿAbd al-Muʿmin Shihāb al-Dīn Ibrāhīm b. Abī al-Dam al-Hamdānī al-Ḥamawī (d. 642/1244: cf. GAL S. I: 588).

⁶³ Shams al-Dīn Aḥmad al-Muẓaffar Yūsuf b. Qizoglu b. ʿAlī Sibṭ al-Ġawzī (d. 654/1257: cf. GAL S. I: 589)

⁶⁴ Kamāl al-Dīn Abū al-Qāsim ʿUmar b. Aḥmad Hibat Allāh b. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz b. Abū Garāda b. al-ʿAdīm al-ʿUqaylī al-Ḥalabī (d. 660/1262: cf. GAL I: 404–405).

⁶⁵ Muḥib al-Dīn Abū al-ʿAbbās (Abū Muḥammad Abū Ġaʿfar) Aḥmad b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Ṭabarī al-Makkī al-Shāfiʿī (d. 684/1295: cf. GAL I: 615).

⁶⁶ Abū al-Ḥasan Yahyā b. al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī al-Ḥillī al-Wāsiṭī b. al-Biṭrīq (d. 600/1203: cf. GAL S. I: 710).

⁶⁷ Abū al-Ḥusayn Warrām b. Abī Firās ʿĪsā b. Abī al-Naġm al-Nahāʿī al-Ashtarī (d. 605/1208, cf. GAL S. I: 709, who gives no dates).

⁶⁸ ʿIzz al-Dīn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd b. Hibat Allāh al-Madāʿinī b. al-Ḥadīd (d. 655/1257: cf. GAL S. I: 497).

⁶⁹ Raḍī al-Dīn Abū Mūsā Abū al-ʿAbbās ʿAlī b. Mūsā b. Ġaʿfar b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Ṭāʿūs al-Ṭāʿūsī al-ʿAlawī al-Fāṭimī (d. 664/1266: Cf. GAL S. I: 911, where this work is entitled *Kitāb al-malbūf fī qatla al-ṭufūf*).

al-Irbilī,⁷¹ *Kashf al-ğumma fi ma'rifat al-a'imma*
 al-Shāmī,⁷² *al-Durr al-naẓīm fi manāqib al-a'imma al-labābīm*

8TH/14TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Tibrīzī,⁷³ *Mishkāt al-maṣābīḥ*
 al-Mizzī,⁷⁴ *Tabḏīb al-kamāl fi asmā' al-riḡāl*
 al-Ḍahabī,⁷⁵ *Siyar a'lām al-nubalā'*, *Tadkīrat al-ḥuffāẓ*, *al-'Ibar fi aḥbār*
al-bashar muntaḥab al-ta'riḥ al-kabīr
 al-Zarandī,⁷⁶ *Naẓm durar al-simṭayn*
 Ibn Kaṭīr,⁷⁷ *al-Bīdāya wa-l-nihāya*

Shī'ī:

al-Ḥillī al-^ḥAllāma., *Iṭbāt al-budāt*

9TH/15TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Hayṭamī,⁷⁸ *Mağma' al-ẓawā'id wa-manba' al-fawā'id*

⁷⁰ Nağm al-Dīn Ğa'far b. Muḥammad b. Ğa'far b. Hibat Allāh b. Namā al-Ḥillī (d. 680/1281).

⁷¹ Bahā' al-Dīn Abū al-Ḥasan 'Alī b. 'Īsā al-Irbilī b. al-Faḥr (d. 692/1293; cf. GAL S. I: 713).

⁷² Yūsuf b. Ḥātim al-Shāmī (c. 7th/13th century).

⁷³ Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Ḥaṭīb al-Tibrīzī al-'Umarī (c. 737/1336; cf. GAL S. II: 262).

⁷⁴ Abū al-Ḥağğāğ Yūsuf b. al-Zakī 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Yūsuf Ğamāl al-Dīn al-Mizzī al-Quḏā'ī al-Kalbī al-Shāfi'ī (d. 742/1341).

⁷⁵ Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. 'Uṭmān b. Qaymāz Shams al-Dīn al-Ḍahabī (d. 748/1348; cf. GAL S. II: 45).

⁷⁶ Ğamāl al-Dīn Muḥammad b. Yūsuf b. al-Ḥasan al-Zarandī al-Madanī al-Ḥanafī (d. 750/1349).

⁷⁷ Abū al-Fidā' Ismā'il b. 'Umar b. Kaṭīr 'Imād al-Dīn b. al-Ḥaṭīb al-Qurayshī al-Buṣrawī al-Shāfi'ī (d. 774/1373; cf. GAL S. II: 48).

⁷⁸ Nūr al-Dīn Abū al-Ḥasan 'Alī b. Abī Bakr b. Sulaymān b. Ḥağar al-Hayṭamī (d. 807/1405; cf. GAL II: 91, GAL S. II: 82).

al-‘Asqalānī,⁷⁹ *al-Iṣāba fī tamyīz al-ṣaḥāba, Taḥdīb al-taḥdīb*
al-Ṣabbāg,⁸⁰ *al-Fuṣūl al-muhimma fī ma‘rifat (faḍā’il) al-a‘imma*

Shī‘ī:

al-Daylamī,⁸¹ *Irshād al-qulūb, A‘lām al-dīn fī ṣifāt al-mu‘minīn*
al-Bayyāḍī,⁸² *al-Ṣirāt al-mustaḡim*
al-Kaf‘amī,⁸³ *Kitāb al-miṣbāḥ, al-Balad al-amīn*
al-Mūsawī,⁸⁴ *Tasliyat al-maḡālis wa zaynat al-maḡālis*

10TH/16TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Suyūṭī⁸⁵ *al-Ḥabā’ik fī aḥbār al-malā’ik, al-Ḥaṣā’iṣ al-kubrā*
al-Muttaqī al-Hindī,⁸⁶ *Kanz al-‘ummāl fī sunan al-aqwāl wa-l-af‘āl*

Shī‘ī:

al-Astarābādī,⁸⁷ *Ta’wīl al-āyāt al-ṣāḥira fī faḍl al-‘itra al-ṭāhira*

⁷⁹ Abū al-Faḍl Aḥmad b. ‘Alī b. Muḥammad b. Ḥaḡar Shihāb al-Dīn al-‘Asqalānī al-Kinānī al-Shāfi‘ī (d. 852/1449: cf. GAL S. II: 72).

⁸⁰ Nūr al-Dīn ‘Alī b. Muḥammad al-Ṣabbāg al-Isfāqūsī al-Maḡribī al-Makkī al-Mālikī (d. 855/1451: cf. GAL S. II: 224).

⁸¹ Ḥasan b. Abī al-Ḥasan al-Daylamī (d. 840/1437: cf. GAL S. I: 261, although Brockelmann provides no dates).

⁸² Zayn al-‘Ābidīn ‘Alī b. Yūnus al-Bayyāḍī al-Nabāṭī al-‘Āmilī (d. 877/1472: cf. GAL S. II: 133).

⁸³ Taqī al-Dīn Ibrāhīm b. ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn al-Kaf‘amī (895 or 905/1498: cf. GAL S. II: 133).

⁸⁴ Adīb Muḥammad b. Abī Ṭālib al-Ḥusaynī al-Mūsawī al-Ḥā’irī al-Karakī (d. 899/1494).

⁸⁵ Abū al-Faḍl ‘Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abī Bakr Kamāl al-Dīn b. Muḥammad b. Abī Bakr Ḡalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭī (d. 911/1505: cf. GAL S. II: 178).

⁸⁶ ‘Alā’ al-Dīn ‘Alī b. Ḥusām al-Dīn ‘Abd al-Malik b. Qāḍihān al-Muttaqī al-Hindī al-Qādirī al-Shāḍilī al-Ḡawnpūrī al-Madanī (d. c. 977/1569: cf. GAL S. II: 518).

11TH/17TH CENTURY:

Shīʿī:

Muḥammad Riḍā al-Ṭūsī,⁸⁸ *Kanz al-daqaʿiq wa baḥr al-ġarāʿib fī tafsīr al-qurʿān*
 al-Māzandarānī,⁸⁹ *Sharḥ uṣūl al-Kāfi*
 al-Qazwīnī,⁹⁰ *al-Shāfi fī uṣūl al-kāfi*
 al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī,⁹¹ *Navādir al-ahbār*, *Tafsīr al-ṣāfi*, *al-Wāfi*

12TH/18TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-ʿIṣāmī,⁹² *Simt al-nuḡūm al-ʿawālī*

Shīʿī:

al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī,⁹³ *Tafṣīl masāʾil al-shiʿa ilā taḥṣīl masāʾil al-shariʿa*, *al-Ġawābir al-saniyya al-aḥādīṯ al-qudsiyya*, *Iṭbāt al-budāt bi-l-nuṣūṣ wa-l-muḡīzāt*
 al-Bahrānī,⁹⁴ *Hilyat al-abrār fī ahwāl Muḥammad wa āli-bi al-athbār*, *al-Burbān fī tafsīr al-qurʿān*, *Madīnat al-maʿāḡiẓ fī muḡīzāt al-aʿimma al-athbār*

⁸⁷ Sharāf al-Dīn ʿAlī al-Ḥusaynī al-Astarābādī (pupil of ʿAlī b. al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAbd al-ʿĀlī al-Karakī al-Muḥaqqiq al-Ṭānī, d. 946/1538: cf. GAL S. II: 575).

⁸⁸ Muḥammad b. Muḥammad Riḍā b. Ismāʿīl b. Ġamāl al-Dīn al-Qummī al-Mashhadī al-Ṭūsī (c. 1059/1649: cf. GAL S. II: 582).

⁸⁹ Muḥammad Šāliḥ b. Aḥmad al-Māzandarānī (d. 1086/1676: cf. GAL S. II: 578), student and son-in-law of Muḥammad Taqī b. Maqṣūd ʿAlī al-Maḡlisī.

⁹⁰ Ḥalīl b. Ġāzī al-Qazwīnī (d. 1089/1678: cf. GAL S. I: 320).

⁹¹ Muḥammad Murtaḍā Mullā Muḥsin Fayḍ al-Kāshī [Kāshānī] (d. 1090/1679: cf. GAL S. II: 584).

⁹² ʿAbd al-Malik b. al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAbd al-Malik al-ʿIṣāmī (d. 1111/1699: cf. GAL S. II: 516).

⁹³ Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Ḥasanī b. ʿAlī b. Ḥusayn al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī al-Mashġarī (d. 1104/1693: cf. GAL S. II: 578).

al-Işfahānī,⁹⁵ *ʿAwālīm al-ʿulūm wa-l-maʿārif al-aḥwāl min al-āyāt wa-l-aḥbār wa-l-aqwāl*

al-Mağlisī,⁹⁶ *Biḥār al-anwār, Zād al-maʿād*

al-Ḥuwayzī,⁹⁷ *Tafsīr nūr al-ṭaqalayn*

al-Ğazāʾirī,⁹⁸ *Riyāḍ al-abrār fī manāqib al-aʾimma al-aḥbār*

Şadr al-Dīn al-Shīrāzī,⁹⁹ *Riyāḍ al-sālikīm fī sharḥ saḥīfa sayyid al-sāğğidīn*

14TH/20TH CENTURY:

Sunnī:

al-Shablangī,¹⁰⁰ *Nūr al-abṣār fī manāqib āl bayt al-nabī al-muḥtār*

Shīʿī:

al-Ṭabarsī,¹⁰¹ *Mustadrak al-wasāʾil wa mustanbiṭ al-masāʾil*

ʿAbbās Qummī,¹⁰² *Safīnat al-biḥār*

al-ʿĀmilī,¹⁰³ *Aʿyān al-Shīʿa*

⁹⁴ Hāshim b. Sulaymān b. Ismāʿīl b. ʿAbd al-Ğawād b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Ḥusaynī al-Baḥrānī (d. 1107/1695; cf. GAL S. II: 506).

⁹⁵ ʿAbd Allāh b. Nūr Allāh al-Baḥrānī al-Işfahānī (d. 1110/1698; cf. GAL S. II: 504).

⁹⁶ Muḥammad Bāqir b. Muḥammad Taqī b. Maqṣūd ʿAlī Akmal al-Mağlisī al-Işfahānī (d. 1110/1700; cf. GAL S. II: 572).

⁹⁷ ʿAbd ʿAlī b. Ğumuʿa al-Ḥuwayzī (d. 1112/1702).

⁹⁸ Niʿmat Allāh al-Ğazāʾirī (d. 1112/1702).

⁹⁹ Şadr al-Dīn ʿAlī b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad Maʿşūm al-Ḥusaynī al-Dashtakī al-Shīrāzī (d. 1120/1708–9).

¹⁰⁰ Muʾmin b. Ḥasan Muʾmin al-Shablangī (d. c. 1301/1883; cf. GAL S. II: 737).

¹⁰¹ al-Ḥāğğ Mirzā Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad Taqī al-Nūrī al-Ṭabarsī (d. 1320/1902; cf. GAL S. II: 832).

¹⁰² ʿAbbās b. Muḥammad Riḍā al-Qummī (d. 1359/1940; cf. GAL S. II: 573).

¹⁰³ Muḥsin b. ʿAbd al-Karīm al-Amīn al-ʿĀmilī (d. 1371/1952; cf. GAL S. II: 808).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- AHMADI N., “The Role of Dreams in the Political Affairs of the Safavid Dynasty” in *Journal of Shi‘a Islamic Studies*, Spring 2013, Volume VI, Number 2: 177–198.
- ALTER R., *The Hebrew Bible. A Translation with Commentary*, vol. III, W. Norton & Company, New York 2019.
- AYOUB M., *Redemptive Suffering in Islam. A Study of the Devotional Aspects of ‘Ashura in Twelver Shi‘ism*, Mouton Publishers, The Hague 1978.
- BAR S., *A Letter That Has Not Been Read: Dreams in the Hebrew Bible*, Hebrew Union College Press, Cincinnati 2001.
- BROCKELMANN C., *Geschichte der arabischen Literatur*, 2 vols., 3 supplementary vols., Leiden 1937–1945.
- BROWN R., *The Birth of the Messiah*, Doubleday, New York 1993.
- BULKELEY K., *Dreaming in the World’s Religions. A Comparative History*, New York University Press, New York 2008.
- BURGE S.R., *Angels in Islam*, Routledge, London 2012.
- BURGE S.R., “Angels, ritual and sacred space in Islam” in *Comparative Islamic Studies*, 5 n. 2, Nov 2009: 221–245.

- CHITTICK W.C., *The Sufi Path of Knowledge*, State University of New York Press, Albany 1989.
- CLOHESSY C., *Half of My Heart. The Narratives of Zaynab, Daughter of 'Alī*, Gorgias Press, New Jersey 2018.
- CORBIN H., "The Visionary Dream in Islamic Spirituality" in G.E. von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 381–408.
- DE LA POTTERIE I., *Mary in the Mystery of the Covenant*, Alba House, New York 1997.
- EDGAR I.R., *The Dream in Islam*, Berghahn Books, Oxford 2011.
- FAHD T., "The Dream in Medieval Islamic Society" in G.E. von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 351–363.
- FELEK Ö & KNYSH D., (eds.), *Dreams and Visions in Islamic Societies*, State University of New York Press, Albany 2012.
- GODWIN M., *Angels. An Endangered Species*, Boxtree Ltd., London 1990.
- GREEN N., "The Religious and Cultural Roles of Dreams and Visions in Islam" in *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, Third Series, vol. 13, No. 3 (Nov., 2003): 287–313.
- GUILLAME A., *The Life of Muhammad. A Translation of Ibn Isbaq's Sirat Rasul Allah*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2006.
- HILL J., *A History of Christian Thought*, Lion Books, Oxford 2003.
- JEFFERY A., *The Foreign Vocabulary of the Qurʾān*, Brill, Leiden 2007.
- JONES D.A., *Angels. A History*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2010.
- KARAMUSTAFA A., *Sufism. The Formative Period*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh 2007.
- KASSIM H., "Nothing can be Known or Done without the Involvement of Angels: Angels and Angelology in Islam and Islamic Literature" in F.V. Reiterer et al. (eds.), *Angels: The Con-*

- cept of Celestial Beings: Origins, Development and Reception*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 2007.
- KINBERG L., “Dreams and Sleep” in Jane Dammen McAuliffe et al. (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of the Qurʾān*, vol. I, Brill, Leiden 2001: 546–553
- KMEID F., *Rūḥ al-quḍus. A Study Based in Father Joseph Azzi’s Book Bayna al-Masīḥiyya wa-l-Islām*, unpublished licentiate thesis, Pontifical Institute for Arabic and Islamic Studies, Rome 2016.
- LAMOREAUX J.C., *The Early Muslim Tradition of Dream Interpretation*, State University of New York Press, Albany: 2002
- LANE E.W., *An Arabic-English Lexicon*, Librairie du Liban, Beirut 1968.
- LECERF J., “The Dream in Popular Culture: Arabic and Islam” in G.E von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 365–379.
- LIZZINI O., “Islamic Angelology. Revelation, Tradition and Philosophical Elaboration. Some Brief Observations” in *Encounter* n. 227, August–September 1996, Pontificio Istituto di Studi Arabi e d’Islamistica, Rome.
- IBN MANZŪR., *Lisān al-ʿArab*, 18 vols., Dār Iḥyā al-Turāṯ al-ʿArabī, Beirut 1999.
- MARLOW L., (ed.), *Dreaming Across Boundaries. The Interpretation of Dreams in Islamic Lands*, Ilex Foundation, Boston 2008.
- MAVROUDI M., *A Byzantine Book on Dream Interpretation. The Oneirocriticon of Achmet and its Arabic Sources*, Brill, Leiden 2002.
- MEIER F. “Some Aspects of Inspiration by Demons in Islam” in G.E von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 421–429.
- MEISAMI J.S. & STARKEY P., (eds.), *Encyclopedia of Arabic Literature*, vol. I, Routledge, London 1998.
- MODARRESSI H., *Tradition and Survival. A Bibliographical Survey of Early Shiʿite Literature*, vol. 1, Oneworld, Oxford 2003.

- EL-MOUALLEM D.A., “For the Sake of the Infant Imām: the Development of the Errant Angel Traditions” in H. Ansari & S. Schmidtke (eds.), *Shii Studies Review*, vol. 4, issue 1–2, Brill, Leiden 2020: 108–134.
- NASR S.E. et al., (eds.), *The Study Quran*, HarperOne, New York 2015.
- NEIL B., “Studying Dream Interpretation from Early Christianity to the Rise of Islam” in *Journal of Religious History*, vol. 40, n. 1, March 2016: 63.
- PADWICK C., *Muslim Devotions. A Study of Prayer Manuals in Common Use*, Oneworld, Oxford 1996.
- POURESMAEIL E., “Seeing Allah While Dreaming: A Comparison Between Shi‘a and Sunni Beliefs” in *Journal of Shi‘a Islamic Studies*, Winter 2012, Volume V, Number 1: 65–80.
- RAHMAN F., “Dream, Imagination and ‘Ālam al-mithāl” in G.E von Grunebaum & R. Caillois (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 409–419.
- REITERER F.V. et al., (eds.), *Angels: The Concept of Celestial Beings: Origins, Development and Reception*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 2007.
- RODED R., *Women in Islamic Biographical Collections*, Gorgias Press, New Jersey 2018.
- SCHIMMEL A., *Gabriel’s Wing*, Iqbal Academy, Pakistan 2003.
- SELLS M., “Spirit” in Jane Dammen McAuliffe et al (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of the Qur’ān*, vol. V, Brill, Leiden 2006: 114–117.
- SEZGIN F., *Geschichte der arabischen Schrifttums*, 9 vols., Leiden 1967–1972.
- SIRRIYEH E., *Dreams and Visions in the World of Islam*, I.B. Tauris, London 2018.
- SULAVIK A.T., *The Beginnings of Angels*, Tatra Press, New York 2014.
- STEINGASS F., *Learner’s Arabic English Dictionary*, 1993.

- SHALIT E., “Dreams in the Old Testament” in D.A. Leeming, K. Madden et al (eds.), *Encyclopedia of Psychology and Religion*, Springer, Boston 2014.
- VAN DEUSEN N., (ed.), *Dreams and Visions. An Interdisciplinary Enquiry*, Brill, Leiden 2010.
- VON GRUNEBAUM G.E. “The Cultural Function of the Dream as Illustrated by Classical Islam” in G.E von Grunebaum & R. Caillois. (eds.), *The Dream and Human Societies*, University of California Press, Berkeley 1966: 3–21.
- WEBB G., “Angel” in Jane Dammen McAuliffe et al. (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of the Qur’ān*, vol. I, Brill, Leiden 2001: 84–92.
- WEBB G., “Gabriel” in Jane Dammen McAuliffe et al. (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of the Qur’ān*, vol. II, Brill, Leiden 2001: 278–279.
- WEHR H., *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic*, 1980.
- WENSINCK A. J., *Concordance et Indices de la Tradition Musulmane*, 4 vols., 2nd edn., E.J. Brill, Leiden 1962.

INDEX OF PROPER NAMES

A

- ʿAbbāsīd dynasty: 31
 Abbott, N: 34
 Abimelech (Hebrew Scriptures):
 24, 53
 Abraham/Abram (Hebrew
 Scriptures): 26
 Abū Bakr, ʿAbd Allāh (caliph):
 40nt., 117nt., 139nt.
 Abū Hurayra, ʿAbd al-Raḥmān:
 114
 Abū Ḥanīfa, al-Nuʿmān b.
 Ṭābit: 9nt.
 Acts, book of: 26
 Ādam (Adam): 81
 al-Āğurrī, Abū Bakr: 104, 112–
 113, 122
 ʿĀʿīsha, bt. Abī Bakr (wife of
 Muḥammad): 29, 54, 85nt.,
 103nt., 106, 116, 117nt.,
 118nt., 119, 121, 128, 139,
 151nt., 213nt., 214
 Alaric, Visigoth king: 211
 ʿAlawiyya: 109
 al-ʿAlqamī, river: 164, 190
 Alter, R: 213, 223
 Ambrose, St: 208
 Amos (Hebrew Scriptures):
 232nt.
 Ananias (Christian Scriptures):
 26
 Ansari, H: 133
 ʿArafāt: 42
 Arberry, A: 32nt.
 Artemidorus Daldianus: 23, 30
 Ascension (*miʿrāğ*): 98nt.
 ʿĀshūrā: 110, 132, 141, 167, 192
 Āsiya bt. Muzāḥim: 197nt.,
 200nt.
 al-ʿAskarī, Abū Muḥammad
 (11th Imām): 8

al-^ʿAsqalānī, Ibn Ḥaḡar: 10–11,
83, 129nt., 151
^ʿAṭṭār, Farīd al-Dīn: 149nt.
 Augustine, St: 208–209
 al-Awdī, Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā: 79
 Ayoub, M: 220

B

Badr, battle of: 40nt.
 Babylonian Empire: 223–224,
232
 Baghdad: 99, 109
 al-Baḡalī, ^ʿĀmir: 66
 al-Baḡdādī, al-Ḥaṭīb: 38–39,
151, 218
 al-Baḥrānī, Hāshim b. Sulay-
mān: 4, 6, 68, 70, 77, 80,
102, 110nt., 140, 143, 145,
160nt., 162nt., 178–179,
180nt., 182nt., 184, 203,
226nt., 228
 al-Balādufī, Abū al-^ʿAbbās: 150
 Banū Abān b. Dārim: 184
 Banū Asad: 164nt.
 Banū Tamīm: 167
 al-Bāqir, Abū Ḡa^ʿfar (5th Imām):
96, 97nt., 192
 Baṣra: 107, 161nt.
 al-Baṣrī, al-Ḥasan: 2, 16, 211
 al-Baṣrī, Muzāḥim: 47nt.
 Baṭn al-^ʿAqaba: 76nt.
 al-Bayyāḍī, Zayn al-^ʿĀbidīn:
141, 229
 al-Bazzār, Abū Bakr: 61, 100,
139
 al-Bayhaqī, Abū Bakr: 102, 105,
127, 128nt., 129
 Belshazzar, Babylonian regent:
223, 224nt., 232nt., 233
 Bernard of Clairvaux, St: 208
 Bethel: 28

Brockelmann, C: 6nt., 9nt.,
83nt., 108, 133, 174nt.
 Brown, R: 21nt.
 al-Buḥārī, Abū ^ʿAbd Allāh: xii,
106, 209
 Burge, S.R: 8, 81, 186nt.
 Būyid dynasty: 116
 Byzantines: 14, 16, 31, 130,
193nt., 194nt., 195, 230, 231

C

Cahill, T: 211
 China: 194
 Chittick, W: 209
 Collins, B: 207
 Constantine, emperor: 26nt.
 Cook, M: 34
 Cornelius (Christian Scriptures):
26

D

Damascus: 89, 155, 204, 212
 Daniel (Hebrew Scriptures): 24–
28, 213, 223nt., 224, 233
 Daniel, book of: 213, 223
 al-Dāraquṭnī, Abū al-Ḥasan:
151nt., 181
 Dāwud (prophet): 195
 al-Diḡaz, Ibrāhīm: 185nt.
 al-Dīnawarī, Abū Sa^ʿīd: 210nt.
 Ditch, battle of the: 117nt., 214

D

al-Dahabī, Abū ^ʿAbd Allāh:
9nt., 10nt., 11, 82, 100nt.,
102, 106nt., 110nt., 129nt.,
137, 139nt., 169nt.

E

- Elizabeth (Christian Scriptures):
221nt.
Ezekiel, book of: 32nt.
Ezekiel (Hebrew Scriptures): 26,
164nt., 165nt.
Euphrates, river: 43, 61–62, 212

F

- al-Fārsī, Salmān: 117nt.
Fāṭima bt. ʿAlī: 199nt.
Fāṭima bt. Asad: 10nt.
Fāṭima bt. Muḥammad: 3, 10nt.,
12, 19–20, 37nt., 42–44, 46–
49, 52, 53nt., 56nt., 65,
68nt., 79–81, 83nt., 85, 125,
144, 166nt., 188, 189nt., 194,
197nt., 202nt., 218
Fāṭimid dynasty: 116
Franz Ferdinand, Archduke:
220
Fuṭrus: 57nt.

G

- Gabriel, archangel: 213
Genesis, book of: 24, 53
Godwin, M: 33nt., 207nt.
Goldziher I: 34
Green, N: 209
Gregory the Great, St: 208
Greece: 31

Ġ

- al-Ġazāʾirī, Niʿmat Allāh:
193nt., 226
Ġibrīl: 3, 5–6, 7nt., 8nt., 14nt.,
15nt., 20, 23, 25, 37nt.,
40nt., 41nt., 42–46, 47nt.,
54, 55nt., 56nt., 57nt., 58nt.,
59, 64nt., 81, 85nt., 95–96,

- 99–100, 103nt., 104–105,
107–108, 110, 118, 119nt.,
121nt., 122–123, 125,
128nt., 129, 130nt., 135nt.,
139, 142, 166nt., 168nt.,
169–170, 183, 196, 205,
213nt., 214nt., 215–216,
218nt., 219

Ġ

- Ġadīr Ḥumm: 42
al-Ġazālī, Abū Ḥāmid: 149nt.
al-Ġazālī, Aḥmad: 149nt.

H

- Hagar (Hebrew Scriptures): 26,
28
Hāḡar (mother of Ismāʿīl): 215
Haider, N: 45nt.
Hārūn (prophet): 10nt., 64nt.
Hawa, J.G: 79nt.
al-Hayṭamī, Nūr al-Dīn: 6, 14,
102, 104, 137, 139, 173nt.
Herod Antipas, tetrarch: 224
Hezekiah (Hebrew Scriptures):
32nt.
Hind bt. Ġawn: 164
Hind bt. ʿUtba: 12, 203
Hind, wife of Yazīd: 12, 201

Ḥ

- al-Ḥaḍramī, Ibn Ġābir: 160
Ḥāfīz, Ḥwāḡa Shams al-Dīn:
222
al-Hallāḡ, al-Ḥusayn b. Manṣūr:
149nt.
al-Ḥākīm al-Nīsābūrī,
Muḥammad: 8, 88, 129
Ḥamdānid dynasty: 116
Ḥanbalī (legal school): 112nt.,
114

al-Ḥaskānī, ‘Ubayd Allāh: 9nt.
 Ḥawwā’ (Eve): 200nt.
 al-Ḥillī, Ibn Namā: 40, 118, 154,
 161, 178, 180nt., 182nt. 193,
 196, 231
 al-Ḥillī al-‘Allāma, Ğamāl al-
 Dīn: 110, 141
 al-Ḥurr al-‘Āmilī, Muḥammad:
 228–229

Ḥ

Ḥadiġa bt. Ḥuwaylid: 10, 38nt.,
 82, 90, 197nt., 200nt.
 al-Ḥallāl, al-Ḥasan: 210
 al-Ḥargūshī, Ibn Sa‘d: 17nt.
 al-Ḥawārizmī, Abū al-Mu‘ayyad:
 40, 74nt., 76nt., 95, 138, 156,
 162nt., 165, 176, 179, 182nt.,
 189, 219
 Ḥaybar, battle of: 190nt.
 al-Ḥazzāz, ‘Alī: 128, 138
 al-Ḥuzaymiyya: 156

I

Iblīs: 56nt, 187nt.
 Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Abd Allāh: 14–15,
 49, 62, 82, 85nt., 94nt., 111,
 124, 132, 141, 167–171,
 172nt., 173–174, 215
 Ibn ‘Abbās, Abū Bakr: 65
 Ibn ‘Abbās, Quṭam: 82, 85
 Ibn ‘Abd Allāh, Muḥammad:
 xii, 3, 5–6, 7nt., 8nt., 10nt.,
 11–13, 15, 17–21, 23–25,
 27–29, 33–34, 37nt., 38–39,
 40nt., 41–44, 45nt., 46nt.,
 48–49, 51, 52nt., 53nt., 54,
 55nt., 56nt., 57nt., 59, 63,
 64nt., 65–66, 68nt., 69,
 75nt., 76, 78, 80nt., 82–83,
 84nt., 85nt., 86–93, 95–97,

98nt., 100nt., 101, 102nt.,
 103nt., 104–105, 107–108,
 110, 112–114, 115nt.,
 117nt., 118, 119nt., 121nt.,
 122–123, 125–126, 128–129,
 130nt. 131, 135nt., 136–139,
 141–145, 164, 166nt., 167,
 168nt., 169–171, 173–174,
 176, 178, 179nt., 180–181,
 183, 188, 192, 195–196,
 197nt., 202nt., 211–212,
 213nt., 214nt., 215, 218–
 219, 226nt., 232–233

Ibn ‘Abd Allāh b. Ğa‘far, ‘Awn:
 71nt.

Ibn ‘Abd Allāh b. Ğa‘far,
 Muḥammad: 71nt.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr, Abū ‘Umar:
 11, 75nt., 83, 93

Ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib, ‘Abd
 Allāh b. Ğa‘far: 71nt.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib, ‘Abbās:
 82

Ibn Abī al-Dam, Abū Ishāq: 11

Ibn Abī Firās, Abū al-Ḥusayn: 5

Ibn Abī Hind, Sa‘īd: 103nt.

Ibn Abī Salama, ‘Umar: 94

Ibn Abī Shayba, Abū Bakr: 61,
 74nt., 100, 101nt., 120, 143

Ibn Abī Sufyān, Mu‘āwiya: 1,
 60, 67, 76nt

Ibn Abī Sufyān, al-Walīd: 70

Ibn Abī Ṭābit, Ḥabīb: 160

Ibn Abī Ṭālib, ‘Alī: 3, 10nt., 12,
 37nt., 43, 46nt., 47, 49, 51–
 52, 60nt., 62–63, 64nt.,
 65nt., 68nt., 74nt., 85nt.,
 113, 116, 123, 139nt., 165,
 166nt., 176, 190nt., 212,
 226nt.

Ibn Abī al-Waqqāṣ, ‘Umar b.
 Sa‘d: 74nt., 229

- Ibn al-‘Adīm, Kamāl al-Dīn: 151, 161–162, 171–174, 74nt., 102, 103nt., 106, 136, 230nt.
- Ibn ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, al-‘Abbās: 69nt., 184
- Ibn ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, Ğa‘far: 68nt., 166
- Ibn ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, al-Ḥasan: 10, 20, 41, 43, 48, 52, 53nt., 56nt., 84nt., 85nt., 86nt., 88nt., 112, 114–115, 130nt., 134, 151nt.
- Ibn ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, al-Ḥusayn: xii, 3–4, 7nt., 10, 12–14, 16, 20–21, 35, 37nt., 39nt., 40, 41nt., 42–43, 47–52, 53nt., 56nt., 57nt., 58–59, 61, 65, 66, 67nt., 68nt., 69nt., 70, 71nt., 72, 74, 76nt., 77, 79–80, 82, 83nt., 84nt., 85nt., 86nt., 88nt., 91–92, 97nt., 99–101, 102nt., 103nt., 104–110, 112, 113nt., 114–116, 117nt., 118, 119nt., 120nt., 121nt., 123–127, 128nt., 129, 130nt., 131–132, 134–135, 137, 139–142, 145, 151nt., 152nt., 154, 155nt., 156–158, 161, 162nt., 163, 164nt., 165, 167, 172nt., 173–176, 178–179, 180nt., 183–184, 185nt., 187–192, 194–196, 204–205, 211–212, 216–219, 221, 225–227, 229–230, 232–233
- Ibn ‘Alī al-Fattāl, ‘Alī: 131nt.
- Ibn Anas, Sinān: 74nt.
- Ibn ‘Asākir, Abū al-Qāsim: xii, 6, 12, 14, 46, 61, 66, 83, 89, 102, 104, 106, 114, 128–130, 136–138, 139nt., 140, 142,
- Ibn A‘ṭam, Abū Muḥammad: 155
- Ibn al-Aṭīr, Abū al-Ḥasan: 10–11, 83, 93, 95nt., 153nt., 172
- Ibn A‘yan, Qurra: 182nt.
- Ibn Baṭṭa, ‘Ubayd Allāh: 181
- Ibn Dī al-Ġawshan, Shimr: 74nt., 75, 76nt., 77
- Ibn al-Farrā’, Abū Ya‘lā: 6, 102nt., 106, 112
- Ibn al-Ġa‘d, Sālīm: 55nt.
- Ibn al-Ġawzī, Abū al-Faḍā’il: 4, 121nt., 135nt., 151nt. 161nt., 180nt., 182nt.
- Ibn al-Ḥaḡḡāḡ, Muslim: 77, 106
- Ibn Ḥamdān, Abū ‘Abd Allāh: 109nt., 110nt., 111, 116, 122, 124, 140
- Ibn Ḥanbal, Aḥmad: 5, 14, 32, 60–61, 84nt., 94nt., 101–107, 118, 121nt., 136, 143, 151, 181
- Ibn al-Ḥārīt, Bashr: 151
- Ibn Ḥārīta, Usāma b. Zayd: 90
- Ibn Ḥawshab, Shahr: 142
- Ibn Ḥayyūn, al-Qādī al-Nu‘mān: 65, 83, 97, 121–124, 137, 139, 153nt., 173, 178, 179nt.
- Ibn al-Ḥusayn, ‘Alī (4th Imām): 47, 73, 115, 162, 193, 212
- Ibn Ḥaldūn, Abū Zayd: 209
- Ibn Ḥāqān. ‘Ubayd Allāh: 185
- Ibn al-Ḥaṭṭāb, ‘Umar (caliph): 139nt., 151nt., 162
- Ibn ‘Ikrima, ‘Amr: 154
- Ibn Ishāq, Muḥammad: 40
- Ibn Kaḡīr, Abū al-Fiḍā’: 3nt., 14, 74nt., 84nt., 86, 102, 106,

- 151nt., 152, 160–162, 171, 173, 221
- Ibn Māġa, Abū ‘Abd Allāh: 84nt., 85, 100
- Ibn Marwān, ‘Abd al-Malik: 1
- Ibn Marwān, Sulaymān b. ‘Abd al-Malik: 1–2, 35, 211
- Ibn Miḥnaf, Lūṭ b. Yaḥyā: 73, 74nt., 172nt.
- Ibn Muġīra, al-Ḥusayn: 43nt., 47nt.
- Ibn Mu‘āwiya, Yazīd: 12–13, 56nt., 67, 70nt., 76nt., 164, 174, 193–195, 198, 199nt., 201, 203–204, 211–212, 233
- Ibn al-Muḥāriq, Qabus: 84nt
- Ibn Musayyib, Sa‘īd: 187
- Ibn Qutayba, Abū Muḥammad: 210nt.
- Ibn Rāhwayh, Abū Ya‘qūb: 100, 101nt.
- Ibn Sa‘d, Muḥammad: 84, 85nt., 150
- Ibn Sa‘d, ‘Ubāda: 11, 150
- Ibn Sa‘īd, ‘Abd Allāh: 106–107
- Ibn Shahrāshūb, Abū Ġa‘far: 4, 6, 63, 68, 76, 102, 117nt., 141, 155, 162, 164, 174, 178, 181, 182nt., 184nt., 232
- Ibn Sīrīn, Muḥammad: 172nt., 209nt., 210
- Ibn Tābit, ‘Amr: 97nt., 137
- Ibn Tā‘laba, Mufaḥar: 227
- Ibn Ṭahmān, Ibrāhīm: 99, 101, 125, 219
- Ibn Ṭā‘ūs, Raḍī al-Dīn: xii, 6nt., 40, 142, 173, 174nt., 178, 180nt., 182nt., 198, 219
- Ibn ‘Ubayd, Ya‘lā: 101nt.
- Ibn al-Yamān, Ḥuḍayfa: 117nt., 139nt.
- Ibn Ziyād, ‘Ubayd Allāh: 74nt., 118nt., 184, 190, 212
- Ibn Zubayr, ‘Abd Allāh: 172nt.
- Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad: 38nt., 39–40, 218
- Ibrāhīm (prophet): 65–66, 196nt., 197nt.
- Idrīsīd dynasty: 116
- India: 31
- Iqbal, M: 208, 211
- Iraq: 67, 140, 145
- al-Irbilī, Bahā’ al-Dīn: 130, 173, 178–179, 181nt., 231nt.
- ‘Īsā b. Maryam: 198nt., 218nt.
- Isaac (Hebrew Scriptures): 221nt.
- Isaiah (Hebrew Scriptures): 26, 31nt.
- Ismā‘īl (prophet): 215
- Ismā‘īlī Shī‘a: 116, 121
- Isrāfīl: 5
- al-Iṣfahānī, ‘Abd Allāh: 6, 76nt., 77nt., 132nt., 141, 155, 162nt., 183nt., 193nt.
- al-Iṣfahānī, Abū al-Faraġ: 184
- ‘Izrā’īl: 6nt., 20, 81, 186nt.

J

- Jacob/Israel (Hebrew Scriptures): 24, 26, 28, 53, 199
- Jeffery, A: 3nt., 33nt.
- Jeremiah, book of: 24
- Jerome, St: 208
- Jerusalem: 226, 232
- Jesus, son of Mary: 21, 201, 220
- John, St. (Christian Scriptures): 25
- Job (Hebrew Scriptures): 25
- Joseph (Hebrew Scriptures): 12, 22, 24, 27, 203

Joseph, husband of Mary: 21nt.,
25

K

al-Kalbī, Abū Ḥubāb: 159
 al-Kalbī, Abū Ġanāb: 159
 al-Kalbī, Dihya b. Ḥalīfa: 169–
170, 215
 Karbalā': xii, 3, 6–7, 11–13, 28,
30, 35, 42, 46, 58nt., 59, 66–
67, 69nt., 70, 72–73, 74nt.,
96, 99, 103nt., 105–106, 113,
115–116, 119, 124, 131,
135nt., 137–138, 141–142,
147, 152nt., 153nt., 156,
160–161, 164, 167, 176, 178,
184, 187, 196, 211–212,
218–219, 221, 226
 Karamustafa, A: 17nt., 93nt.
 al-Kashshī, 'Abd b. Ḥumayd:
104, 106–107, 143
 Kassim, H: 208
al-Kawṭar: 176
 al-Kinānī, Abū Ḍarr: 117nt.,
139nt., 215
 Kīnberg, L: 18nt., 19nt.
 al-Kindī, al-Miqdād: 117nt.
 Kings, first book of: 53
 al-Kirmānī, Ibrāhīm: 183nt., 210
 Kohlberg, E: 117nt.
 Kūfa: 67, 72, 76nt., 100, 165,
172nt., 211–212
 al-Kulaynī, Muḥammad: 13, 124
 Kulṭūm (sister of Mūsā): 197nt.

L

Laban (Hebrew Scriptures): 24,
53
 Lamoreaux, J.C: 183nt.
 Lane, W: 1nt., 4nt., 14nt., 33nt.,
53nt., 56nt., 63nt., 75nt.,

79nt., 113nt., 114nt., 125nt.,
150, 180nt., 194nt.

Lizzini, O: 30

Luke, gospel of: 25–26, 221

Lūṭ (prophet): 197nt.

M

Macdonald, D.B: 217

Magi: 21nt.

al-Maġlisī, Muḥammad Bāqir: 4,
6nt., 8, 10, 13, 46, 47nt., 56,
57nt., 71nt., 72, 74, 76nt.,
79–80, 141, 155, 161, 162nt.,
164–165, 167, 180nt., 181,
182nt., 183nt., 184nt.,
185nt., 187, 189–190,
193nt., 195, 198, 231

al-Mahdī (caliph): 183nt.

al-Mahdī, Abū al-Qāsim (12th
Imām):

Mālik (keeper of Hell): 5, 33

Manoah (Hebrew Scriptures):
221nt.

Māriyya (wife of Muḥammad):
38nt.

Mary, Mother of Jesus: 25,
221nt.

Maryam bt. 'Imrān: 54, 95,
197nt., 200nt., 216–217

al-Mas'ūdī, Abū al-Ḥasan: 39,
110

Matthew, gospel of: 21, 25, 201,
224

Maymūna bt. al-Ḥāriṭ (wife of
Muḥammad): 82

Mecca: 22, 72, 76nt., 99, 186

Medina: xii, 11, 13, 42, 70, 132,
153nt., 154, 155nt., 157, 163,
173, 205, 211

Midian: 197nt.

Mikāʾil: 5–7, 8nt., 9, 20, 55nt.,
81, 102nt., 103
Motzki, H: 34
al-Muʿarrī, Hārūn: 185
al-Mufīd, *Shayb*: 12, 52, 79, 87,
98, 124, 127, 130, 131nt.
Mūsā (prophet): 10nt., 64nt.,
196nt.
al-Mūsawī, Adīb Muḥammad:
58nt., 70, 162nt.
Muʿta, battle of: 166

N

Nabonidus, Babylonian king:
224
al-Naḡāshī, Abū al-ʿAbbās:
109nt
Naḡrān: 232
Nasr, S.E: 186nt.
Nebuchadnezzar (Hebrew
Scriptures): 27–28, 223,
224nt., 232nt.
Necho II, pharaoh: 27, 28nt.
Neil, B: 27, 30
Nicodemus, gospel of: 201
Night Journey (*isrāʾ*): 25, 98nt
Nineveh: 59
Nīsābūr: 99
Nūḥ (prophet): 197nt., 198nt.,
231nt.
Numbers, book of: 24–25

O

Oman: 194
Owen, W: 222

P

Padwick, C: 207
Paul, St. (Christian Scriptures):
26
Penrice, J: 79nt.

Persia: 31, 116
Peter, St. (Christian Scriptures):
26
Peter Lombard, St: 208
Pickthall, M.M: 1nt., 32nt.,
40nt., 44nt., 63nt., 216nt.
Pilate, Pontius: 12, 21, 201

Q

al-Qādisiyya: 72
al-Qāḏī, ʿAbd Allāh: 182nt.
Qaṣr Banī Muqātil: 73
al-Qummī, ʿAbbās: 88, 134nt.
al-Qummī, ʿAlī b. Ibrāhīm: 5–6
al-Qummī, Ibn Qūlawayh: 7nt.,
13, 47nt., 52, 76, 122, 124–
126, 138, 162

R

al-Rabḡūzī, Naṣīr al-Dīn: 76nt.,
103nt.
al-Raʿd (angel of the clouds):
123
Rahman, F: 34, 95
al-Rāwandī, Quṭb al-Dīn: 6, 80,
110, 140, 228–229
Revelation, book of: 25
al-Riḏā, Abū al-Ḥasan (8th
Imām): 192
Rome: 31, 196, 211

S

Saʿdā bt. Mālik al-Ḥuzāʿī: 162,
165
Sadducees: 208
Samuel (Hebrew Scriptures): 26,
221nt.
Sāra (wife of Ibrāhīm): 197nt.
Sarah (wife of Abraham): 221nt.
Sāsānid dynasty: 31
Saul (Hebrew Scriptures): 25

Schacht, J: 34
 Schimmel, A: 208
 Schmidtke, S: 133
 Sezgin, F: 34, 108, 133
 Sibṭ al-Ġawzī, Shams al-Dīn:
 74nt., 135–136, 142, 161nt.
 al-Siġistānī, Abū Dāwud: 77
 al-Siġistānī, Ḥallāl Abū Aḥmad:
 210nt.
 Sindawi, K: 64
 Sirach, book of: 24
 Sirriyeh, E: 19nt., 28
 Solomon (Hebrew Scriptures):
 25, 53
 Sprenger, A: 34
 Steingass, F: 56nt., 125nt.
 Sukayna (Sakīna), bt. al-Ḥusayn:
 12, 196–198, 199nt., 201
 al-Suyūṭī, Abū al-Faḍl: xii, 7–8,
 82, 160, 186nt.

SH

Shabbar: 64nt.
 Shabīr: 64nt.
 al-Shablanġī, Mu'min: 88nt.
 Shāfi'ī (legal school): 11, 112
 al-Shaġarī, Yaḥyā b. al-Ḥusayn:
 116, 127, 133, 139
 al-Shāmī, Yūsuf b. Ḥātīm: 6,
 102, 136, 142, 154, 172–173,
 232
 al-Shaybānī, Ibn Abī 'Āṣim:
 100, 101nt., 107, 125, 138,
 158
 Shayṭ (prophet): 231nt.
 Shayṭān (Satan): 18, 20, 28,
 149nt., 150
 Shellabear, W.G: 217
 Shephard of Hermas: 27

Ş

al-Şādiq, Abū 'Abd Allāh Ġa'far
 (6th Imām): 52, 59, 66, 75nt.,
 76, 121, 124, 185nt.
 al-Şadūq, *Şayḥ* (Ibn Bābawayh):
 12, 62, 66, 68, 72, 96, 102,
 126–127, 129, 183nt., 184nt.
 Şafra' bt. Shu'ayb: 197nt.
 Şālīḥ (prophet): 50
 Şalṣā'īl: 57nt.
 Şifḥin, battle of: 60nt., 62, 117nt.
 Şūfī (mysticism): 2, 149nt.

T

Tertullian, Quintus Septimius:
 16, 23
 Thomas Aquinas, St: 208
 Tihāma mountains: 229nt.
 al-Tirmidī, Abū 'Īsā: xii, 8, 54,
 56nt., 85nt., 93nt., 94nt., 95,
 106, 219
 Thutmose IV, pharaoh: 172nt.

Ṭ

al-Ṭabarānī, Abū al-Qāsim: 61,
 100, 102, 105, 114–116,
 118–119, 120nt., 121–122,
 137, 139nt., 141–142
 al-Ṭabarī, Ġarīr b. Yazīd: 11–12,
 97nt., 108, 151, 153, 155nt.,
 161–162, 164nt., 201
 al-Ṭabarī, Ġarīr b. Rustam: 108–
 109, 116, 155nt.
 al-Ṭabarī, 'Imād al-Dīn: 109
 al-Ṭabarī, Muḥib al-Dīn: 74nt.,
 155nt.
 al-Ṭabarsī, Raḍī al-Dīn: 83, 102,
 130
 al-Ṭaff (Karbālā³): 95, 116,
 118nt., 135nt.
 Ṭayy (tribe): 160nt.

Ṭūbā (tree of Paradise): 124nt.
 al-Ṭūsī, Ibn Ḥamza: 140
 al-Ṭūsī, *Shayḥ al-ḥa'ifa*: 6, 55nt.,
 79, 109, 132, 141, 174, 184

T

Ṭamūd: 50

U

Uḥud, battle of: 10, 22, 215
 al-ʿUḍayb: 72
 Umayma bt. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib:
 121–122
 Umayyad dynasty: 1–2, 16
 Umm Ayman, Baraka bt.
 Ṭaʿlaba: 12, 42, 47–49, 89,
 91–92
 Umm al-Faḍl, Lubāba bt. al-
 Ḥārīṭ: 12, 20, 82–83, 84nt.,
 85nt., 86–91, 129, 138, 211
 Umm Hāshim bt. Abī Hāshim:
 201
 Umm Ḥālid bt. Abī Hāshim:
 201
 Umm Kulṭūm bt. ʿAbd Allāh b.
 ʿAmīr: 201
 Umm Maʿbad: 164
 Umm Salama, Hind bt. Abī
 Umayya: xii, 9, 11, 13, 19,
 22, 33, 43, 48, 55, 58, 92–93,
 94nt., 95nt., 96, 98–101,
 103nt., 104, 106–107, 109–
 111, 113, 116, 118, 119nt.,
 120nt., 121nt, 124–127,
 130–132, 134, 135nt.,
 136nt., 137, 139–141, 143–
 144, 147, 151–152, 156, 158,

161nt., 170–171, 173, 195–
 196, 212, 215, 218–219, 222
 Umm Salmā: 94–95

V

Von Grunebaum, G.E: 209, 231

W

Wehr, H: 14nt., 79nt., 180nt.
 Weil, G: 34

Y

Yaḥyā b. Zakariyyāʾ: 216
 al-Yaʿqūbī, Aḥmad: 11
 al-Yaqzān, ʿAmmār: 117nt.,
 139nt.
 Yemen: 116
 Yūsuf (prophet): 12, 19, 22, 24,
 27, 29

Z

Zakariyyāʾ (father of Yaḥyā):
 216–217
 al-Zamaḥsharī, Abū al-Qāsim:
 6nt.
 al-Zarandī, Ḡamāl al-Dīn:
 140nt.
 Zaynab bt. ʿAlī: 12, 47, 71nt.,
 72, 85nt., 115, 118nt., 156,
 158, 199, 201, 212
 Zaynab bt. ʿAqīl: 162
 Zaynab bt. Ḡaḥsh (wife of
 Muḥammad): 119nt., 122
 Zaynab bt. Ḥuzayma (wife of
 Muḥammad): 82–83
 Zaydī Shīʿa: 116, 133
 Zechariah (Christian Scriptures):
 26, 221nt.

When, on an autumn Medina night in 61/680, the night that saw al-Ḥusayn killed, Umm Salama was torn from her sleep by an apparition of a long-dead Muḥammad, she slipped effortlessly into a progression of her co-religionists who, irrespective of status, gender or standing with God, were the recipients of dark and arresting visions. At the core of those Delphian dreams, peopled by angels or *ǧinn* or esteemed forbears and textured with Iraǧi dust and martyrs' blood, was the Karbalā' event. Her dream would be recounted by an array of Muslim scholars, from al-Tirmiḏī, stellar pupil of al-Buḥārī, and Ibn 'Asākir, untiring chronicler of Syrian history, to bibliophile theologian Ibn Ṭā'ūs and Egyptian polymath al-Suyūṭī. But this was not Umm Salama's only otherworldly encounter and she was not the only one to have al-Ḥusayn's fate disturb her nights.

This is their story.

Christopher Clohessy is a South African Catholic priest who holds a BST from the Pontifical Urbanianum University in Rome, and a PhD from the Pontifical Institute for Arabic and Islamic Studies (PISAI), also in Rome. He is at present a resident faculty member of PISAI, lecturing there in Shī'ī Islamic studies, Qur'ān and Islamic Ethics, and is visiting lecturer at the Pontifical Beda College in Rome, where he lectures in Fundamental Theology, Ecclesiology and Mariology.

 **GORGIAS**
GPRESS
www.gorgiaspress.com

ISBN 978-1-4632-4209-1
 90000
9 781463 242091